

Contents

Introduction

Introduction

Capital Requirements

Economic and Regulatory Capital Countercyclical buffer	
Own funds TLAC and MREL	

Credit Risk

Credit Risk in general	
Credit Quality	
Advanced Internal-rating-based approach	
Standardized approach	
Counterparty Credit Risk	

Securitisation

Securitisations

Market Risk

Market Risk

2	Funding & Liquidity Funding & Liquidity	85
4 6 11	Environmental Social and Governance Environmental Social and Governance	94
19	Other Risks Non-financial Risk	123
25 29 42 60 64	Appendices Disclosure Index EBA Guidelines and ITS General Information Disclaimer	124 127
71		

80

Introduction

Basis of disclosure

The information in this report relates to ING Groep N.V. and all of its subsidiaries (hereafter ING). There are no differences between the scope of consolidation for prudential purposes and the scope of consolidation for accounting purposes as reported in the annual accounts in Note 1 'Basis of preparation and material accounting policy information', Note 43 'Principal subsidiaries, investments in associates and joint ventures' and Note 44 'Structured entities'.

Governance

The Pillar III disclosures have been subject to our internal control framework, to ensure compliance with laws and regulations. The Disclosure Committee (DisCom), responsible for all our disclosures, assesses the accuracy of the content before reporting their conclusions to the Audit Committee (AC) for review and submission to the Supervisory Board for final approval. This report has not been audited by our external auditor.

Regulatory framework

In 2010, the Basel III framework was adopted and consequently translated in the European Union (EU) into regulation through the Capital Requirement Regulation (CRR) and Capital Requirement Directive IV (CRD IV). The CRR is binding for all EU member states and became effective per 1 January 2014.

On 16 April 2019, the European Parliament (EP) approved the final agreement on a package of reforms proposed by EC to strengthen the resilience and resolvability of European banks. The package of reforms comprises certain amendments to CRR and CRD IV commonly referred to as 'CRR II' and CRD V'. On 27 June 2019, the Banking Reform Package came into force, subject to various transitional and staged timetables.

The Basel Committee's framework is based on three pillars. Pillar I on minimum capital requirements, which defines the rules for the calculation of credit, market and operational risk. Pillar II is about Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP), which requires banks to undertake an internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP) to identify and assess risks, also those not included in Pillar I, and maintain sufficient capital to face these risks, and an internal liquidity adequacy assessment process (ILAAP) focusing on maintaining sufficient liquidity (and funding) risk management. Pillar III is on market discipline and

transparency, requiring disclosures to allow investors and other market participants to understand the risk profiles of individual banks.

ING prepares the Pillar III report in accordance with the CRR II and CRD V. ING's 'Additional Pillar III Report' contains disclosures for regulatory capital requirements, credit risk, including counterparty credit risk, securitisations and other non-credit obligation assets (ONCOA), market risk, liquidity risk, non-financial risk and compliance risk. Furthermore, the report discusses regulatory exposures and risk weighted assets. In order to comply to the CRR II disclosure requirements, ING is using in this report the templates from implementing technical standards (ITS) with regard to public disclosures, as developed by the EBA. Qualitative information (templates) is included in the Annual Report. Therefore, this report should be read in conjunction with the Risk Management section of the Annual Report.

The Pillar III report is published on an annual basis. However, some capital requirements as laid down in Article 438 of the CRR as well as information on risk exposure or other items prone to rapid change are disclosed on a quarterly or semi-annual basis. Some subsidiaries publish information on capital and solvency on their websites or annual reports pursuant to local regulatory requirements.

Comparative figures

To give insight into movements during the year, we provide comparative figures for the previous year and analytical review of variances. Table name references and row numbering in tables identify those prescribed in the relevant EBA guidelines where applicable and where there is a value.

Where disclosures have been enhanced, or are new, we do not generally restate or provide prior year comparatives. Wherever specific rows and columns in the tables prescribed by the EBA are not applicable or immaterial to our activities, we omit them and follow the same approach for comparative disclosures.

Management attestation

Throughout the year, and to date, ING has operated a framework of disclosure controls and procedures to support the appropriateness of the Pillar 3 disclosures. In line with the ITS and the mapping tool as provided by EBA, the templates in this report have been aligned to other supervisory reporting and reconciliation were executed against regulatory reporting to ECB such as Corep, Finrep and TLAC. The Disclosure Committee is responsible for examining the reports and disclosures to ensure that they have been subject to adequate verification and comply with applicable standards and legislation.

Disclosure requirements changes in 2024

The following EBA final draft ITS have already been applied into our disclosures.

Final draft ITS on prudential disclosures on ESG risks in accordance with article 449a CRR

In January 2022, the EBA published the final draft ITS on Pillar 3 disclosures on Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risks in accordance with article 449a CRR. It puts forward tables, templates and associated instructions that specify the requirement in Article 449a of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (Capital Requirements Regulation – CRR) to disclose prudential information on environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks, including transition and physical risk, addressed to large institutions with securities traded on a regulated market of any Member State.

The ITS was adopted by the European Commission in November 2022, published in the Official Journal of the EU in December 2022 with a first reporting date in 2023 (reference date: 31 December 2022). The ESG Pillar 3 requires credit institutions such as ING to disclose the following information:

- Climate risks: how climate change may exacerbate other risks within banks balance sheets.
- Mitigating actions: what mitigating actions banks have in place to address those risks, including financing activities that reduce carbon emissions.
- Green Asset ratio and Banking Book Taxonomy Alignment ratio: to understand how banks are financing
 activities that will meet the publicly agreed Paris agreement objectives of climate change mitigation and
 adaptation based on the EU taxonomy of green activities.

The EBA ESG Pillar 3 requirements features (i) a set of 10 quantitative templates that request banks to disclose climate-related risks and actions to mitigate them, together with exposure to green assets and (ii) qualitative information on their ESG strategies, governance and risk management arrangements with regard to ESG risk. It should be noted that the EBA ESG Pillar 3 requirements will become binding following a phased-in approach, with a transitional period for certain disclosures until 2025 (reference date: 31 December 2024). For Year-end 2024, templates 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3 on BTAR will be for the first time disclosed.

The ITS on disclosure have been developed in accordance with the mandate included in Article 434a of Regulation (EU) N0 575/2013.

Disclosure requirements changes as of 2025

The following EBA final draft ITS will be applicable as of January 2025.

Final draft ITS on public disclosures by institutions of the information referred to in Titles II and III of Part Eight of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

On June 21 st , 2024, the EBA published a final draft implementing technical standards (ITS) that implements the changes in the CRR 3 disclosure framework introduced by Basel III requirements. The ITS will ensure that market participants have sufficient comparable information to assess the risk profiles of institutions and understand compliance with CRR 3 requirements.

The new ITS implement the CRR 3 prudential disclosures by including (i) new requirements such as output floor, CVA risk and operational risk and (ii) changes into existing requirements such as update on the credit risk exposure classes. In addition, they aim to provide institutions with a comprehensive integrated set of uniform disclosure formats while promoting market discipline.

Later in 2025, the EBA will complement this ITS with the CRR 3 disclosure requirements that are not directly linked to Basel III implementation, such as the disclosure requirements on ESG risks to all institutions in accordance with the proportionality principle.

Capital requirements

Economic and Regulatory Capital

Economic Capital (EC) and Regulatory Capital (RC) are the main sources of capital allocation within ING. Both of these capital metrics are used to determine the amount of capital that a transaction or business unit requires to support the economic and regulatory-based risks it faces. The concept of EC differs from RC in the sense that RC is the mandatory amount of capital that is defined under Pillar I while EC is the best estimate of Pillar II capital that ING uses internally to manage its own risk. EC is a non-accounting measure that is inherently subject to dynamic changes and updated as a result of ING's portfolio mix and general market developments. ING continuously recalibrates the underlying assumptions behind its EC model, which may have an impact on the values of EC going forward.

EC is defined as the amount of capital that a transaction or business unit requires in order to support the economic risks it takes. EC focuses on the bank activities on an ING Bank consolidated level only and is therefore available per that scope. In general, EC is measured as the unexpected loss above the expected loss at a given confidence level. The EC calculation is used as part of the CRR II/CRD V Pillar II Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) and is subject to the Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP) that is performed regularly by the supervisor.

The following fundamental principles and definitions have been established for the model:

- ING Bank uses a one-sided confidence level of 99.90% and a one-year time horizon to calculate EC;
- It is assumed that all currently known measurable sources of risk are included;
- The best estimate risk assumptions are as objective as possible and to the extent possible based on statistical analysis;
- The EC calculations reflect known embedded options and the influence of customer behaviour in banking products;
- The EC calculations are on a before tax basis and do not consider the effect of regulatory requirements on capital levels; and
- The framework does not include any franchise value of the business, discretionary management intervention or future business volumes and margins.

Specific measurement by risk type is described in greater detail in the separate risk type sections. The tables below provide ING Group's EC and RC by risk type and business line. The increase in credit risk EC is mainly the result of add-ons that were introduced to account for limitations relating to concentration risk and to mitigate specific current modelling decisions.

ING	Group: Economic and Regulatory Capital by risk type					
		Economic	capital	Regulatory capital		
		2024	2023	2024	2023	
1	Credit risk	15,931	18,922	22,570	21,309	
2	Market risk	11,765	11,250	1,047	1,144	
3	Business risk	1,306	1,176			
4	Operational risk	3,080	3,080	3,080	3,080	
5	Total	32,083	34,429	26,697	25,533	

ING	Group: Economic and Regulatory Capital by business li	ne combinatio	on			
		Economic	capital	Regulatory capital		
		2024	2023	2024	2023	
1	Wholesale Banking	11,132	13,020	12,172	12,023	
2	Retail Banking	16,559	15,850	13,214	12,349	
3	Corporate Line	4,407	5,573	1,311	1,161	
4	Total	32,083	34,429	26,697	25,533	

Capital Adequacy

The rules for required Regulatory Capital or Capital adequacy are defined by European Union regulation and directives.

The rules express the regulators' and legislators' opinion on how much capital a bank and other regulated institutions must retain in relation to the size and the type of risks it is taking, expressed in the form of Risk-Weighted Assets. The most significant component of the capital base is the shareholders' equity. In addition to equity, the institution may issue certain liabilities such as Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruments which can be included in the capital base. The legal minimum requirement (excluding buffers) stipulates that the capital base must correspond to at least 8% of the Risk-Weighted Assets (RWA).

The table below presents an overview of the Minimum capital requirements and the RWA at year-end 2024 per risk type and method of calculation. The largest part of the RWA is related to Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) (75%), primarily to the portfolio with calculations based on the Advanced Internal Ratings Based (AIRB) approach. More information on credit RWA is given in the chapter "Credit risk".

EU OV1: Regulatory capital requirements Minimum capital RWA amounts requirements 2024 2023 2024 2023 Credit risk (excluding CCR) 237,947 20,041 19,036 250,509 1 2 Of which the standardised approach 28,283 27,579 2,263 2,206 3 Of which the Foundation IRB (F-IRB) approach 23,101 2,218 27,724 1,848 4 Of which slotting approach Of which equities under the simple riskweighted approach EU 4a 1.402 1,462 112 117 5 Of which the Advanced IRB (A-IRB) approach 185.804 15.448 14.864 193.099 12,422 6 Counterparty credit risk - CCR 13.626 1.090 994 7 Of which the standardised approach 9.853 8.565 788 685 8 Of which internal model method (IMM) Of which exposures to a CCP 344 27 54 EU 8a 670 Of which credit valuation adjustment - CVA 1.034 1.150 83 92 EU 8b 9 Of which other CCR 2.395 2.037 192 163 15 2 Settlement risk 5 21 _ Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book (after 16 2,563 2,536 205 203 the cap) Of which SEC-IRBA approach 17 286 23 _ _ 57 18 Of which SEC-ERBA (including IAA) 749 718 60 19 Of which SEC-SA approach 1.532 145 123 1.813 EU 19a Of which 1250% / deduction Position, foreign exchange and commodities risks 12,742 1,079 20 13,490 1,019 (Market risk) Of which the standardised approach 4.851 388 21 4,405 352 22 Of which IMA 8.337 8.639 667 691 EU 22a Large exposures 23 **Operational risk** 38,500 38.500 3.080 3.080 EU 23a Of which basic indicator approach EU 23b Of which standardised approach EU 23c Of which advanced measurement approach 38.500 38.500 3.080 3.080 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject 24 11,230 9,268 898 741 to 250% risk weight) 25 **Other Risk Exposures** 4.534 4.985 363 399 29 Total 333,708 319.169 26.697 25,533

The amount of RWA decreased in 2024 by EUR 14.5 billion to EUR 333.7 billion. This is mainly triggered by a EUR 13.8 billion decrease in Credit RWA. For more information on Credit RWA see the credit risk chapter.

Key Metrics

According to CRR/CRD IV capital adequacy rules, the Common Equity Tier 1 ratio must be at least 4.5%, the Tier 1 ratio at least 6% and the total capital ratio at least 8% of all risk-weighted assets. This was not changed in the CRR II/CRD V.

ING Group's Common Equity Tier 1 ratio at year-end 2024 of 13.56% is well in excess of the 10.76% Common Equity Tier 1 MDA level for ING Group in 2024. This requirement is the sum of a 4.5% Pillar 1 requirement, a 0.93% Pillar 2 requirement and a 5.33% Combined Buffer Requirement, consisting of a 2.5% Capital Conservation Buffer, a 2.0% O-SII Buffer that is applied to systemically important Dutch banks by the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) as macro-prudential supervisor and 0.83% Countercyclical Buffer (CCyB).

EU KM1 - Key metrics template		
	Total	Total
	2024	2023
	CRR II/CRD V	CRR II/CRD V
Available own funds (amounts)		
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	45,260	46,856
Tier 1 capital	53,291	53,898
Total capital	63,194	63,052
Risk-weighted exposure amounts		
Total risk exposure amount	333,708	319,169
Capital ratios (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)		
Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	13.56 %	14.68 %
Tier 1 ratio (%)	15.97 %	16.89 %
Total capital ratio (%)	18.94 %	19.76 %
Additional own funds requirements to address risks other than the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)		
Additional own funds requirements to address risks other than the risk of excessive leverage (%)	1.65 %	1.75 %
of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)	0.93 %	0.98 %
of which: to be made up of Tier 1 capital (percentage points)	1.24 %	1.31 %
Total SREP own funds requirements (%)	9.65 %	9.75 %

CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%) CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%) Leverage ratio 1,1 Total exposure measure 1,1 Leverage ratio (%) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) Of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points) Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%) CET1 available after and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)	2.50 % 0.83 % 1.00 % 2.00 % 5.33 % 4.98 % 8.13 % 29,689	2.50 % 0.50 % 1.00 % 2.50 % 5.50 % 15.25 % 9.20%
Member State (%) Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer (%) Systemic risk buffer (%) Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer (%) Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%) Important Institution buffer (%) Other Systemically Important Institution buffer (%) Important Institution buffer (%) Combined buffer requirement (%) Important Institution buffer (%) Overall capital requirements (%) Important Institution SREP own funds requirements (%) Leverage ratio Important Institution SREP own funds requirements (%) Leverage ratio (%) Important Institution SREP own funds requirements (%) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure) Important Institution Important Institution Important Institution Important Imp	1.00 % 2.00 % 5.33 % 14.98 % 8.13 %	1.00 % 2.50 % 5.50 % 15.25 %
Systemic risk buffer (%)Systemic risk buffer (%)Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Other Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Combined buffer requirement (%)Overall capital requirements (%)Overall capital requirements (%)1CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)1Leverage ratio1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)1Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%)1Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1	1.00 % 2.00 % 5.33 % 14.98 % 8.13 %	1.00 % 2.50 % 5.50 % 15.25 %
Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Other Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Combined buffer requirement (%)Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Overall capital requirements (%)1CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)1Leverage ratio1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)1Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%)1Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1	2.00 % 5.33 % 14.98 % 8.13 %	2.50 % 5.50 % 15.25 %
Other Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Other Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)Combined buffer requirement (%)1Overall capital requirements (%)1CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)1Leverage ratio1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)1Total SREP leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1	2.00 % 5.33 % 14.98 % 8.13 %	2.50 % 5.50 % 15.25 %
Combined buffer requirement (%)1Overall capital requirements (%)1CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)1Leverage ratio1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%)1of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)1Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%)1Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1	5.33 % L4.98 % 8.13 %	5.50 % 15.25 %
Overall capital requirements (%)1CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)1Leverage ratio1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)1Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%)1Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)1	14.98 % 8.13 %	15.25 %
CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)Leverage ratio1,1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%)6of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)7Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%)6Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)	8.13 %	
Leverage ratio1,1Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)4Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)6Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)6Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%)6Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)6		9.20%
Total exposure measure1,1Leverage ratio (%)Image: Comparison of the second state of the second	20.680	
Leverage ratio (%)Image: Constraint of the second state of th	20 680	
Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure) Image: Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) Image: Operation of total exposure measure) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) Image: Operation of total exposure measure) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) Image: Operation of total exposure measure) Additional own funds requirements (%) Image: Operation of total exposure measure) Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)	29,009	1,076,794
percentage of total exposure measure) Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%) of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points) Image: Certain Control of Certain Certain Control of Certain Cert	4.72 %	5.01 %
of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points) Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%) Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)		
Total SREP leverage ratio requirements (%) Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)		
Leverage ratio buffer and overall leverage ratio requirement (as a percentage of total exposure measure)		
exposure measure)	3.00 %	3.00 %
$1 + \dots + 1 + \dots + 1 + \dots + 1 + \dots + \dots + \dots + $		
Leverage ratio buffer requirement (%)	0.50 %	0.50 %
Overall leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.50 %	3.50 %
Liquidity Coverage Ratio		
Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) (Weighted value -average) 1	94,333	194,460
Cash outflows - Total weighted value 2	32,228	238,409
Cash inflows - Total weighted value	98,566	102,891
Total net cash outflows (adjusted value) 1	33,662	135,518
Liquidity coverage ratio (%)	146 %	143 %
Net Stable Funding Ratio		
Total available stable funding 7	38,460	694,346
Total required stable funding 5	53,242	527,610
NSFR ratio (%)	133 %	132 %

Countercyclical buffer

ING's countercyclical buffer requirement rose from 0.495% at the end of 2023 to 0.832% at the end of 2024. See below for an overview of the exposure distribution for the most relevant countries (having an own funds requirements weight larger than 1% and/or having in place or announced a countercyclical buffer rate larger than 0%).

Countercyclical b	uffer												
	General cred	it exposures	Relevant credi Marke		Securitisation		Owr	n funds requireme	ents				
2024	Exposure value under the standardised approach	Exposure value under the IRB approach	Sum of long and short positions of trading book exposures for SA	Value of trading book exposures for internal models	exposures Exposure value for non-trading book	Total exposure value	Relevant credit risk exposures - Credit risk	Relevant credit exposures - Market risk	Relevant credit exposures - Securitisation positions in the non-trading book	Total	Risk-weighted exposure amounts	Own funds requirements weights (%)	Counter- cyclical capital buffer rate (%)
Breakdown by count	ry:												
Countries with an ac	tive CCyB												
Netherlands	2,499	205,051			1,800	209,350	3,342	2	23	3,366	42,076	18.816 %	2.000 %
Belgium	1,055	96,141		62	1,184	98,442	2,424		18	2,441	30,517	13.647 %	1.000 %
Germany	2,413	133,995			564	136,972	2,213	2	5	2,220	27,744	12.406 %	0.750 %
Australia	1,142	53,239			624	55,004	705		8	712	8,904	3.982 %	1.000 %
United Kingdom	10	28,889			324	29,223	693	1	6	701	8,758	3.917 %	2.000 %
France	2,109	22,988			2,053	27,150	507	1	22	530	6,621	2.961 %	1.000 %
Luxembourg	529	20,875			4,894	26,297	431	1	47	478	5,977	2.673 %	0.500 %
Romania	6,834	2,400			117	9,351	407		2	408	5,105	2.283 %	1.000 %
Hong Kong	1	7,579		5	45	7,629	94		1	94	1,179	0.527 %	0.500 %
Ireland	1	36,591			837	37,429	75		5	80	1,005	0.450 %	1.500 %
Sweden	21	3,482				3,504	70	3		72	905	0.405 %	2.000 %
Hungary		1,751				1,751	50			50	627	0.280 %	0.500 %
Czechia	1	1,843		14		1,858	49			49	614	0.275 %	1.250 %
Norway	1	3,009				3,009	48	1		49	613	0.274 %	2.500 %
Korea, Republic of	3	3,176		336	47	3,562	39	3	1	42	526	0.235 %	1.000 %
Denmark	1	2,447			150	2,597	35		2	37	463	0.207 %	2.500 %
Chile		565				565	35			35	437	0.195 %	0.500 %
Slovakia		591				591	21			21	262	0.117 %	1.500 %
Bulgaria		465				465	17			17	219	0.098 %	2.000 %
Cyprus	2	164		7		174	7	1		8	97	0.043 %	1.000 %
Slovenia		139				139	3			3	34	0.015 %	0.500 %
Croatia		27				27	1			1	16	0.007 %	1.500 %
Estonia		60		2		61	1			1	14	0.006 %	1.500 %
Lithuania		121				121	1			1	13	0.006 %	1.000 %
Iceland		30				30	1			1	11	0.005 %	2.500 %

Georgia			7		8		1		1	11	0.005 %	1.000 %
Latvia		18	1		19					3	0.001 %	0.500 %
Armenia		4			4					2	0.001 %	1.500 %
Andorra		2			2						— %	0.500 %
North Macedonia											— %	1.250 %
Faroe Islands												1.000 %
Countries having annound	ced a CCyB											
Poland	20,439	17,444	1	283	38,168	1,609		4	1,613	20,158	9.014 %	
Spain	4,271	30,376		349	34,995	904		4	908	11,348	5.075 %	
Russian Federation		901	1		902	73			73	912	0.408 %	
Portugal	1	1,230	25		1,256	51	2		53	660	0.295 %	
Greece		157			157	5			5	66	0.029 %	
Montenegro											%	
Greenland											— %	
Countries with more than	1% of ING Grou	p's exposure that h	nave not announced a CCyB									
United States	4	116,335		4,370	120,709	1,554	1	53	1,609	20,107	8.991 %	
Italy	1,749	16,405	49	607	18,809	601		5	606	7,574	3.387 %	
Switzerland	4	24,380		223	24,606	218		2	221	2,761	1.235 %	
Turkey	2,281	2,041	34		4,356	216	3		219	2,739	1.225 %	
Other countries	292	72,901	536	1,850	75,579	1,110	32	22	1,164	14,547	6.505 %	
Total	45,662	907,810	1,080	20,321	974,874	17,608	54	228	17,890	223,624	100.000 %	0.832 %

	General credi	it exposures	Relevant credit expos Market risk	ures –			Owr	n funds requireme	ents				
2023	Exposure value under the standardised approach	Exposure value under the IRB approach	Sum of long and short positions of Value of trading book book e	of trading xposures r internal models	book*	Total exposure value*	Relevant credit risk exposures - Credit risk	Relevant credit exposures - Market risk	Relevant credit exposures – Securitisation positions in the non-trading book	Total	Risk-weighted exposure amounts	Own funds requirements weights (%)	Counter- cyclical capita buffer rate (%
Breakdown by coun	try:												
Countries with an a	ctive CCyB												
Netherlands	2,522	203,447			1,579	207,548	3,294	1	23	3,317	41,464	19.228 %	1.000
Germany	2,465	126,853			2,679	131,997	1,978	2	32	2,012	25,154	11.665 %	0.750
United Kingdom	7	24,782			326	25,114	687	3	6	696	8,698	4.033 %	2.000
Australia	2,666	48,920		2	483	52,071	682		6	688	8,596	3.986 %	1.000
France	2,321	24,695			2,148	29,165	474	1	22	497	6,216	2.883 %	0.500
Luxembourg	628	18,665			4,486	23,780	434		45	479	5,987	2.777 %	0.500
Romania	5,800	2,300				8,101	379			379	4,739	2.197 %	1.000
Ireland	1	33,806			621	34,428	107		4	111	1,386	0.643 %	1.000
Hong Kong	18	8,364			45	8,427	85		1	86	1,074	0.498 %	1.000
Sweden	23	3,452				3,474	80			81	1,006	0.467 %	2.000
Norway		3,042				3,042	56	2		58	723	0.335 %	2.500
Czechia	1	1,771		16		1,788	52			52	652	0.302 %	2.000
Slovakia		655				655	32			32	404	0.187 %	1.500
Denmark		1,393		13	141	1,547	23	1	2	26	325	0.151 %	2.500
Bulgaria		429				429	18			18	231	0.107 %	2.000
Cyprus	4	145				149	5			5	64	0.030 %	0.500
Iceland		65				65	3			3	36	0.017 %	2.000
Slovenia		95				95	2			2	25	0.012 %	0.500
Estonia		75				75	1			1	18	0.008 %	1.500
Croatia		25				25	1			1	17	0.008 %	1.000
Lithuania		172				172	1			1	14	0.007 %	1.000
Armenia		4				4						— %	1.500
North Macedonia												— %	0.500

Countries having announced a CCyB

Belgium	1,065	92,225		1,048	94,338	2,153	1	16	2,170	27,126	12.579 %	
Hungary	1	1,467			1,468	49			49	618	0.287 %	
Korea, Republic of	3	1,972	319	98	2,391	33	6	1	40	505	0.234 %	
Chile		490			490	25			25	315	0.146 %	
Latvia		14		2	16	1			1	11	0.005 %	
Georgia											— %	
Countries with more tha	n 1% of ING Group	's exposure that have	not announced a CCyB									
United States	54	106,956	86	3,376	110,472	1,578	3	47	1,628	20,349	9.437 %	

Spain4,01528,44442432,8838505Italy1,33115,7973417,1635433Russian Federation1,42641,430247Switzerland320,33420,336235Turkey2,4231,537283,9882304Other countries36075,3082811,42277,3711,1362017	235 233 1,173	233	2,943 2,917 14,659	1.365 % 1.353 % 6.798 %	
Italy 1,331 15,797 34 17,163 543 3 Russian Federation 1,426 4 1,430 247 Switzerland 3 20,334 20,336 235					
Italy 1,331 15,797 34 17,163 543 3 Russian Federation 1,426 4 1,430 247	235	235	2,943	1.365 %	
Italy 1,331 15,797 34 17,163 543 3					
	247	247	3,088	1.432 %	
spuin 4,015 20,444 424 52,885 850 5	546	546	6,829	3.167 %	
Spain (01E 29//// /2/ 72/997 9E0 E	856	856	10,694	4.959 %	
Poland 18,321 18,485 10 36,817 1,500	1,501	1,501	18,757	8.698 %	

* 2023 data is adjusted to correctly represent the securitisation exposures and total exposure value.

Amount of institution-specific CCyB		
	2024	2023
Total risk exposure amount	333,708	319,169
Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer rate	0.832 %	0.495 %
Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer requirement	2,776.8	1,580.7

Own funds

The CRR requires ING to disclose information on own funds in a specific format that was provided in the EBA Implementation Technical Standards. The EBA templates Annex I, II and Annex VI are disclosed as part of the "Additional Pillar 3 disclosures" excel file on the corporate website ing.com. <u>https://www.ing.com/Investors/Financial-performance/Annual-reports.htm</u>

EU CC	1 - Composition of regulatory own funds			
		2024	2023	Source based
		Amounts	Amounts	 on reference numbers/letters of the balance sheet under the regulatory scope of consolidation
Commo	n Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: instruments and reserves			
1	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	17,148	17,151	CC2 - 26
	of which: Ordinary Shares	17,148	17,151	
2	Retained earnings	29,953	31,676	CC2 - 28
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	-47	-1,106	
EU-3a	Funds for general banking risk			
4	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 484 (3) CRR and the related share premium accounts subject to phase out from CET1			
5	Minority interests (amount allowed in consolidated CET1)	485	334	
EU-5a	Independently reviewed interim profits net of any foreseeable charge or dividend	3,118	3,526	
6	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	50,657	51,581	
Commo	n Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: regulatory adjustments			
7	Additional value adjustments (negative amount)	-691	-594	
8	Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	-1,078	-934	CC2 - 5
9	Not applicable			

10	Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met) (negative amount)	-83	-173	CC2 - 11
11	Fair value reserves related to gains or losses on cash flow hedges of financial instruments that are not valued at fair value	1,693	2,058	
12	Negative amounts resulting from the calculation of expected loss amounts	-1,234	-599	
13	Any increase in equity that results from securitised assets (negative amount)			
14	Gains or losses on liabilities valued at fair value resulting from changes in own credit standing	15	-31	
15	Defined-benefit pension fund assets (negative amount)	-437	-428	
16	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by an institution of own CET1 instruments (negative amount)	-2,010	-2,512	
17	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the CET 1 instruments of financial sector entities where those entities have reciprocal cross holdings with the institution designed to inflate artificially the own funds of the institution (negative amount)			
18	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)			
19	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)			
20	Not applicable			
EU-20a	Exposure amount of the following items which qualify for a RW of 1250%, where the institution opts for the deduction alternative			
EU-20b	of which: qualifying holdings outside the financial sector (negative amount)			
EU-20c	of which: securitisation positions (negative amount)			
EU-20d	of which: free deliveries (negative amount)			

21	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount above 10% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met) (negative amount)			
22	Amount exceeding the 17,65% threshold (negative amount)			
23	of which: direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities			
24	Not applicable			
25	of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences			
EU-25a	Losses for the current financial year (negative amount)			
EU-25b	Foreseeable tax charges relating to CET1 items except where the institution suitably adjusts the amount of CET1 items insofar as such tax charges reduce the amount up to which those items may be used to cover risks or losses (negative amount)			
26	Not applicable			
27	Qualifying AT1 deductions that exceed the AT1 items of the institution (negative amount)			
27a	Other regulatory adjustments	-1,572	-1,513	
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	-5,397	-4,726	
29	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	45,260	46,856	
Additior	nal Tier 1 (AT1) capital: instruments			
30	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	7,970	6,988	CC2 - 24
31	of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards			
32	of which: classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards	7,970	6,988	
33	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 484 (4) CRR and the related share premium accounts subject to phase out from AT1			
	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 494a(1)			
EU-33a	CRR subject to phase out from AT1			

34	Qualifying Tier 1 capital included in consolidated AT1 capital (including minority interests not included in row 5) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	85	67	
35	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out			
36	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital before regulatory adjustments	8,055	7,055	
Addition	nal Tier 1 (AT1) capital: regulatory adjustments			
37	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by an institution of own AT1 instruments (negative amount)	-5	-5	
38	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where those entities have reciprocal cross holdings with the institution designed to inflate artificially the own funds of the institution (negative amount)			
39	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)			
40	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)			
41	Not applicable			
42	Qualifying T2 deductions that exceed the T2 items of the institution (negative amount)			
42a	Other regulatory adjustments to AT1 capital	-19	-8	
43	Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	-24	-13	
44	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	8,032	7,042	
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	53,291	53,898	
Tier 2 (T	2) capital: instruments			
46	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	9,887	9,150	CC2 - 24
47	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 484(5) CRR and the related share premium accounts subject to phase out from T2 as described in Article 486(4) CRR			
EU-47a	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 494a(2) CRR subject to phase out from T2			

EU-47b	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 494b(2) CRR subject to phase out from T2			
48	Qualifying own funds instruments included in consolidated T2 capital (including minority interests and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	68	48	
49	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out			
50	Credit risk adjustments			
51	Tier 2 (T2) capital before regulatory adjustments	9,956	9,198	
Tier 2 (T	2) capital: regulatory adjustments			
52	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by an institution of own T2 instruments and subordinated loans (negative amount)	-35	-35	
53	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where those entities have reciprocal cross holdings with the institution designed to inflate artificially the own funds of the institution (negative amount)			
54	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)			
54a	Not applicable			
55	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)			
56	Not applicable			
EU-56a	Qualifying eligible liabilities deductions that exceed the eligible liabilities items of the institution (negative amount)			
EU-56b	Other regulatory adjustments to T2 capital	-19	-8	
57	Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 (T2) capital	-54	-43	
58	Tier 2 (T2) capital	9,902	9,155	
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	63,194	63,052	
60	Total Risk exposure amount	333,708	319,169	

Capital r	ratios and requirements including buffers			
61	Common Equity Tier 1 capital	13.56 %	14.68 %	
62	Tier 1 capital	15.97 %	16.89 %	
63	Total capital	18.94 %	19.76 %	
64	Institution CET1 overall capital requirements	10.76 %	10.98 %	
65	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50 %	2.50 %	
66	of which: countercyclical capital buffer requirement	0.83 %	0.50 %	
67	of which: systemic risk buffer requirement			
EU-67a	of which: Global Systemically Important Institution (G-SII) or Other Systemically Important Institution (O-SII) buffer requirement	2.00 %	2.50 %	
EU-67b	of which: additional own funds requirements to address the risks other than the risk of excessive leverage	0.93 %	0.98 %	
68	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (as a percentage of risk exposure amount) available after meeting the minimum capital requirements	8.13 %	9.20 %	
Nationa	l minima (if different from Basel III)			
69	Not applicable			
70	Not applicable			
71	Not applicable			
Amount weightir	s below the thresholds for deduction (before risk ng)			
72	Direct and indirect holdings of own funds and eligible liabilities of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)	1,842	1,258	
73	Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount below 17.65% thresholds and net of eligible short positions)	3,827	2,944	
74	Not applicable			
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount below 17,65% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met)	665	763	
Applicat	ole caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 2			

76	Credit risk adjustments included in T2 in respect of exposures subject to standardised approach (prior to the application of the cap)		
77	Cap on inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under standardised approach	355	347
78	Credit risk adjustments included in T2 in respect of exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach (prior to the application of the cap)		
79	Cap for inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under internal ratings-based approach	1,474	1,382
	ıl instruments subject to phase-out arrangements (only able between 1 Jan 2014 and 1 Jan 2022)		
80	Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements		
81	Amount excluded from CET1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)		
82	Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements		
83	Amount excluded from AT1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)		
84	Current cap on T2 instruments subject to phase out arrangements		
85	Amount excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)		

EU CC2 - reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements

		Balance sheet as in published financial statements	Balance sheet as in published financial statements	Reference
		2024	2023	
As	sets - Breakdown by asset classes according t	to the balance sheet in	the published financial s	tatements
1	Cash and balances with central banks	70,353	90,214	
2	Loans and advances to banks	21,770	16,709	
3	Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	137,580	123,015	
4	Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income	46,389	41,116	
5	Securities at amortised cost	50,273	48,313	
6	Loans and advances to customers	680,233	642,402	

7	Investments in associates and joint ventures	1,679	1,509	
8	Property and equipment	2,434	2,399	
9	Intangible assets	1,334	1,198	CC1 -8
10	Current tax assets	485	311	
11	Deferred tax assets	1,069	1,280	CC1 - 10
12	Other assets	6,945	7,117	
13	Assets held for sale			
14	Total assets	1,020,545	975,583	
Liat	pilities - Breakdown by liability classes acco	ording to the balance she	et in the published fina	ncial statements
15	Deposits from banks	16,723	23,257	
16	Customer deposits	691,661	650,267	
17	Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	86,900	94,638	
18	Current tax liabilities	276	396	
19	Deferred tax liabilities	287	184	
20	Provisions	774	920	
21	Other liabilities	12,369	13,667	
22	Liabilities held for sale			
23	Debt securities in issue	142,367	124,670	
24	Subordinated loans	17,878	15,401	CC1 - 30, 46
25	Total liabilities	969,236	923,400	
Sha	reholders' Equity			
26	Share capital and share premium	17,148	17,151	CC1 - 1
27	Other reserves	-812	-3,099	
28	Retained earnings (incl. profit for the period)	33,978	37,188	CC1 - 2
29	Shareholders' equity (parent)	50,314	51,240	
30	Non-controlling interests	995	944	
31	Total shareholders' equity	51,309	52,184	

Transitional arrangements for IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs

In January 2018, the EBA published its final guidelines on disclosure requirements of IFRS 9 or analogous expected credit losses (ECLs) transitional arrangements. The guidelines specify a uniform disclosure template institutions shall use when disclosing the information on own funds, capital and leverage ratios, with and without the application of transitional arrangements for IFRS 9 or ECLs. These guidelines have been drafted in accordance with article 473a, paragraph 10 of the CRR, which mandates the EBA to issue guidelines on the disclosure requirements laid down in the same article.

In 2018, ING initially decided not to apply the CRR transitional arrangements for mitigating the impact of the introduction of IFRS 9 impairment on own funds. Therefore, the capital and leverage ratios published as from reporting period 1 January 2018 fully reflected the impact of impairment requirements resulting from IFRS 9.

On 26 June 2020, the Regulation (EU) 2020/873 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 June 2020 amending Regulations (EU) No 575/2013 and (EU) 2019/876 (CRR II) as regards certain adjustments in response to the Covid-19 pandemic (CRR 'quick fix') was published. Part of that was the possibility to extend by 2 years the transitional arrangements for mitigating the impact on own funds of the introduction of IFRS 9 (Article 473a (8) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013). During 2020, ING obtained supervisory permission to apply the dynamic component of transitional arrangements pursuant the CRR 'quick fix' (phasing in impact of increases in IFRS 9 Stage 1 and 2 provisions that have arisen since 1 January 2020). The next table illustrates the own funds, capital and leverage ratios with and without the application of transitional arrangements for IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs. This template will be disclosed this year for the last time.

IFRS 9-FL: Comparison of institutions' own funds and capital and leverage ratios with and without the application of transitional arrangements for IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs

	2024	2023
Available capital (amounts)		
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	45,260	46,856
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	45,254	46,844
Tier 1 capital	53,291	53,898
Tier 1 capital as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	53,286	53,886
Total capital	63,194	63,052
Total capital as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	63,188	63,041
Risk-weighted assets (amounts)		
Total risk-weighted assets	333,708	319,169
Total risk-weighted assets as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	333,702	319,157
Capital ratios		
Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	13.56 %	14.68 %
Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount) as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	13.56 %	14.68 %
Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	15.97 %	16.89 %
Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount) as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	15.97 %	16.88 %
Total capital (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	18.94 %	19.76 %
Total capital (as a percentage of risk exposure amount) as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	18.94 %	19.75 %
Leverage ratio		
Leverage ratio total exposure measure	1,129,689	1,076,794
Leverage ratio	4.72 %	5.01 %
Leverage ratio as if IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs transitional arrangements had not been applied	4.72 %	5.00 %

Leverage ratio

The leverage ratio is a CRR/CRD IV measure indicating the level of the Tier 1 Capital compared to the total exposure. Its aim is to assess the risk of excessive leverage of the institution. In line with the regulatory requirements, ING Group will use the specific EBA templates as basis for the presentation of its Leverage ratio. These EBA templates reflect the Leverage Ratio as calculated under the requirements of the CCR/CRD. The Final Draft Implementing Technical Standards (ITS) on disclosure of the leverage ratio have been approved by the European Commission and published in the EU Official Journal early 2016. The official reporting of the Delegated Act Leverage ratio to the ECB has commenced per September 2016.

As from January 2023, G-SII buffer requirement (0.5%) has come into effect, increasing overall Leverage Ratio requirement to 3.5% in accordance with the Article 92(1a) of the CRR. Leverage ratio decreased in 2024 to 4.7% (from 5.0%), primarily as a consequence of an increase in the exposure measure of EUR 52.9 billion.

	2024	2023
	CRR/CRD IV	CRR/CRD IV
	Applicable amounts	Applicable amounts
1 Total assets as per published financial statements	1,020,545	975,583
2 Adjustment for entities which are consolidated for accounting purposes but are outside the scope of prudential consolidation		
3 (Adjustment for securitised exposures that meet the operational requirements for the recognition of risk transference)		
4 (Adjustment for temporary exemption of exposures to central banks (if applicable))		
 (Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the applicable accounting framework but excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (i) of Article 429a(1) CRR) 		
6 Adjustment for regular-way purchases and sales of financial assets subject to trade date accounting	-895	-897
7 Adjustment for eligible cash pooling transactions		
8 Adjustment for derivative financial instruments	12,927	10,410
9 Adjustment for securities financing transactions (SFTs)	5,900	4,361
10 Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (ie conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	105,076	100,124
11 (Adjustment for prudent valuation adjustments and specific and general provisions which have reduced Tier 1 capital)		
EU-11a (Adjustment for exposures excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (c) of Article 429a(1) CRR)		
EU-11b (Adjustment for exposures excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (j) of Article 429a(1) CRR)		
12 Other adjustments	-13,864	-12,787

EO LR	2 - LRCom: Leverage ratio common disclosure		
		2024	2023
		CRR/CRD IV	CRR/CRD IV
		Applicable	Applicable
		amounts	amounts
On-bala	nce sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)		
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs, but including collateral)	908,338	878,421
2	Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided, where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the applicable accounting framework		
3	(Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)	-7,465	-7,863
4	(Adjustment for securities received under securities financing transactions that are recognised as an asset)		
5	(General credit risk adjustments to on-balance sheet items)		
6	(Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital)	-5,058	-4,200
7	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	895,815	866,358
Derivati	ve exposures		
8	Replacement cost associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions (ie net of eligible cash variation margin)	16,491	14,595
EU-8a	Derogation for derivatives: replacement costs contribution under the simplified standardised approach		
9	Add-on amounts for potential future exposure associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions	26,172	21,654
EU-9a	Derogation for derivatives: Potential future exposure contribution under the simplified standardised approach		
EU-9b	Exposure determined under Original Exposure Method		
10	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures) (SA-CCR)	-24	-11
EU-10a	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures) (simplified standardised approach)		
EU-10b	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures) (Original Exposure Method)		
11	Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	16,320	7,781
12	(Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives)	-13,763	-5,901
13	Total derivatives exposures	45,195	38,118
Securiti	es financing transaction (SFT) exposures		
14	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjustment for sales accounting transactions	130,287	104,173
15	(Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets)	-50,612	-34,767

16	Counterparty credit risk exposure for SFT assets	5,900	4,361
EU-16a	Derogation for SFTs: Counterparty credit risk exposure in accordance with Articles 429e(5) and 222 CRR		
17	Agent transaction exposures		
EU-17a	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared SFT exposure)		
18	Total securities financing transaction exposures	85,575	73,767
Other o	ff-balance sheet exposures		
19	Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	290,096	277,530
20	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	-185,020	-177,406
21	(General provisions deducted in determining Tier 1 capital and specific provisions associated associated with off-balance sheet exposures)		
22	Off-balance sheet exposures	105,076	100,124
Exclude	d exposures		
EU-22a	(Exposures excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (c) of Article 429a(1) CRR)		
EU-22b	(Exposures exempted in accordance with point (j) of Article 429a(1) CRR (on and off balance sheet))		
EU-22c	(Excluded exposures of public development banks (or units) - Public sector investments)		
EU-22d	(Excluded exposures of public development banks (or units) - Promotional loans)		
EU-22e	(Excluded passing-through promotional loan exposures by non-public development banks (or units))		
EU-22f	(Excluded guaranteed parts of exposures arising from export credits)	-1,972	-1,573
EU-22g	(Excluded excess collateral deposited at triparty agents)		
EU-22h	(Excluded CSD related services of CSD/institutions in accordance with point (o) of Article $429a(1)$ CRR)		
EU-22i	(Excluded CSD related services of designated institutions in accordance with point (p) of Article 429a(1) CRR)		
EU-22j	(Reduction of the exposure value of pre-financing or intermediate loans)		
	(Exposures excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (n) of Article 429a(1) CRR)		
EU-22k	(Total exempted exposures)	-1,972	-1,573
Capital	and total exposure measure		
23	Tier 1 capital	53,291	53,898
24	Total exposure measure	1,129,689	1,076,794
Leverag	e ratio		
25	Leverage ratio (%)	4.72 %	5.01 %

EU-25	Leverage ratio (excluding the impact of the exemption of public sector investments and promotional loans) (%)	4.72 %	5.01 %
25a	Leverage ratio (excluding the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves) (%)	4.72 %	5.01 %
26	Regulatory minimum leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.00 %	3.00 %
EU-26a	Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%)		
EU-26b	of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)		
27	Leverage ratio buffer requirement (%)	0.50	0.50
EU-27a	Overall leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.50 %	3.50 %
Choice	on transitional arrangements and relevant exposures		
EU-27b	Choice on transitional arrangements for the definition of the capital measure		
Disclos	ure of mean values		
28	Mean of daily values of gross SFT assets, after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivable	122,773	100,391
29	Quarter-end value of gross SFT assets, after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivables	79,675	69,407
30	Total exposure measure (including the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves) incorporating mean values from row 28 of gross SFT assets (after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivables)	1,172,787	1,107,779
30a	Total exposure measure (excluding the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves) incorporating mean values from row 28 of gross SFT assets (after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivables)	1,172,787	1,107,779
31	Leverage ratio (including the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves) incorporating mean values from row 28 of gross SFT assets (after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivables)	4.54 %	4.87 %
31a	Leverage ratio (excluding the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves) incorporating mean values from row 28 of gross SFT assets (after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivables)	4.54 %	4.87 %

TLAC and MREL

TLAC requirements apply to ING Group at the consolidated level of the resolution group and are currently set at the higher of (a) 18% of RWA or (b) 6.75% TLAC leverage. In December 2020 CRD V was incorporated in Dutch law. This law specifies that CET1 capital used to meet the Combined Buffer Requirement (CBR) cannot be used to meet the RWA-based TLAC requirement, implying that the total RWA-based requirement (a) is 23.33% of RWA (18% plus the combined buffer requirement of 5.33%). This amounts to a EUR 77.9 billion requirement. The second TLAC requirement (b), 6.75% of the Leverage ratio exposure, amounts to EUR 76.3 billion. ING Group's TLAC capacity was EUR 111.2 billion per end 2024, EUR 33.4 billion in excess of the highest of (a) and (b). This compares to a TLAC Capacity per end 2023 of EUR 103.6 billion, EUR 28.6 billion in excess of the EUR 75.0 billion TLAC requirement.

ING Group meets the MREL requirements with an MREL ratio as per 31 December 2024 of 33.3% of RWA and 9.8% of leverage ratio exposure compared to the the more restrictive requirement of 23.75% of RWA or 7.32% of Leverage ratio exposure requirement applicable as per the reporting date as determined by the resolution authority.

ING has published the template "EU CCA: Main features of regulatory own funds instruments and eligible instruments" to complement the TLAC templates below. <u>https://www.ing.com/Investor-relations/Financial-performance/Annual-reports.htm</u>

EU KM2	2: key metrics - MREL and, where applicable, G-SII Requirement for own fun	ds and eligible	e liabilities
at the l	evel of each resolution group	2024	2024
		Minimum requirement for own funds and	G-SII Requirement for own funds and
		eligible liabilities (MREL)	eligible liabilities (TLAC)
Own fu	nds and eligible liabilities, ratios and components		
1	Own funds and eligible liabilities	111,247	111,247
EU-1a	Of which own funds and subordinated liabilities	111,247	
2	Total risk exposure amount of the resolution group (TREA)	333,708	333,708
3	Own funds and eligible liabilities as a percentage of TREA (row1/row2)	33.34 %	33.34 %
EU-3a	Of which own funds and subordinated liabilities	33.34 %	
4	Total exposure measure of the resolution group	1,129,689	1,129,689
5	Own funds and eligible liabilities as percentage of the total exposure measure	9.85 %	9.85 %
EU-5a	Of which own funds or subordinated liabilities	9.85 %	
6α	Pro-memo item - Aggregate amount of permitted non-subordinated eligible liabilities instruments If the subordination discretion as per Article 72b(3) CRR is applied (max 3.5% exemption)		
6b	Does the subordination exemption in Article 72(b)(4) of the CRR apply? (5% exemption)		No
6с	Pro-memo item: If a capped subordination exemption applies under Article 72(b)(3) or (4), the amount of funding issued that ranks pari passu with excluded liabilities and that is recognised under row 1, divided by funding issued that ranks pari passu with Excluded Liabilities and that would be recognised under row 1 if no cap was applied (%)		
Minimu	m requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL)		
EU-7	MREL requirement expressed as percentage of the total risk exposure amount	23.75 %	
EU-8	Of which to be met with own funds or subordinated liabilities	18.38 %	
EU-9	MREL requirement expressed as percentage of the total exposure measure	7.32 %	
EU-10	Of which to be met with own funds or subordinated liabilities	7.32 %	

at the l	evel of each resolution group	2023	2023
		Minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL)	G-SII Requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (TLAC)
Own fu	nds and eligible liabilities, ratios and components		
1	Own funds and eligible liabilities		103,602
EU-1a	Of which own funds and subordinated liabilities		
2	Total risk exposure amount of the resolution group (TREA)		319,169
3	Own funds and eligible liabilities as a percentage of TREA (row1/row2)		32.46 %
EU-3a	Of which own funds and subordinated liabilities		
4	Total exposure measure of the resolution group		1,076,783
5	Own funds and eligible liabilities as percentage of the total exposure measure		9.62 %
EU-5a	Of which own funds or subordinated liabilities		
6а	Pro-memo item - Aggregate amount of permitted non-subordinated eligible liabilities instruments If the subordination discretion as per Article 72b(3) CRR is applied (max 3.5% exemption)		
6b	Does the subordination exemption in Article 72(b)(4) of the CRR apply? (5% exemption)		No
6c	Pro-memo item: If a capped subordination exemption applies under Article 72(b)(3) or (4), the amount of funding issued that ranks pari passu with excluded liabilities and that is recognised under row 1, divided by funding issued that ranks pari passu with Excluded Liabilities and that would be recognised under row 1 if no cap was applied (%)		
Minimu	Im requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL)		
EU-7	MREL requirement expressed as percentage of the total risk exposure amount		
EU-8	Of which to be met with own funds or subordinated liabilities		
EU-9	MREL requirement expressed as percentage of the total exposure measure		
EU-10	Of which to be met with own funds or subordinated liabilities		

EU TLAC1 - Composition - MREL and, where applicable, the G-SII Requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities

				2024
		Minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL)	G-SII Requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (TLAC)	Memo item: Amounts eligible for the purposes of MREL, but not TLAC
Own funds and eli	gible liabilities and adjustments			
1 Common	Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	45,260	45,260	
2 Additiona	Tier 1 capital (AT1)	8,032	8,032	
3 Empty set	in the EU			
4 Empty set	in the EU			
5 Empty set	in the EU			
6 Tier 2 capi	tal (T2)	9,902	9,902	
7 Empty set	in the EU			
8 Empty set	in the EU			
	s for the purpose of Articles 92a CRR and 45 ng from regulatory capital instruments	63,194	63,194	
Own funds and eli	gible liabilities: Non-regulatory capital elemen	its		
12 resolution	bilities instruments issued directly by the entity that are subordinated to excluded not grandfathered)	47,934	47,934	
EU 12a within the	bilities instruments issued by other entities resolution group that are subordinated to iabilities (not grandfathered)			
EU12b excluded l	bilities instruments that are subordinated to iabilities, issued prior to 27 June 2019 ated grandfathered)	120	120	
	ruments with a residual maturity of at least to the extent they do not qualify as Tier 2 items			
liabilities (bilities that are not subordinated to excluded not grandfathered pre cap)			
	bilities that are not subordinated to excluded ssued prior to 27 June 2019 (pre-cap)			
	f non subordinated instruments eligible, where after application of articles 72b (3) and (4) CRR			
15 Empty set	in the EU			

16	Empty set in the EU			
17	Eligible liabilities items before adjustments	48,054	48,054	
EU-17a	Of which subordinated	48,054	48,054	
Own fu	nds and eligible liabilities: Adjustments to non-regulatory	J capital elements		
18	Own funds and eligible liabilities items before adjustments	111,247	111,247	
19	(Deduction of exposures between MPE resolution groups)			
20	(Deduction of investments in other eligible liabilities instruments)			
21	Empty set in the EU			
22	Own funds and eligible liabilities after adjustments	111,247	111,247	
EU-22a	Of which own funds and subordinated	111,247		
Risk-we	eighted exposure amount and leverage exposure measure	e of the resolution	group	
23	Total risk exposure amount adjusted as permitted by article 45h(2) of Directive 2014/59/EU	333,708	333,708	
24	Total exposure measure	1,129,689	1,129,689	
Ratio o	f own funds and eligible liabilities			
25	Own funds and eligible liabilities (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	33.34%	33.34%	
EU-25a	Of which own funds and subordinated	33.34%	33.34%	
26	Own funds and eligible liabilities (as a percentage of total exposure measure)	9.85%	9.85%	
EU-26a	Of which own funds and subordinated	9.85%	9.85%	
27	CET1 (as a percentage of TREA) available after meeting the resolution group's requirements	8.13%	8.13%	
28	Institution-specific combined buffer requirement	5.33%	5.33%	
29	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50%	2.50%	
30	of which: countercyclical buffer requirement	0.83%	0.83%	
31	of which: systemic risk buffer requirement	0.00%	0.00%	
EU-31a	of which: Global Systemically Important Institution (G-SII) or Other Systemically Important Institution (O-SII) buffer	2.00%	2.00%	

		2023
		G-SI Requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (TLAC)
Own fur	nds and eligible liabilities and adjustments	
1	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	46,856
2	Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1)	7,042
3	Empty set in the EU	
4	Empty set in the EU	
5	Empty set in the EU	
6	Tier 2 capital (T2)	9,155
7	Empty set in the EU	
8	Empty set in the EU	
11	Own funds for the purpose of Articles 92a CRR and 45 BRRD arising from regulatory capital instruments	63,052
Own fur	nds and eligible liabilities: Non-regulatory capital elements	
12	Eligible liabilities instruments issued directly by the resolution entity that are subordinated to excluded liabilities (not grandfathered)	40,430
EU 12a	Eligible liabilities instruments issued by other entities within the resolution group that are subordinated to excluded liabilities (not grandfathered)	
EU12b	Eligible liabilities instruments that are subordinated to excluded liabilities, issued prior to 27 June 2019 (subordinated grandfathered)	120
EU12c	Tier 2 instruments with a residual maturity of at least one year to the extent they do not qualify as Tier 2 items	
13	Eligible liabilities that are not subordinated to excluded liabilities (not grandfathered pre cap)	
EU-13a	Eligible liabilities that are not subordinated to excluded liabilities issued prior to 27 June 2019 (pre-cap)	
14	Amount of non subordinated instruments eligible, where applicable after application of articles 72b (3) and (4) CRR	
15	Empty set in the EU	
16	Empty set in the EU	
17	Eligible liabilities items before adjustments	40,550
EU-17a	Of which subordinated	40,550

18	Own funds and eligible liabilities items before adjustments	103,602
19	(Deduction of exposures between MPE resolution groups)	
20	(Deduction of investments in other eligible liabilities instruments)	
21	Empty set in the EU	
22	Own funds and eligible liabilities after adjustments	103,602
EU-22a	Of which own funds and subordinated	
Risk-we	ighted exposure amount and leverage exposure measure of the resolution group	
23	Total risk exposure amount adjusted as permitted by article 45h(2) of Directive 2014/59/EU	319,169
24	Total exposure measure	1,076,783
Ratio of	own funds and eligible liabilities	
25	Own funds and eligible liabilities (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	32.46 %
EU-25a	Of which own funds and subordinated	32.46 %
26	Own funds and eligible liabilities (as a percentage of total exposure measure)	9.62 %
EU-26a	Of which own funds and subordinated	9.62 %
27	CET1 (as a percentage of TREA) available after meeting the resolution group's requirements	9.20 %
28	Institution-specific combined buffer requirement	5.50 %
29	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50 %
30	of which: countercyclical buffer requirement	0.50 %
31	of which: systemic risk buffer requirement	
EU-31a	of which: Global Systemically Important Institution (G-SII) or Other Systemically Important Institution (O-SII) buffer	2.50 %

EU TI	LAC3: creditor ranking - resolution entity								
2024	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>			in	nsolvenc	y ranking			Sum of 1 to 11
		1	2	3		7	10	11	
		(most junior)					(most senior)	
1	Description of insolvency ranking (free text)	Common equity Tier 1 instruments	Additional Tier 1 instruments	Tier 2 capital instruments		Other liabilities	Employee Liabilities that have a preferential status by law	Dutch Tax and social security authority Claims that have a preferential status by law	
2	Total liabilities and own funds	50,171	. 7,970)	9,887	50,965		119	119,112
3	o/w excluded liabilities					73		119	192
4	Total liabilities and own funds less excluded liabilities	50,171	. 7,970)	9,887	50,891			118,919
5	Subset of row 4 that are own funds and liabilities potentially eligible for meeting (TLAC)	50,171	. 7,970)	9,887	48,054			116,082
6	o/w residual maturity \geq 1 year < 2 years					7,929			7,929
7	o/w residual maturity \geq 2 year < 5 years					20,872			20,872
8	o/w residual maturity ≥ 5 years < 10 years				8,072	15,211			23,283
9	o/w residual maturity \geq 10 years, but excluding perpetual securities				1,815	4,042			5,857
10	o/w perpetual securities	50,171	. 7,970)					58,141

EU TI	LAC3: creditor ranking - resolution entity							
202	3			insolvenc	J ranking			Sum of 1 to 11
		1	2	3	7	10	11	
		(most junior)					(most senior)	
1	Description of insolvency ranking (free text)	Common equity Tier 1 instruments	Additional Tier 1 instruments	Tier 2 capital instruments	Other liabilities	Employee Liabilities that have a preferential status by law	Dutch Tax and social security authority Claims that have a preferential status by law	
2	Total liabilities and own funds	51,248	6,988	9,150	42,680		47	110,112
3	o/w excluded liabilities				56		47	102
4	Total liabilities and own funds less excluded liabilities	51,248	6,988	9,150	42,624			110,009
5	Subset of row 4 that are own funds and liabilities potentially eligible for meeting (TLAC)	51,248	6,988	9,150	40,550			107,935
6	o/w residual maturity ≥1 year <2 years				3,246			3,246
7	o/w residual maturity ≥2 year < 5 years				21,843			21,843
8	o/w residual maturity ≥ 5 years < 10 years			8,653	12,552			21,205
9	o/w residual maturity \geq 10 years, but excluding perpetual securities			496	2,909			3,405
10	o/w perpetual securities	51,248	6,988					58,236

Credit Risk

Basis and scope of presentation

In the credit risk section of Pillar III, data included in the tables are related to ING's credit risk resulting from Lending (both on- and off-balance), Money Market activities, Investment Risks, Securities Financing and Derivatives. The Securities Financing and Derivatives portfolios are presented separately in the counterparty credit risk section.

The amounts presented in this section relate to amounts used for credit risk management purposes, which follow ING's internal interpretation of the definitions as prescribed under CRR/CRD IV. Therefore, the numbers can be different from the accounting numbers as reported in the annual accounts under IFRS-EU. An example is the treatment of ONCOA (Other Non-Credit Obligation Assets) items – while the accounting numbers include ONCOA, they are excluded from the credit risk section of Pillar III.

The majority of the tables included in this section are based on gross or net carrying value. The gross carrying value refers to the original exposure pre-credit conversion factors for the on- and off-balance sheet items. The net carrying value corresponds to the original exposure (on- and off-balance) pre-credit conversion factors corrected for allowances, impairments and provisions.

READ is the Regulatory Exposure at Default (READ) and credit risk weighted assets (RWA) under the CRR/CRD IV definitions. READ is the sum of the on-balance and off-balance sheet: Lending, Investment, Money Market and counterparty activities plus an estimated portion of the unused credit facilities extended to the obligor. The amounts associated with Investment and Lending activities are based on the original amount invested less repayments.

The Figures Securities Financing are based on the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method applying supervisory volatility adjustments. The Derivatives figures are based on the Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk (SA-CCR). Under SA-CCR the exposure at default (EAD) estimate is based on two components; the current replacement cost (RC) and the potential future exposure (PFE). The RC reflects today's loss amount upon the default of a counterparty. The PFE reflects the future expected increase in loss amount. Both replacement cost and PFE are adjusted based on the underlying collateral and any legal netting or compensation that may be permitted under master agreement arrangements such as International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) master agreements and Credit Support Annexes (CSAs).

Off-balance sheet exposures include letters of credit and guarantees, which are associated with the Lending risk category and are included under 'credit risk outstanding'. Additionally, off-balance sheet exposures include a portion of the unused limits, which represent the expected value of the outstanding at the theoretical moment of default. These are not counted under 'credit risk outstanding' but they contribute to total exposure and READ.

Investments in a financial sector entity, determined following art. 43 of the CRR, are included in the item "amounts below the thresholds for deduction" of table EU-OV1 "ING Regulatory capital requirements" up to the level at which the combined significant investments are equal to 10% of the CET1 capital of ING. These exposures are subject to 250% risk weight.

A small part of the equity exposure of ING's portfolio is subject to the simple risk weight method for calculating the regulatory capital.

Credit risk approach

ING applies the Advanced Internal Ratings Based (AIRB) approach on the majority of its significant portfolios that contain credit risk in accordance with the required approvals granted by ECB and various local regulators. The AIRB approach is permitted by the regulator if there are regulatory approved rating models (PD, EAD and LGD) in place and if the (local) management understands and uses these rating models (Basel Use Test) in their credit decision-making processes. However, a small portion of the portfolio remains subject to the Standardised Approach (SA). The majority of SA portfolios at ING relate to subsidiaries where the home regulator does not have a robust AIRB framework or requirement.

Credit risk capital

Regulatory capital is the minimum amount of capital (based on 99.90% confidence level) that ING holds from a regulatory perspective as a cushion to be able to survive large unexpected losses.

RWA comparison

The differences in RWA among banks have been classified by the BIS in 3 categories:

- Risk based drivers that stem from the differences in underlying risk at the exposure/portfolio level and in business models/ strategies including asset class mix;
- Practice-based drivers including approaches to risk management and risk measurement; and
- Regulatory environment such as supervisory practices, implementing laws and regulations including national discretion and accounting standards.

ING Group Additional Pillar III Report 2024

Risk based drivers

ING's portfolio is dominated by secured lending especially in the areas of residential mortgages, leasing and commercial real estate. Secured lending tends to have a much lower LGD, given the collateral involved, which is a key driver of RWA calculations. Therefore the regulatory formula for residential mortgages tends to result in lower RWA, all other factors being equal.

Practice based drivers

ING has a proactive approach to non-performing exposures. Non-performing exposures are recognised early based on unlikely to pay triggers. For non-retail, ING typically classifies default based on a borrower rating and not a facility rating which means that a customer will only have one PD (probability of default) regardless of the type(s) of transactions done with ING. As a consequence, if one facility is in default, usually all facilities of the client are in default. Non-performing clients which were granted forbearance measures have an additional probation period of 1 year starting from the last moment they are classified as forborne, before returning to performing status.

Regulatory environment

ING's primary supervising entity is the ECB, which is supported by many host supervisors. The ECB supervises adherence to regulatory rules: the regulatory framework defined in CRR/CRD, implementing- and regulatory technical standards, European Banking Authority (EBA) guidelines and ECB guidance. Regulations require all 'significant changes' in internal models (PD, LGD and EAD) and policies to be reviewed and approved by the ECB, prior to implementation. Lower level model changes are either pre-notified or post-notified to the ECB.

Comparing capital levels across banks is a challenging exercise because of different risk profiles, differences in risk based drivers, practice based drivers and the regulatory environment (e.g. advanced internal rating based approach or the standardised approach). These factors have a substantial impact on the regulatory capital/RWA of a financial institution. ING continues to work with industry groups and strives to adhere to the latest BIS and EBA recommendations to improve the transparent reporting of the bank's capital calculations.

Economic capital

Economic capital reflects ING's own view on credit risk, which allows it to be used in decision-making processes at (sub) portfolio level. Credit risk and transfer risk capital are calculated for all portfolios which contain credit or transfer risk, including investment portfolios. Economic capital is the minimum amount of capital required to cover unexpected losses within a 99.9% confidence level and a 12 month time horizon. It is used throughout ING in the decision-making process (mainly wholesale banking), in risk adjusted counterparty and portfolio profitability measurement tools (wholesale and retail banking), in investment and divestment decisions, in the country risk framework and in concentration risk management, including

risk appetite statements (RAS). Economic capital is calculated using the economic values of rating models (PD, EAD and LGD), in line with regulatory requirements.

An important characteristic of our IT systems and framework is that models are built for several purposes, including economic capital, regulatory capital and loan loss provisioning. These credit risk models are used throughout the organisation which is compliant with the Basel Use Test requirement and facilitates active feedback on the risk parameters by business units.

Credit risk measurement

There are two ways to measure credit risk for regulatory reporting purposes within ING's portfolio, depending on whether the exposure is booked under an ING office that is permitted by the ECB to use the advanced internal rating based (AIRB) approach, or if it falls under the standardised approach (SA).

Standardised approach

The SA applies a fixed risk weight to each asset as dictated by the CRR, and is based on the exposure class to which the exposure is assigned. As such, the SA is the least sophisticated of the regulatory capital methodologies and is not as sensitive as the risk-based approach. Where external rating agency ratings are available, they may be used as a substitute to using the fixed risk weightings assigned by the Financial Supervisory Authorities. Because the underlying obligors are relatively small for exposures treated under SA, with an exception for Governments and central banks, the underlying obligors tend not to have external ratings.

Advanced internal rating based approach

There are five main elements that drive the determination of risk-weighted assets under the AIRB approach.

- Probability of Default (PD): The first is the borrower's probability of default, which measures a client's creditworthiness in terms of likelihood to go into default. It attempts to measure the senior, unsecured standalone creditworthiness of an organisation without consideration of structural elements of the underlying transactions, such as collateral, pricing, or maturity. Each borrower has a rating which translates into a specific PD.
- Exposure at Default (EAD): The second element is the borrower's exposure at default. EAD models are intended to estimate the outstandings amount or obligation at the moment of default. Since the time in which a client may go into default is unknown, and the level of outstandings that may occur on that date is also unknown, ING uses a combination of statistical and hybrid models to estimate the EAD. With the exception of guarantees and letters of credit, the EAD is always equal to or higher than the associated credit risk outstandings, under the assumption that clients tend to absorb liquidity from available credit resources before financial problems become apparent to the clients' creditors. EAD is largely a function of the type of credit facility (overdraft, revolving, term) offered to the borrower.

- Loss Given Default (LGD): The third element is loss given default. LGD models are intended to estimate
 the amount ING would lose after liquidating collateral pledged in association with a given loan or
 financial obligation, or alternatively, from liquidating the company as a whole as part of a workout
 process. LGD models are based on cover types, estimated recovery rates given orderly liquidation, and
 (in)direct cost of liquidation.
- Maturity (M): The fourth element is the time to the maturity of the underlying financial obligation. Regulatory requirements (CRR/CRDIV) floor the maturity element at one year and cap it at five years.
- Exposure Class: The fifth element is the exposure class (a regulatory prescribed grouping of a common obligor type or product type) which is a driver for the correlation factor. To calculate risk-weighted assets the default correlation between a transaction and all other transactions in the portfolio is taken into account.

The expected loss (EL) provides a measure of the value of the credit losses that ING may reasonably expect to incur on its portfolio. In its basic form, the expected loss can be represented as:

EL = PD * EAD * LGD

Credit risk tools

Models used for exposure classes

ING has developed PD, EAD and LGD models for Wholesale Banking and Retail Banking portfolios. These models are subject to Credit and Trading Risk Committee (CTRC) approval and changes which significantly impact the results require approval from the regulator before implementation. By nature, the above described exposure classes have different, specific characteristics. To capture these specific characteristics and to have suitable valuations and analyses in place, Model Development is continuously updating and developing models within each exposure class.

ING master scale

Internal rating grade		PD range for each grade		External Rating Equivalent
Performing				
	1	0.00 - 0.01%		AAA
	2	0.01 - 0.03%		AA+
	3	0.03 - 0.04%		AA
	4	0.04 - 0.05%		AA-
le vestre ent evede	5	0.05 - 0.06%		A+
Investment grade	6	0.06 - 0.08%		А
	7	0.08 - 0.11%		A-
	8	0.11 - 0.17%		BBB+
	9	0.17 - 0.26%		BBB
	10	0.26 - 0.37%		BBB-
	11	0.37 - 0.58%		BB+
	12	0.58 - 1.00%		BB
	13	1.00 - 1.77%		BB-
Non-investment grade	14	1.77 - 3.23%		B+
	15	3.23 - 6.05%		В
	16	6.05 - 11.67%		B-
	17	11.67 - 20.20%		ССС
Sub-standard grade	18	20.20 - 29.58%		СС
	19	>29.58%-100%		С
Non-performing				
	20		1	Default
Non-performing grade	21		1	Default
	22		1	Default

AIRB models per exposure class

In the table below, the number of significant PD, EAD and LGD models per asset class are shown. Additionally a description of the model and methodology are provided per exposure class. The asset classes presented in this table do not align with the EBA Exposure classes as the scope has been redefined to better fit the scope of the model. SME exposure, for example, can be part of either corporate exposures or other retail depending on the size of the SME.

AIRB models and methodology							
	Model Type	Number of significant models	Model description and methodology	Number of years of data			
	PD	1	The government related entities PD model is expert based and assigns ratings based on stand-alone credit fundamentals as well as degree of government support.	>7 years			
Government related entities	LGD	1	The LGD model for Government related entities is a secured/unsecured recovery model built on assessment of stand-alone fundamentals as well as geography.	>7 years			
	EAD	1	The Low Default EAD model is a hybrid model that pools default information from multiple low default portfolios, including government related entities.	>7 years			
	PD	3	The main PD model applied is Bank Commercial based upon financial, qualitative and country information. Other PD models for different types of financial institutions are built using a similar framework, but are more specialised for the specific characteristics of the financial institution.	>7 years			
Financial institutions	LGD	recovery rates.					
	EAD	1	The Low Default EAD model is a hybrid model used that pools default information from multiple low default portfolios, including financial institutions.	>7 years			
	PD	3	Expert based scorecards Models predict a rating for Commercial Property Finance, Project Finance, Trade and Commodity Finance.	>7 years			
Corporates - Specialized lending	LGD	3	Hybrid LGD Models predict loss given default for Commercial Property Finance, Project Finance, Trade and Commodity Finance.	>7 years			
	EAD	1	There is a dedicated EAD model for commercial property finance due to the specificities of this portfolio.	>7 years			
	PD	1	The Corporate Large model is a global hybrid model build on 13 years of data, including balance sheet and qualitative information as well as country risk and parent influence.	>7 years			
Large Corporates	LGD	1	Loss Given Default for Large corporates are predicted by a dedicated hybrid LGD model using both no loss rates as well as secured/unsecured recovery rates.	>7 years			
	EAD	1	The Low Default EAD model is a hybrid model used that pools default information from multiple low default portfolios, including large corporates.	>7 years			
	PD	6	The SME PD models are estimated statistically and directly predict a PD. Most of these models are developed locally to reflect regional/jurisdiction circumstances.	. >7 years			
SME	LGD	6	Local statistical models or hybrid models use various data inputs on cure behaviour as well as cost and recovery.	>7 years			
	EAD	6	Local statistical models that use various data inputs, including product type and geography.	>7 years			
	PD	7 ¹	The PD mortgages models are all developed statistically and include borrower specific information, payment behaviour and product related information. These are statistical models that directly predict a PD.	>5 years ²			
Secured by residential mortgages	LGD	7	Local statistical models or hybrid models use various data inputs on cure behaviour as well as cost and recovery	>7 years			
	EAD	7	Local statistical models that use various data inputs, including product type and geography.	>7 years			
	PD	41	The PD models for private individuals are all developed statistically and include borrower specific information, payment behaviour and product related information. These are statistical models that directly predict a PD.	>5 years ²			
Private Individuals	LGD	4	Local statistical models use various data inputs on cure behaviour as well as cost and recovery.	>7 years			
	EAD	4	Local statistical models that use various data inputs, including product type and geography.	>7 years			
Other1	Other		(Covered Bonds, Structured assets)				

1 Belgian PD models provide a rating at a customer level, covering both secured and unsecured loans. 2 For retail PD modelling a minimum of 5 years is allowed based on ING's Modelling Standards, which are compliant with regulatory requirements.

Credit quality

This section focusses on non-performing loans, which are loans where there is a reasonable probability that ING may encounter a loss, unless ING intervenes with specific and significant actions. In other words, in this category an account or portfolio requires a more intensified approach, which may include renegotiation of terms and conditions and/or business/financial restructuring.

The credit quality of risk exposures is presented in several tables, that were introduced in 2021 due to changes in Pillar 3 regulations. The tables provide insight in the credit quality per exposure class, industry or counterparty type and geography. These tables present the gross carrying values, consisting of on- and off-balance sheet exposures, split over non-performing / performing, specific risk adjustments and impairments/allowances. The net carrying values are the result of specific risk adjustments and / or after impairments/allowances and are presented at the end of the tables.

On-balance sheet items include loans and debt securities. Off-balance sheet items include guarantees given and irrevocable loan commitments. Pre-settlement exposures are not included in this section.

More information on the definition of non-performing loans and allowances can be found in the credit quality section of the Risk Management paragraph of the Annual report.

This section provides a comprehensive picture of the credit quality of the banks' assets per exposure class. The data excludes Counterparty Credit Risk exposures, Securitisations, CVA RWA, Equities and ONCOA. The net carrying values correspond to the original exposure (on and off-balance) pre-credit conversion factors corrected for allowances, impairments and provisions.

Performing and Non-Performing Exposures and Related Provisions

EU CR1: Performing and no	n-performing	g exposures a	ind related pr	ovisions											
2024		Gross c	arrying amoun	t/nominal amo	ount		Accumulated in	npairment, ac	cumulated n risk and p	egative changes rovisions	in fair value du	ie to credit		Collateral an guarantees	
	Perfo	Performing exposures Non-per		Non-per	Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions			Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions			tial On off performing exposures	On non- performing
	_	Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3		exposures	exposures
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	71,911	70,803	1,108	5		5	-15	-1	-14	-5		-5		21	
Loans and advances	753,097	630,159	66,470	13,045		12,794	-1,449	-367	-1,082	-4,406		-4,385	-179	588,046	6,370
Central banks	6,273	5,430												6,272	
General governments	18,822	17,970	437	253		253	-8	-5	-3	-10		-10		6,334	232
Credit institutions	35,595	13,036	150	75		75	-3	-3		-11		-11		28,403	52
Other financial corporations	81,968	48,406	2,101	158		158	-56	-14	-42	-104		-104	-6	62,606	38
Non-financial corporations	229,625	198,271	30,291	7,517		7,436	-645	-174	-471	-3,017		-3,000	-173	135,065	3,064
Of which SMEs	36,495	31,170	5,325	1,474		1,474	-172	-48	-124	-659		-659	-1	30,574	680
Households	380,814	347,046	33,491	5,041		4,872	-737	-171	-566	-1,264		-1,261		349,367	2,984
Debt securities	98,026	92,394	126				-27	-24	-4					578	
Central banks	3,344	2,900													
General governments	65,289	63,980	126				-25	-21	-4						
Credit institutions	20,846	20,821					-2	-2						235	
Other financial corporations	7,503	4,498												287	
Non-financial corporations	1,044	195												56	
Off-balance-sheet exposures	289,378	205,828	12,182	718		714	-47	-17	-30	-99		-99		45,271	263
Central banks	10	10													
General governments	8,005	6,819	183	46		46	-4		-4					402	
Credit institutions	5,462	4,940	130				-2	-1	-1					15	
Other financial corporations	32,821	27,157	1,610	7		7	-2	-1	-1	-1		-1		10,517	3
Non-financial corporations	206,393	135,459	8,707	627		623	-37	-15	-22	-97		-97		22,017	241
Households	36,686	31,444	1,553	38		38	-2		-2	-1		-1		12,319	19
Total	1,212,412	999,185	79,885	13,768		13,513	-1,538	-409	-1,129	-4,510		-4,489	-179	633,916	6,633

EU CR1: Performing and no	n-performing	j exposures a	ind related pr	ovisions											
2023		Gross c	arrying amoun	t/nominal amo	ount		Accumulated in	npairment, ac	cumulated n risk and p		in fair value du	e to credit		Collateral an guarantees	
	Performing exposures		Performing exposures Non-performing exp		5 1		Performing ex impairm	ent and provis	ions	ed Non-performing exposu accumulated impairment, ac negative changes in fair valu credit risk and provisio		umulated le due to ns	Accumulate d partial write-off	On performing exposures	On non- performing exposures
		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2	_	Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3		exposules	exposures
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	91,346	91,021	325	7		7	-5	-1	-4	-5		-5	'		
Loans and advances	711,773	598,407	58,061	11,352		11,111	-1,859	-469	-1,391	-3,793		-3,782	-234	558,869	5,405
Central banks	3,672	2,381												3,628	
General governments	14,321	13,448	687	157		157	-8	-6	-2	-11		-11		4,741	146
Credit institutions	30,481	11,501	168	8		8	-16	-4	-12	-8		-8		22,310	
Other financial corporations	76,290	40,176	2,563	328		328	-96	-22	-75	-87		-87		58,145	86
Non-financial corporations	224,624	194,925	28,774	6,280		6,164	-988	-211	-777	-2,503		-2,492	-233	134,115	2,406
Of which SMEs	38,201	32,158	6,039	1,379		1,377	-201	-63	-138	-574		-572		31,741	680
Households	362,386	335,976	25,868	4,580		4,455	-752	-227	-525	-1,185		-1,184	-1	335,929	2,767
Debt securities	91,991	84,610	2,018				-34	-29	-5						
Central banks	2,489	2,043													
General governments	63,247	59,819	1,904				-32	-27	-5						
Credit institutions	18,994	18,844	114				-2	-2							
Other financial corporations	6,121	3,562													
Non-financial corporations	1,141	342													
Off-balance-sheet exposures	276,823	157,280	9,833	606		327	-53	-18	-35	-89		-89		44,301	209
Central banks	21	3												9	
General governments	9,208	7,114	216				-1	-1						142	
Credit institutions	6,077	587	2				-3	-1	-2					27	
Other financial corporations	31,129	19,879	537	49		47	-4	-2	-2	-1		-1		9,254	4
Non-financial corporations	196,475	100,549	8,145	519		243	-30	-11	-19	-87		-87		19,876	196
Households	33,913	29,148	933	39		36	-15	-3	-12	-1		-1		14,993	10
Total	1,171,934	931,317	70,236	11,966		11,445	-1,951	-516	-1,435	-3,888		-3,877	-234	603,170	5,614

The overall exposure in 2024 increased to EUR 1,226,2 billion consists of mainly in Loans and advances: households. The non-performing exposure increased to EUR 13.8 billion (1.1% of the total exposure).

Maturity of Exposures

EU CR1-A: Maturity of exposures											
2024		Net exposure value									
	On demand	<= 1 year	> 1 year <= 5 years	> 5 years	No stated maturity	Total					
Loans and advances	265,142	308,331	258,896	446,377		1,278,746					
Debt securities		25,580	63,945	103,521		193,046					
Total	265,142	333,911	322,841	549,898		1,471,792					

EU CR1-A: Maturity of exposures											
2023		Net exposure value									
	On demand	<= 1 year	> 1 year <= 5 years	> 5 years	No stated maturity	Total					
Loans and advances	251,494	314,105	241,274	428,901		1,235,774					
Debt securities		35,964	62,470	95,919		194,352					
Total	251,494	350,069	303,743	524,820		1,430,127					

Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances

This table identifies the changes in the stock of provisions held against loans and advances that are defaulted or impaired.

	2024	2023
	Gross carrying amount	Gross carrying amount
Initial stock of non-performing loans and advances	11,352	11,431
Inflows to non-performing portfolios	8,231	6,753
Outflows from non-performing portfolios	-6,538	-6,831
Outflows due to write-offs	1,085	1,000
Outflow due to other situations	-5,453	-5,831
Final stock of non-performing loans and advances	13,045	11,352

Forborne exposures

EU CQ1: Credit quality of forborne exposures											
	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount of exposures with forbearance measures						Collateral received and financial guarantees received on forborne exposures				
2024	Performing forborne	1	lon-performing forborne Of which defaulted	Of which impaired	On performing forborne exposures	On non-performing forborne exposures		Of which collateral and financial guarantees received on non- performing exposures with forbearance measures			
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits											
Loans and advances	7,385	5,770	5,770	5,749	-125	-1,813	8,373	2,738			
Central banks											
General governments	3	16	16	16			19	16			
Credit institutions											
Other financial corporations	258	88	88	88	-6	-58	206	25			
Non-financial corporations	4,549	3,760	3,760	3,760	-96	-1,421	4,460	1,422			
Households	2,575	1,906	1,906	1,885	-23	-334	3,689	1,276			
Debt Securities											
Loan commitments given	990	202	202	202	-6	-13	487	94			
Total	8,376	5,973	5,973	5,952	-131	-1,826	8,860	2,832			

Total forborne exposures in 2024 slightly decreased from EUR 14.8 billion to EUR 14.3 billion driven by a decrease in households and Other financial corporations.

EU CQ1: Credit quality of forborn	e exposures								
	Gross carrying c	imount/nominal amount o	f exposures with forbeara	nce measures	Accumulated impairment changes in fair value d provis	ue to credit risk and	Collateral received and financial guarantees received on forborne exposures		
2023	Performing forborne	<u> </u>	lon-performing forborne Of which defaulted	Of which impaired	On performing forborne exposures	On non-performing forborne exposures		Of which collateral and financial guarantees received on non- performing exposures with forbearance measures	
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits									
Loans and advances	8,758	5,166	4,951	4,951	-147	-1,661	9,078	2,428	
Central banks									
General governments	1	29	29	29			28	28	
Credit institutions									
Other financial corporations	461	139	139	139	-6	-31	360	38	
Non-financial corporations	5,193	3,247	3,156	3,156	-114	-1,352	4,559	1,157	
Households	3,103	1,752	1,628	1,628	-26	-278	4,131	1,206	
Debt Securities									
Loan commitments given	778	99	96	96	-3	-7	345	21	
Total	9,537	5,266	5,047	5,047	-149	-1,669	9,423	2,449	

Aging of past due exposures

The table below gives an insight into the ageing of the on and off-balance sheets exposures, including both performing and non-performing assets.

EU CQ3: Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days												
					Gro	ss carrying amo	unt/nominal amo	ount				
-	Pe	rforming exposu	res				Non-	performing expo	sures			
2024		Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days	Past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days		Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Past due > 1 year ≤ 2 years	Past due > 2 years ≤ 5 years	Past due > 5 years ≤ 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	71,911	71,911		5	5		- -	2				5
Loans and advances	753,097	751,447	1,650	13,045	8,315	781	1,238	1,194	805	317	396	13,045
Central banks	6,273	6,273										
General governments	18,822	18,817	5	253	198	6	39	3	2		5	253
Credit institutions	35,595	35,595		75	68				7			75
Other financial corporations	81,968	81,947	21	158	42	7	54	1	3	44	8	158
Non-financial corporations	229,625	229,009	616	7,517	5,324	326	545	575	333	152	263	7,517
Of which SMEs	36,495	36,384	111	1,474	733	117	198	205	122	55	45	1,474
Households	380,814	379,805	1,009	5,041	2,683	442	600	615	460	121	120	5,041
Debt securities	98,026	98,026										
Central banks	3,344	3,344										
General governments	65,289	65,289										
Credit institutions	20,846	20,846										
Other financial corporations	7,503	7,503										
Non-financial corporations	1,044	1,044										
Off-balance-sheet exposures	289,378			718								718
Central banks	10											
General governments	8,005			46								46
Credit institutions	5,462											
Other financial corporations	32,821			7								7
Non-financial corporations	206,393			627								627
Households	36,686			38								38
Total	1,212,412	921,384	1,650	13,768	8,320	781	1,238	1,194	805	317	396	13,768

EU CQ3: Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days

Gross carruina	amount/nominal	amount

					Gro	oss carrying amo	unt/nominal amo	bunt				
	Pe	rforming exposu	res				Non-	performing expo	sures			
2023		Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days	Past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days		Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Past due > 1 year ≤ 2 years	Past due > 2 years ≤ 5 years	Past due > 5 years ≤ 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted
Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	91,346	91,346		7	7							7
Loans and advances	711,773	710,817	956	11,352	7,139	817	1,142	711	873	383	288	11,352
Central banks	3,672	3,672										
General governments	14,321	14,321		157	150			1	2		4	157
Credit institutions	30,481	30,481		8	2			6				8
Other financial corporations	76,290	76,288	2	328	284	6	15	8	9	6		328
Non-financial corporations	224,624	224,333	291	6,280	4,191	402	601	226	424	265	171	6,280
Of which SMEs	38,201	38,106	95	1,379	802	79	144	87	206	31	29	1,379
Households	362,386	361,724	663	4,580	2,512	408	526	470	438	112	113	4,580
Debt securities	91,991	91,991										
Central banks	2,489	2,489										
General governments	63,247	63,247										
Credit institutions	18,994	18,994										
Other financial corporations	6,121	6,121										
Non-financial corporations	1,141	1,141										
Off-balance-sheet exposures	276,823			606								603
Central banks	21											
General governments	9,208											
Credit institutions	6,077											
Other financial corporations	31,129			49								49
Non-financial corporations	196,475			519								519
Households	33,913			39								39
Total	1,171,934	894,155	956	11,966	7,146	817	1,142	711	873	383	288	11,966

Non-performing by geography

EU CQ4: Quality of non-	-performing e	xposures b	y geograph	y			
	Gro	ss carrying/n	nominal amou	unt	Accumulat	Provisions on off- balance-	Accumulat ed negative changes in
		Of whic perfor		Of which subject to	ed impairmen t	sheet commitme nts and financial	fair value due to credit risk on non-
2024			Of which defaulted	impairmen t			performing exposures
On-balance-sheet exposures	864,168		13,045		-5,882		
Netherlands	181,208		1,391		-781		
Belgium & Luxembourg	124,932		3,134		-1,159		
Germany	136,731		1,426		-671		
UK	22,315		321		-171		
France	26,945		303		-91		
Spain	40,692		441		-323		
Poland	49,414		1,485		-848		
Italy	21,573		386		-241		
Other Europe	56,118		1,473		-641		
America	84,176		1,150		-449		
Africa	2,050		214		-11		
Asia	54,636		755		-382		
Australia	54,866		568		-112		
Other countries	8,512						
Off-balance-sheet exposures	290,096		718			146	
Netherlands	50,528		226			2	
Belgium & Luxembourg	34,416		195			61	
Germany	29,659		63			12	
UK	15,133		3			3	
France	8,995		43			2	
Spain	4,830		2			4	
Poland	12,807		23			17	
Italy	7,109		1			1	

Other Europe	33,217	9		9	
America	51,160	85		24	
Africa	344	1		1	
Asia Australia	31,497	60		8	
Australia	10,318	7		2	
Other countries	84				
Total	1,154,264	13,763	-5,882	146	

Columns "Of which non-performing" and "of which subject to impairment" are kept empty (greyed) in line with the requirements for institutions with an NPL ratio lower than 5%.

In 2024, the on- and off-balance-sheet exposures on a total level gross carrying amount increased to EUR 1,152 billion, of which EUR 13.8 billion is in default. The defaulted exposure was mainly witnessed in Other Europe and Poland.

EU CQ4: Quality of non-performing exposures by geography Provisions Accumulat Gross carrying/nominal amount on offed negative balancechanges in Accumulat sheet fair value Of which noned commitme due to Of which performing impairmen subject to nts and credit risk t financial on nonimpairmen guarantees performing 2023 t Of which given defaulted exposures On-balance-sheet 815,117 11,352 -5,687 exposures Netherlands 174,703 1,409 -760 Belgium & Luxembourg 119,155 3,403 -1,184 -653 Germany 128,187 1,108 UK 22,643 165 -84 124 25,027 -81 France 37,998 302 -291 Spain Poland 46,864 941 -769 Italy 18,009 355 -208 Other Europe 50,772 752 -466 86,685 -529 1,148 America Africa 1,981 137 -26

Asia	44,202	1,105	-522		
Australia	51,505	403	-113		
Other countries	7,386		-1		
Off-balance-sheet exposures	277,429	606		142	
Netherlands	49,421	207		38	
Belgium & Luxembourg	35,464	140		45	
Germany	28,682	21		19	
UK	14,234	4		1	
France	9,503			1	
Spain	4,690	1		2	
Poland	11,819	20		4	
Italy	6,926			1	
Other Europe	30,932	28		6	
America	45,437	79		14	
Africa	618	53			
Asia	29,940	49		11	
Australia	9,686	3			
Other countries	76				
Total	1,092,546	11,958	-5,687	142	

Loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry

EU CQ5: Credit quality of loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry							
		Gross carry	ying amount			Accumulat ed negative changes in	
		Of whic perfor		Of which loans and advances	Accumulate d impairment	fair value due to credit risk	
2024			Of which defaulted	subject to impairment		on non- performing exposures	
Agriculture, forestry and fishing	3,161		83		-40		
Mining and quarrying	7,469		531		-241		
Manufacturing	47,758		1,678		-899		
Electricity, gas, steam & air conditioning supply	19,862		471		-133		
Water supply	2,848		163		-142		
Construction	10,010		435		-232		
Wholesale and retail trade	35,836		1,263		-736		
Transport and storage	25,060		539		-188		
Accommodation & food service activities	2,201		162		-80		
Information & communication	17,265		289		-178		
Real estate activities	34,258		1,173		-362		
Financial and insurance activities							
Professional, scientific & technical activities	8,690		209		-169		
Administrative & support service activities	13,611		328		-181		
Public admin. & defense, compulsory soc. security	1,236						
Education	240		3		-2		
Human health services & social work activities	5,994		162		-61		
Arts, entertainment & recreation	795		16		-8		
Other services	849		12		-9		
Total	237,142		7,517		-3,662		

Columns "Of which non-performing" and "Of which loans and advances subject to impairment" are kept empty (greyed) in line with the requirements for institutions with an NPL ratio lower than 5%.

EU CQ5: Credit quality of loans and	EU CQ5: Credit quality of loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry							
		Gross carry		Accumulat ed negative changes in				
		performing loa		Of which loans and advances	Accumulate d impairment	fair value due to credit risk		
2023			Of which defaulted	subject to		on non- performing exposures		
Agriculture, forestry and fishing	3,198		314		-74			
Mining and quarrying	7,421		319		-203			
Manufacturing	47,372		1,132		-742			
Electricity, gas, steam & air conditioning supply	18,200		341		-191			
Water supply	2,473		34		-15			
Construction	9,507		345		-219			
Wholesale and retail trade	36,259		1,256		-744			
Transport and storage	25,224		363		-128			
Accommodation & food service activities	2,113		226		-157			
Information & communication	14,463		449		-214			
Real estate activities	33,990		886		-299			
Financial and insurance activities								
Professional, scientific & technical activities	8,394		193		-154			
Administrative & support service activities	13,046		283		-265			
Public admin. & defense, compulsory soc. security	1,171							
Education	306		3		-6			
Human health services & social work activities	6,113		108		-50			
Arts, entertainment & recreation	652		16		-16			
Other services	1,000		13		-14			
Total	230,904		6,280		-3,490			

Credit risk mitigation

ING's lending and investment businesses are subject to credit risk. As such, the creditworthiness of our customers and investments is continually monitored for their ability to meet their financial obligations to ING Bank. In addition to determining the credit quality and creditworthiness of the customer, ING uses various credit risk mitigation techniques and instruments to mitigate the credit risk associated with an exposure and to reduce the losses incurred subsequent to an event of default on an obligation a customer may have towards ING. The most common terminology used in ING for credit risk protection is 'cover'. While a cover can be an important method for mitigation of credit risk and an alternative source of repayment, generally it is ING's practice to lend on the basis of the customer's creditworthiness rather than exclusively relying on the value of the cover. Within ING, there are two distinct forms of covers: assets and third party obligations.

Cover forms Assets

The asset that has been pledged to ING as collateral or security gives ING the right to liquidate it in the event where the customer is unable to fulfil its financial obligation. As such, the proceeds can be applied towards full or partial payments of the customer's outstanding exposure. An asset can be tangible (such as cash, securities, receivables, inventory, plant & machinery and mortgages on real estate properties) or intangible (such as patents, trademarks, contract rights and licenses).

Third party obligation

Third Party Obligation, indemnification or undertaking (either by contract and/or by law) is a legally binding declaration by a third party that gives ING the right to expect and claim from that third party to pay an amount, if the customer fails on its obligations to ING. The most common examples are guarantees (such as parent guarantees and export credit insurances) and letters of comfort.

Cover valuation methodology

General guidelines for cover valuation are established to ensure consistency of the application within ING. These general guidelines also require that the value of the cover is monitored on a regular basis, in principle at least annually. Covers shall be revalued accordingly and whenever there is reason to believe that the market is subject to significant changes in conditions. The frequency of monitoring and revaluation depends on the type of covers.

The valuation method also depends on the type of covers. For asset collateral, the valuation sources can be the customer's balance sheet (e.g. inventory, machinery, and equipment), nominal value (e.g. cash, receivables), market value (e.g. securities and commodities), independent appraiser (commercial real

estate) and market indices (residential real estate). For third party obligations, the valuation is based on the value that is attributed to the contract between ING and that third party.

For the determination of the Credit Risk applicable amount for Pre-Settlement transactions, ING first matches trades with similar characteristics to determine their eligibility for offset. This offsetting effect is called 'compensation'. Subsequently, ING reduces the amount by any legal netting that may be permitted under various types of Master Agreements (such as ISDAs and GMRAs). Lastly, the amount is further reduced by any collateral that is held by ING under CSAs or other similar agreements.

For the other risk types and especially lending, covers are received that are intended to reduce the losses incurred subsequent to an event of default on an obligation a customer may have towards ING. These are subdivided into four groups called collateral values mortgages, cover values cash, cover value guarantees and other physical covers.

The table below presents the ING portfolio excluding equities per loan type. Exposures represent the outstanding and a loan is presented as secured by collateral or a guarantee if such a cover exists on a facility type. The secured amounts represent the part of the loan that is covered by the collateral. If a loan has both collateral and a guarantee than these are both shown in the designated column.

Credit Risk Mitigations techniques - overview

The table below presents the extent of the use of CRM techniques. All collaterals and financial guarantees are included for all secured exposures, irrespective of whether the standardized approach or the IRB approach is used for RWA calculation. In the column Exposures unsecured – Carrying amount are the exposures (net of allowances/impairments) that do not benefit from a CRM technique.

In the column Exposures to be secured are the exposures that have at least one CRM mechanism (collateral, financial guarantees, credit derivatives) associated with them.

EU CR3 – CRM techniques overview: Di	isclosure of th	ne use of cred	lit risk mitiga	tion techniqu	es
			Secured carr	ying amount	
2024	Unsecured carrying amount		Of which secured by collateral	Of which s financial g	
Loans and advances	237,767	594,416	548,659	45,758	
Debt securities	97,448	578		578	
Total	335,215	594,994	548,659	46,336	
Of which non-performing exposures	2,269	6,370	5,202	1,168	
Of which defaulted	6,675	6,370			

For more information on the covers, please see the section 'credit risk mitigation' part of the Risk Management section of the Annual Report 2024.

EU CR3 – CRM techniques overview: Di	isclosure of th	ne use of cred	it risk mitigat	ion techniqu	es	
			ying amount	ng amount		
2023	Unsecured carrying amount		Of which secured by collateral	Of which s financial g	ecured by uarantees Of which secured by credit derivatives	
Loans and advances	244,542	564,274	519,749	44,525		
Debt securities	91,991					
Total	336,534	564,274	519,749	44,525		
Of which non-performing exposures	2,154	5,405	4,819	586		
Of which defaulted	5,947	5,405				

Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes

Table EU CQ7 provides information about the collateral that has been obtained within the reporting period. Collateral obtained by talking possession includes assets that were not pledged by the debtor as collateral, but obtained in exchange for the cancellation of debt. The value at initial recognition reflects the gross carrying amount at the point in time of the initial recognition in the balance sheet, while accumulated negative changes reflect the difference between the value at initial recognition and the carrying amount at the reporting date.

EU CQ7: Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes				
2024	Collateral obtained by taking possession			
	Value at initial recognition	Accumulated negative changes		
Property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	2			
Other than PP&E	6			
Residential immovable property	1			
Commercial Immovable property	6			
Movable property (auto, shipping, etc.)				
Equity and debt instruments				
Other collateral				
Total	8			

EU CQ7: Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes

2023	Collateral obtai posses	5 5
		Accumulated
	Value at initial recognition	negative changes
Property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	7	-2
Other than PP&E	7	
Residential immovable property	1	
Commercial Immovable property	7	
Movable property (auto, shipping, etc.)		
Equity and debt instruments		
Other collateral		
Total	14	-2

Advanced Internal Rating Based approach (AIRB)

Development of credit risk RWA

The table below explains the changes in Credit RWA in the AIRB portfolio during the reporting period and provides additional information by linking the impact of changes in portfolio composition, model changes and shifts in the risk environment on Credit RWA. The table reconciles movements in Credit RWA for the period for each Credit RWA risk type of ING for the AIRB portfolio including securitisations excluding equity and ONCOA. It does not include counterparty credit risk exposures under the Internal Model Method (IMM), as ING has not yet received regulatory approval to use IMM.

EU CR8 – RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach						
	2024	2023				
	Risk weighted exposure amount	Risk weighted exposure amount				
Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the previous reporting period	185,711	205,471				
Asset size (+/-)	9,487	-942				
Asset quality (+/-)	-4,854	-5,600				
Model updates (+/-)	-1,197	-6,335				
Methodology and policy (+/-)		-1,588				
Acquisitions and disposals (+/-)						
Foreign exchange movements (+/-)	2,263	-1,262				
Other (+/-)	1,219	-4,033				
Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the reporting period	192,630	185,711				

Over the year 2024 the credit RWA in the IRB portfolio increased by EUR 6.9 billion from EUR 185.711 billion to EUR 192.6 billion.

- Asset Size impact was EUR +9.5 billion, mainly in Retail Banking;
- Asset quality improvements caused RWA to decrease by EUR -4.9 billion in 2024 as a result of risk profile changes across the business lines. Mainly seen for Wholesale Banking, with lending as its main driver;
- Total Model / Methodology impact in 2024 is EUR -1.2 billion, which includes multiple items.
- In 2024, FX impact caused RWA to increase by €2.3 billion, mainly due to appreciation of the US dollar;
- Other items increased RWA by +€1.2 billion in 2024.

Overall, RWA management has a high priority throughout ING in all aspects of our business. From product design to pricing, RWA allocation and consumption is extensively monitored, reported and managed at all levels of the organisation.

Scope of the use of IRB and SA approaches

EU CR6-A – Scope of the use of IRB and	d SA approach	es			
2024					
PD Scale	Exposure value as defined in Article 166 CRR for exposures subject to IRB approach	Total exposure value for exposures subject to the Standardised approach and to the IRB approach	Percentage of total exposure value subject to the permanent partial use of the SA (%)	Percentage of total exposure value subject to a roll-out plan (%)	Percentage of total exposure value subject to IRB Approach (%)
Central governments or central banks	193,642	288,820	100.00		
Of which Regional governments or local authorities		51,513	100.00		
Of which Public sector entities		11,996	100.00		
Institutions	69,101	92,836	0.39	0.22	99.40
Corporates	532,375	609,115	0.98	0.80	98.22
Of which Corporates - Specialised lending, excluding slotting approach		146,936	0.01	0.18	99.81
Of which Corporates - Specialised lending under slotting approach					
Retail	418,659	57,055	5.42	2.41	92.17
of which Retail - Secured by real estate SMEs		419,587	5.24	4.96	89.81
of which Retail - Secured by real estate non-SMEs		14,842	1.09	1.98	96.93
of which Retail – Qualifying revolving		355,404	0.98	4.13	94.89
of which Retail - Other SMEs					
of which Retail - Other non-SMEs		9,155	26.40	24.10	49.51
Equity		40,186	39.56	9.04	51.40
Other non-credit obligation assets					
Total	1,213,777	1,410,358	22.48	1.84	75.68

EU CR6-A – Scope of the use of IRB and SA approaches

2023

2025					
PD Scale	Exposure value as defined in Article 166 CRR for exposures subject to IRB approach	Total exposure value for exposures subject to the Standardised approach and to the IRB approach	Percentage of total exposure value subject to the permanent partial use of the SA (%)	Percentage of total exposure value subject to a roll-out plan (%)	Percentage of total exposure value subject to IRB Approach (%)
Central governments or central banks	201,742	300,927	100.00		
Of which Regional governments or local authorities		48,643	100.00		
Of which Public sector entities		10,696	100.00		
Institutions	64,169	88,985	0.67	0.06	99.27
Corporates	512,058	583,758	0.97	1.15	97.88
Of which Corporates - Specialised lending, excluding slotting approach		131,172	0.05	1.55	98.39
Of which Corporates - Specialised lending under slotting approach					
Retail	397,377	58,833	4.76	2.52	92.73
of which Retail – Secured by real estate SMEs		398,264	4.89	4.79	90.32
of which Retail – Secured by real estate non-SMEs		14,996	0.83	2.20	96.98
of which Retail – Qualifying revolving		337,345	0.85	3.88	95.27
of which Retail - Other SMEs					
of which Retail - Other non-SMEs		8,154	18.10	30.87	51.03
Equity		37,769	39.71	8.36	51.94
Other non-credit obligation assets					
Total	1,175,346	1,371,934	23.81	1.88	74.31

AIRB credit exposures by exposure class and PD range

The table below provides an overview of the main parameters used for the calculation of capital requirements for AIRB models. The on- and off-balance sheet exposures are shown by the four main exposure classes and according to PD grades to enable an assessment of the credit quality of the portfolio.

The exposures are bucketed in PD Scales prescribed by EBA. This bucketing of PD scales is not used within ING. ING's Probability of Default (PD) rating models are based on a 1-22 scale, which corresponds to the same rating grades that are assigned by external rating agencies. Risk Ratings (PD) for performing loans (1-19) are calculated within ING with regulatory approved models. Risk Ratings for non-performing loans (20-22) are set on the basis of an approved discretionary methodology by the Global or Regional Restructuring unit. Overall the risk weights of the ING portfolio are a mixture of low risk weights for sovereigns and residential mortgages combined with higher risk weights for Corporates. Many central governments exposures receive a zero risk weight due to the high quality rating (permanent partial use of the SA rules). Mortgages generally benefit from large levels of (over) collateralisation.

The average Credit Conversion Factor (CCF), which is the conversion of off-balance sheet exposure into credit exposure equivalents, is calculated as the off-balance exposure post-CRM and post-CCF over the original off-balance sheet exposure. The CCF percentages are applied on product or transaction level and are regulatory driven.

2024												
PD Scale	On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
Institutions												
0.00 to <0.15	27,476	47,820	0.07	30,710	0.06	2,703	24.66	3	5,533	0.18	5	-5
0.00 to <0.10	25,273	41,372	0.07	28,172	0.06	2,410	24.82	3	4,855	0.17	4	-4
0.10 to <0.15	2,203	6,448	0.05	2,538	0.12	295	22.85	3	677	0.27	1	-1
0.15 to <0.25	5,086	5,245	0.19	6,108	0.21	688	10.04	1	602	0.10	1	-1
0.25 to <0.50	5,871	6,705	0.31	7,941	0.33	1,033	7.20	1	847	0.11	2	-1
0.50 to <0.75	5	4	0.15	6	0.62	18	16.70	3	3	0.46		
0.75 to <2.50	2,144	3,004	0.06	2,325	1.39	349	23.72	2	1,362	0.59	8	-4
0.75 to <1.75	1,976	2,944	0.05	2,113	1.29	312	22.70	2	1,068	0.51	6	-3
1.75 to <2.5	168	60	0.73	212	2.34	37	33.90	4	295	1.39	2	-1
2.50 to <10.00	33	176	0.04	41	4.68	109	25.55	3	46	1.11	1	
2.5 to <5	22	138	0.01	25	3.33	63	20.84	3	20	0.81		
5 to <10	11	38	0.14	16	6.70	46	32.65	3	26	1.57		
10.00 to <100.00	66	269	0.09	90	18.87	3,766	35.76	1	179	1.99	6	-2
10 to <20	56	267	0.09	80	16.32	3,745	33.95	1	148	1.86	4	-1
20 to <30			1.00		25.61	14	16.58	3		1.00		
30.00 to <100.00	10	1	0.20	10	38.52	7	50.53	1	31	3.03	2	-1
100.00 (Default)	72	2	0.48	74	100.00	143	11.06	1	5	0.07	26	-26
Subtotal (exposure class)	40,754	63,224	0.10	47,295	0.39	8,806	19.79	3	8,576	0.18	50	-40

PD Scale Off-balance sheet exposure Off-balance sheet exposure Exposure posure pre- CCF Exposure engisted everage CCF Exposure engisted everage PD (%) Number of bilgors Exposure weighted overage DD (%) Risk weighted everage DD (%) Density of risk weighted overage DD (%) <th>2021</th> <th></th>	2021												
0.00 to <0.15	PD Scale	sheet	sheet exposures pre-	weighted	CCF and post	weighted		weighted average LGD	weighted average maturity	exposure amount after supporting	weighted exposure		Value adjust- ments and provisions
0.00 to <0.103,0033,8660.163,6110.0719023.3123710.1010.10 to <0.15	Corporates Spec lending												
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.00 to <0.15	24,281	11,461	0.43	29,177	0.12	1,541	17.56	3	4,228	0.14	6	-3
0.15 to <0.2520,13323,9440.2526,0310.211,35721.3236,1780.24120.25 to <0.50	0.00 to <0.10	3,003	3,866	0.16	3,611	0.07	190	23.31	2	371	0.10	1	
0.25 to <0.5035,11528,3140.3143,7630.372,58621.63315,4530.35350.50 to <0.75	0.10 to <0.15	21,278	7,595	0.56	25,566	0.13	1,351	16.75	3	3,857	0.15	5	-3
0.50 to <0.753,7333780.774,0240.6626213.5839540.2440.75 to <2.50	0.15 to <0.25	20,133	23,944	0.25	26,031	0.21	1,357	21.32	3	6,178	0.24	12	-5
0.75 to <2.5013,3637,5640.3516,0451.262,40819.0237,1860.45350.75 to <1.75	0.25 to <0.50	35,115	28,314	0.31	43,763	0.37	2,586	21.63	3	15,453	0.35	35	-22
0.75 to <1.759,9597,0130.3412,3451.021,94820.6235,9220.48251.75 to <2.5	0.50 to <0.75	3,733	378	0.77	4,024	0.66	262	13.58	3	954	0.24	4	-1
1.75 to <2.53,4045510.533,7002.0546013.6731,2640.34102.50 to <10.00	0.75 to <2.50	13,363	7,564	0.35	16,045	1.26	2,408	19.02	3	7,186	0.45	35	-22
2.50 to <10.003,5642,1780.334,2884.5563123.3823,2300.75472.5 to <5	0.75 to <1.75	9,959	7,013	0.34	12,345	1.02	1,948	20.62	3	5,922	0.48	25	-18
2.5 to <52,3521,5330.342,8833.3940422.0221,8370.64225 to <10	1.75 to <2.5	3,404	551	0.53	3,700	2.05	460	13.67	3	1,264	0.34	10	-4
5 to <101,2126440.301,4056.9322726.1821,3930.992610.00 to <100.00	2.50 to <10.00	3,564	2,178	0.33	4,288	4.55	631	23.38	2	3,230	0.75	47	-35
10.00 to <100.001,7008680.291,95322.021,72917.3121,5920.826810 to <20	2.5 to <5	2,352	1,533	0.34	2,883	3.39	404	22.02	2	1,837	0.64	22	-9
10 to <208167960.291,04513.261,62919.7928270.792720 to <30	5 to <10	1,212	644	0.30	1,405	6.93	227	26.18	2	1,393	0.99	26	-26
20 to <30268420.4128624.725916.7833241.131230.00 to <100.00	10.00 to <100.00	1,700	868	0.29	1,953	22.02	1,729	17.31	2	1,592	0.82	68	-28
30.00 to <100.00 617 31 0.13 622 35.51 41 13.39 1 440 0.71 30 100.00 (Default) 2,556 372 0.25 2,649 100.00 188 30.41 2 2,016 0.76 1,064	10 to <20	816	796	0.29	1,045	13.26	1,629	19.79	2	827	0.79	27	-6
100.00 (Default) 2,556 372 0.25 2,649 100.00 188 30.41 2 2,016 0.76 1,064	20 to <30	268	42	0.41	286	24.72	59	16.78	3	324	1.13	12	-11
	30.00 to <100.00	617	31	0.13	622	35.51	41	13.39	1	440	0.71	30	-10
Subtotal (exposure class) 104,445 75,079 0.31 127,929 2.94 10,699 20.23 3 40,837 0.32 1,271	100.00 (Default)	2,556	372	0.25	2,649	100.00	188	30.41	2	2,016	0.76	1,064	-1,014
	Subtotal (exposure class)	104,445	75,079	0.31	127,929	2.94	10,699	20.23	3	40,837	0.32	1,271	-1,130

PD Scale	On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
Corporates-SME												
0.00 to <0.15	1,555	1,287	0.30	1,938	0.08	1,451	29.37	2	336	0.17	1	
0.00 to <0.10	754	305	0.18	809	0.05	75	26.75	2	75	0.09		
0.10 to <0.15	801	982	0.33	1,130	0.11	1,376	31.25	3	261	0.23		
0.15 to <0.25	5,011	2,430	0.39	5,972	0.20	6,307	25.06	3	1,423	0.24	3	-1
0.25 to <0.50	4,785	3,531	0.39	6,164	0.36	5,108	30.31	3	2,424	0.39	7	-3
0.50 to <0.75	4,507	1,848	0.38	5,240	0.61	4,714	26.09	3	2,037	0.39	8	-4
0.75 to <2.50	15,931	5,247	0.37	17,933	1.34	12,617	25.63	3	10,811	0.60	62	-29
0.75 to <1.75	12,813	4,269	0.37	14,399	1.16	9,689	25.67	3	8,668	0.60	44	-20
1.75 to <2.5	3,118	978	0.40	3,534	2.07	2,929	25.45	2	2,143	0.61	18	-8
2.50 to <10.00	5,272	1,615	0.37	5,899	4.63	5,274	28.07	2	4,883	0.83	78	-45
2.5 to <5	3,291	932	0.38	3,662	3.46	3,024	26.76	2	2,643	0.72	34	-19
5 to <10	1,981	683	0.36	2,237	6.55	2,251	30.22	2	2,240	1.00	44	-26
10.00 to <100.00	2,492	523	0.38	2,704	20.05	8,206	25.42	3	3,221	1.19	137	-81
10 to <20	1,654	363	0.35	1,791	13.27	7,343	26.24	3	2,122	1.19	63	-43
20 to <30	515	85	0.33	545	25.94	538	23.18	2	721	1.32	33	-16
30.00 to <100.00	323	76	0.55	369	44.27	326	24.73	2	378	1.02	42	-22
100.00 (Default)	1,699	162	0.41	1,793	100.00	2,339	45.10	2	1,009	0.56	865	-809
Subtotal (exposure class)	41,253	16,643	0.37	47,644	6.12	46,002	27.39	3	26,144	0.55	1,160	-972

PD Scale	On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
Corporates-Other												
0.00 to <0.15	100,974	67,543	0.29	120,803	0.08	1,048	14.46	2	9,744	0.08	13	-6
0.00 to <0.10	49,413	42,184	0.29	61,584	0.06	585	18.44	2	5,665	0.09	7	-3
0.10 to <0.15	51,561	25,359	0.30	59,219	0.11	463	10.32	1	4,079	0.07	7	-3
0.15 to <0.25	85,803	44,261	0.32	99,868	0.19	1,214	10.77	1	10,581	0.11	21	-9
0.25 to <0.50	50,384	31,753	0.32	60,661	0.38	2,161	17.29	2	14,794	0.24	38	-19
0.50 to <0.75	15	9	0.15	17	0.64	28	17.68	3	8	0.51		
0.75 to <2.50	26,417	14,247	0.39	31,949	1.06	2,575	18.71	2	13,956	0.44	66	-45
0.75 to <1.75	25,236	13,572	0.39	30,501	1.00	2,328	18.23	2	12,624	0.41	56	-33
1.75 to <2.5	1,181	675	0.40	1,448	2.36	250	28.82	3	1,332	0.92	10	-12
2.50 to <10.00	7,507	2,591	0.27	8,217	4.67	802	14.39	2	3,944	0.48	53	-55
2.5 to <5	6,564	1,917	0.30	7,137	4.08	547	12.74	2	2,770	0.39	31	-26
5 to <10	943	674	0.20	1,080	8.52	256	25.30	2	1,174	1.09	22	-29
10.00 to <100.00	1,727	1,675	0.27	2,176	25.51	1,632	25.17	2	3,174	1.46	135	-120
10 to <20	405	997	0.29	694	16.34	1,465	27.11	3	1,041	1.50	30	-28
20 to <30	920	362	0.29	1,026	26.68	96	24.24	2	1,489	1.45	65	
30.00 to <100.00	401	317	0.18	456	36.82	71	24.33	2	644	1.41	40	-30
100.00 (Default)	3,998	343	0.40	4,135	100.00	247	21.34	2	3,008	0.73	1,046	-1,045
Subtotal (exposure class)	276,826	162,423	0.31	327,826	1.81	9,694	14.43	2	59,209	0.18	1,373	-1,299

2021												
PD Scale	On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
Retail - Secured by immovable property SME												
0.00 to <0.15	2,426	138	0.78	2,533	0.09	12,489	18.19		101	0.04		
0.00 to <0.10	2,171	87	0.85	2,244	0.08	10,859	17.35		84	0.04		
0.10 to <0.15	255	52	0.66	289	0.11	1,630	24.74		17	0.06		
0.15 to <0.25	3,501	195	0.77	3,651	0.19	16,986	20.13		282	0.08	1	-1
0.25 to <0.50	1,634	201	0.78	1,790	0.36	9,114	23.03		246	0.14	1	-1
0.50 to <0.75	1,354	137	0.63	1,441	0.61	6,225	21.51		275	0.19	2	-1
0.75 to <2.50	2,916	421	0.75	3,235	1.34	14,155	24.40		1,220	0.38	11	-4
0.75 to <1.75	2,387	356	0.76	2,660	1.21	12,078	24.06		947	0.36	8	-3
1.75 to <2.5	529	65	0.68	574	1.94	2,077	25.96		273	0.47	3	-1
2.50 to <10.00	1,064	121	0.69	1,151	4.00	5,387	25.84		835	0.73	12	-9
2.5 to <5	828	82	0.72	889	3.30	4,098	25.08		589	0.66	7	-5
5 to <10	236	39	0.62	262	6.37	1,289	28.39		246	0.94	5	-4
10.00 to <100.00	443	37	0.57	467	23.53	2,020	25.38		596	1.28	28	-19
10 to <20	246	24	0.68	264	14.01	1,200	25.44		325	1.23	9	-8
20 to <30	89	4	0.78	92	25.14	349	26.47		139	1.51	6	-4
30.00 to <100.00	108	9	0.17	110	44.98	471	24.36		132	1.19	12	-6
100.00 (Default)	321	7	0.37	324	100.00	1,494	49.32		207	0.64	143	-73
Subtotal (exposure class)	13,658	1,257	0.73	14,592	3.75	67,870	22.50		3,762	0.26	199	-107

PD Scale	On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
Retail - Secured by immovable property non-SME												
0.00 to <0.15	190,176	5,615	0.81	194,754	0.09	1,164,010	24.24		11,021	0.06	42	-17
0.00 to <0.10	99,817	4,140	0.99	103,919	0.06	673,481	27.27		5,092	0.05	17	-5
0.10 to <0.15	90,359	1,475	0.32	90,834	0.13	490,529	20.78		5,929	0.07	25	-11
0.15 to <0.25	49,479	1,773	0.75	50,820	0.18	238,230	19.28		4,213	0.08	18	-14
0.25 to <0.50	40,273	920	0.79	41,012	0.34	164,806	20.03		5,119	0.12	27	-24
0.50 to <0.75	17,611	. 223	0.95	17,824	0.60	72,088	16.88		2,912	0.16	18	-6
0.75 to <2.50	17,375	355	0.93	17,706	1.36	89,145	22.81		6,779	0.38	53	-29
0.75 to <1.75	14,260	151	0.91	14,398	1.19	75,779	23.17		5,295	0.37	38	-19
1.75 to <2.5	3,115	204	0.94	3,309	2.09	13,366	21.25		1,485	0.45	14	-10
2.50 to <10.00	7,840	82	0.77	7,903	4.11	37,755	22.30		5,391	0.68	70	-55
2.5 to <5	6,424	57	0.81	6,470	3.48	30,316	22.99		4,207	0.65	51	-38
5 to <10	1,416	25	0.67	1,433	6.96	7,439	19.21		1,183	0.83	19	-16
10.00 to <100.00	3,445	34	0.81	3,473	21.88	17,653	20.64		4,230	1.22	161	-120
10 to <20	2,140	29	0.79	2,162	14.41	10,552	20.14		2,417	1.12	62	-55
20 to <30	330	2	0.98	332	24.54	2,247	23.90		557	1.68	19	-9
30.00 to <100.00	975	3	0.94	978	37.49	4,854	20.66		1,256	1.28	80	-55
100.00 (Default)	3,050	17	0.27	3,054	100.00	17,334	43.41		5,307	1.74	919	-412
Subtotal (exposure class)	329,249	9,018	0.81	336,546	1.46	1,801,021	22.61		44,971	0.13	1,308	-676

PD Scale	On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
Retail Other SME												
0.00 to <0.15	223	272	0.44	342	0.10	22,337	33.63		25	0.07		
0.00 to <0.10	99	66	0.55	136	0.08	16,501	28.06		8	0.06		
0.10 to <0.15	124	206	0.40	206	0.11	5,836	37.29		17	0.08		
0.15 to <0.25	505	196	0.61	625	0.20	26,043	30.60		68	0.11		
0.25 to <0.50	518	437	0.72	832	0.36	29,744	41.01		197	0.24	1	-1
0.50 to <0.75	303	233	0.72	472	0.64	12,338	39.17		151	0.32	1	-1
0.75 to <2.50	943	547	0.75	1,357	1.30	52,649	41.83		625	0.46	7	-6
0.75 to <1.75	758	474	0.76	1,120	1.19	46,559	42.80		527	0.47	6	-5
1.75 to <2.5	186	74	0.66	237	1.84	6,090	37.20		99	0.42	2	-1
2.50 to <10.00	474	150	0.66	580	4.51	36,989	40.53		320	0.55	10	-12
2.5 to <5	323	100	0.66	393	3.42	27,753	40.94		217	0.55	6	-6
5 to <10	151	50	0.66	186	6.82	9,236	39.67		103	0.55	5	-6
10.00 to <100.00	180	63	0.72	228	20.28	40,110	34.07		160	0.70	16	-16
10 to <20	129	56	0.73	173	14.86	36,514	32.87		111	0.64	8	-9
20 to <30	20	3	0.73	22	25.26	2,315	42.87		23	1.02	2	-2
30.00 to <100.00	31	4	0.46	33	45.12	1,284	34.40		26	0.78	5	-4
100.00 (Default)	183	27	0.49	200	99.15	12,612	68.53		227	1.13	120	-85
Subtotal (exposure class)	3,329	1,925	0.67	4,636	6.39	232,816	39.90		1,774	0.38	157	-121

2024

On-balance sheet exposures	Off-balance- sheet exposures pre- CCF	Exposure weighted average CCF	Exposure post CCF and post CRM	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	Risk weighted exposure amount after supporting factors	Density of risk weighted exposure amount	Expected loss amount	Value adjust- ments and provisions
590	4,480	0.54	3,004	0.09	2,068,294	60.40		429	0.14	2	
433	2,920	0.56	2,052	0.06	1,374,060	59.54		228	0.11	1	
157	1,560	0.51	952	0.14	694,234	62.26		202	0.21	1	
1,081	812	0.55	1,529	0.19	477,240	35.51		233	0.15	1	
2,580	563	0.64	2,937	0.38	456,975	32.78		629	0.21	4	-2
1,389	166	0.69	1,508	0.62	174,116	36.04		469	0.31	3	-2
4,430	556	0.66	4,809	1.45	582,845	41.88		2,448	0.51	30	-18
3,490	419	0.65	3,769	1.24	443,041	40.43		1,765	0.47	19	-11
940	137	0.69	1,040	2.21	139,804	47.16		683	0.66	11	-7
3,037	196	0.72	3,200	5.45	389,459	39.33		1,964	0.61	63	-38
1,570	138	0.71	1,682	3.76	195,796	49.30		1,255	0.75	31	-19
1,467	59	0.72	1,518	7.31	193,663	28.28		709	0.47	32	-19
585	43	0.71	610	28.44	195,685	48.94		675	1.11	79	-45
281	34	0.72	296	13.72	70,013	54.29		323	1.09	22	-13
128	5	0.71	133	23.45	16,405	42.75		142	1.07	13	-9
176	5	0.67	182	56.06	109,267	44.76		210	1.16	44	-23
618	11	0.07	619	91.63	157,914	73.98		814	1.31	372	-242
14,309	6,827	0.57	18,217	5.55	4,502,528	43.33		7,662	0.42	553	-347
	sheet exposures 590 433 157 1,081 2,580 1,389 4,430 3,490 940 3,037 1,570 1,570 1,467 585 281 281 128 128 176 618	On-balance sheet exposures sheet exposures pre- CCF 590 4,480 433 2,920 157 1,560 1,081 812 2,580 563 1,389 166 4,430 556 3,490 419 940 137 3,037 196 1,570 138 1,570 138 1,467 59 585 43 281 34 128 5 176 5 618 11	On-balance sheet exposures sheet exposures pre- CCF Exposure weighted average CCF 590 4,480 0.54 433 2,920 0.56 157 1,560 0.51 1,081 812 0.55 2,580 563 0.64 1,389 166 0.69 4,430 556 0.66 3,490 419 0.65 940 137 0.69 3,037 196 0.72 1,570 138 0.71 1,467 59 0.72 585 43 0.71 281 34 0.72 128 5 0.71 176 5 0.67 618 11 0.07	On-boliance sheet exposures sheet exposures pre- CCF Exposure weighted average CCF Exposure post CCF and post CCF and post CCF 590 4,480 0.54 3,004 433 2,920 0.56 2,052 157 1,560 0.51 952 1,081 812 0.55 1,529 2,580 563 0.64 2,937 1,389 166 0.69 1,508 4,430 556 0.66 4,809 3,490 419 0.65 3,769 940 137 0.69 1,040 3,037 196 0.72 3,200 1,570 138 0.71 1,682 1,570 138 0.71 1,610 281 34 0.72 2,96 128 5 0.71 133 176 5 0.67 182 618 11 0.07 619	On-bdiance sheet exposuressheet exposures pre- CCFExposure weighted overage CCFExposure post CCF and post CRMExposure weighted overage PD (%)5904,4800.543,0040.094332,9200.562,0520.061571,5600.519520.141,0818120.551,5290.192,5805630.642,9370.381,3891660.691,5080.624,4305560.664,8091.453,4904190.653,7691.249401370.691,0402.213,0371960.723,2005.451,5701380.711,6823.761,467590.721,5187.31585430.7161028.44281340.7229613.7212850.6718256.06618110.0761991.63	On-boliance sheet exposures pre- CCFSheet weighted average CCFExposure post CCF and post CRMExposure weighted average PD (%)Number of obligors5904,4800.543,0040.092,068,2944332,9200.562,0520.061,374,0601571,5600.519520.14694,2341,0818120.551,5290.19477,2402,5805630.642,9370.38456,9751,3891660.691,5080.62174,1164,4305560.664,8091.45582,8453,4904190.653,7691.24443,0419401370.691,0402.21139,8043,0371960.723,2005.45389,4591,5701380.711,6823.76195,7961,467590.721,5187.31193,663585430.7161028.44195,685281340.7229613.7270,01312850.7113323.4516,40517650.6718256.06109,267618110.0761991.63157,914	Oh-Datance sheet exposuressheet exposures pre- CCFExposure weighted average CCFExposure post CCF and post CRMExposure weighted average PD (%)Number of obligorsweighted average LGD (%)5904,4800.543,0040.092,068,29460.404332,9200.562,0520.061,374,06059.541571,5600.519520.14694,23462.261,0818120.551,5290.19477,24035.512,5805630.642,9370.38456,97532.781,3891660.691,5080.62174,11636.044,4305560.664,8091.45582,84541.883,4904190.653,7691.24443,04140.439401370.691,0402.21139,80447.163,0371960.723,2005.45389,45939.331,5701380.711,6823.76195,79649.301,467590.721,5187.31193,66328.28585430.7161028.44195,68548.94281340.7229613.7270,01354.2912850.7113323.4516,40542.7517650.6718256.06109,26744.76618110.0761991.6315	On-balance sheet exposures Chroduintee sheet exposures pre- CCF Exposure weighted average CCF Exposure CCF and post CRM Exposure average PD (%) Number of obligors Exposure weighted average LGD (%) weighted average LGD (%) weighted average LGD (%) 590 4,480 0.54 3,004 0.09 2,068,294 60.40 433 2,920 0.56 2,052 0.06 1,374,060 59.54 157 1,560 0.51 952 0.14 694,234 62.26 1,081 812 0.55 1,529 0.19 477,240 35.51 2,580 563 0.64 2,937 0.38 456,975 32.78 1,389 166 0.69 1,508 0.62 174,116 36.04 4,430 556 0.66 4,809 1.45 582,845 41.88 3,490 417 0.69 1,040 2.21 139,804 47.16 3,037 196 0.72 3,200 5.45 389,459 39.33	On-balance sheet exposures On-balance exposures Exposure exposures Exposure overage CCF Exposure CCF and post CRM Exposure weighted overage PD(%) Number of obligors Exposure overage LGD (%) weighted average DD mutual ther supporting factors 590 4,480 0.54 3,004 0.09 2,068,294 60.40 429 433 2,920 0.56 2,052 0.06 1,374,060 59.54 228 157 1,560 0.51 952 0.14 694,234 62.26 202 1,081 812 0.55 1,529 0.19 477,240 35.51 233 2,580 563 0.64 2,937 3.88 456,975 32.78 629 1,389 166 0.69 1,508 0.62 174,116 36.04 469 4,430 556 0.66 4,809 1.45 582,845 41.88 2,448 3,490 419 0.65 3,769 1.24 443,041 40.43 1,765 940	On-balance sybestres exposures posures Exposure weighted average CCF Exposure and post CRM Exposure average D(%) Mumber of bilgors Exposure average LGD (%) weighted average March (%) exposure average March (%) weighted average March (%) weighted average March (%) exposure average March (%) weighted average March (%) 50 4,480 0.55 2,052 0.06 1,374,060 59.54 228 0.11 157 1,560 0.51 952 0.12 694,234 62.26 202 0.21 1,081 812 0.55 1,529 0.19 477,240 35.51 233 0.15 2,580 563 0.64 2,937 0.38 456,975 32.78 629 0.21 1,389 166 0.69 1,508 0.62 174,116 36.04	On-balance sheet exposures Exposure weighted overage CCF Exposure CCA Exposure and overage PD (M Number of bilgors Weighted overage LGD weighted overage LGD ewposure anount offer mount offer industry (years) Defining of fix weighted overage MD Exposure anount offer industry (years) Exposure industry (years) Exposure anount offer industry (years) Exposure anount offer industry (years) Exposure anount offer industry (years) Exposure industry (years) Exposure industry (years) Exposure industry (years) Exposure industry (years) Exposure industry (years)

The PD, LGD, EAD and maturity are drivers of RWA and RWA density. RWA density is measured as the RWA over the EAD and increases with each PD scale. In several instances, the RWA Density is lower than one might expect due to the loans guaranteed by an Export Credit Agency (ECA). For instance in Corporates-Other, cashpool activities from BMG are included causing a low RWA density. These ECAs offer loans and insurance to help remove the risk of uncertainty of exporting to other countries and underwrite the political risk and commercial risks of overseas investments, and as such lower the LGD for these loans. With very low LGDs as a result the RWA is lower than would be assumed in a higher PD class.

The overall RWA amount increased from EUR 185.8 in 2023 to EUR 193.1 billion in 2024. The increase is mainly witnessed in Corporates - Specialised lending (+ EUR 5.1 billion) and Retail – Non-SMEs- Secured by immovable property collateral (+ EUR 3.2 billion).

EU CR7 – IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques

		2024	2024
		Pre-credit derivatives risk weighted exposure amount	Actual risk weighted exposure amount
1	Exposures under F-IRB		
2	Central governments and central banks		
3	Institutions		
4	Corporates		
4	of which Corporates - SMEs		
4	of which Corporates - Specialised lending		
5	Exposures under A-IRB	193,722	193,099
6	Central governments and central banks		
7	Institutions	8,576	8,730
8	Corporates	127,005	126,200
8	of which Corporates - SMEs	26,144	26,144
8	of which Corporates - Specialised lending	40,838	40,837
8	of which Corporates - Other	60,024	59,219
9	Retail	58,140	58,170
9	of which Retail – SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral	3,762	3,762
9	of which Retail - non-SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral	44,971	44,971
9	of which Retail – Qualifying revolving		
9	of which Retail – SMEs - Other	1,774	1,774
10	of which Retail – Non-SMEs- Other	7,633	7,662
10	TOTAL (including F-IRB exposures and A-IRB exposures)	193,722	193,099

EU CR7 – IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques

		2023	2023
		Pre-credit derivatives risk weighted exposure amount	Actual risk weighted exposure amount
1	Exposures under F-IRB		
2	Central governments and central banks		
3	Institutions		
4	Corporates		
4	of which Corporates - SMEs		
4	of which Corporates - Specialised lending		
5	Exposures under A-IRB	185,910	185,804
6	Central governments and central banks		
7	Institutions	7,749	7,929
8	Corporates	122,545	122,237
8	of which Corporates - SMEs	26,026	26,026
8	of which Corporates - Specialised lending	35,718	35,718
8	of which Corporates - Other	60,800	60,493
9	Retail	55,617	55,638
9	of which Retail – SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral	3,822	3,822
9	of which Retail – non-SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral	41,791	41,791
9	of which Retail – Qualifying revolving		
9	of which Retail – SMEs - Other	1,695	1,696
10	of which Retail – Non-SMEs- Other	8,309	8,329
10	TOTAL (including F-IRB exposures and A-IRB exposures)	185,910	185,804

EU CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosur	e of the exte	nt of the use	of CRM techni	ques									
			Credit risk Mitigation techniques									Credit risk Mitigation methods in the calculatic of RWEAs	
			Funded credit						Unfunded cre	dit			
			Protection (FCP)					Protection (UF	CP)				
	Total exposures	Dart of	Part of exposure	es covered by	Other eligible	collaterals (%)	Part of exposures covere protect		ided credit		Dart of		RWEA with substitution
2024		Part of exposures covered by Financial Collaterals (%)		Part of exposures covered by Immovable property Collaterals (%)	Part of exposures covered by Receivables (%)	Part of exposures covered by Other physical collateral (%)	Part of exposures covered by Cash on deposit (%)	Part of exposures covered by Life insurance policies (%)	Part of exposures covered by Instruments held by a third party (%)	Part of exposures covered by Guarantees (%)	Part of exposures covered by Credit Derivatives (%)	RWEA without substitution effects (reduction effects only)	effects (both reduction and substitution effects)
1 Central governments and central banks													
2 Institutions	48,669	3.03 %	89.68 %	7.58 %	78.40 %	3.69 %				15.11 %	— %	8,730	8,730
3 Corporates	503,474	44.15 %	52.94 %	27.16 %	6.01 %	19.77 %				14.71 %	0.50 %	126,200	126,200
Of which Corporates – SMEs	47,644	3.43 %	136.13 %	74.07 %	7.88 %	54.18 %				17.48 %		26,144	26,144
Of which Corporates – Specialised lending	127,929	3.08 %	116.80 %	71.29 %	7.20 %	38.31 %				20.07 %	— %	40,837	40,837
Of which Corporates - Other	327,900	66.08 %	15.93 %	3.13 %	5.28 %	7.53 %				12.22 %	0.77 %	59,219	59,219
4 Retail	373,992	1.96 %	223.73 %	222.35 %	0.14 %	1.24 %				6.80 %		58,170	58,170
Of which Retail – Immovable property SMEs	14,592	1.54 %	185.37 %	171.45 %	2.10 %	11.82 %				8.00 %		3,762	3,762
Of which Retail – Immovable property non-SMEs	336,546	1.56 %	239.70 %	239.65 %	0.04 %					6.61 %		44,971	44,971
Of which Retail – Qualifying revolving													
Of which Retail - Other SMEs	4,636	17.88 %	63.90 %		1.52 %	62.38 %				26.57 %		1,774	1,774
Of which Retail - Other non-SMEs	18,217	5.75 %	0.14 %		0.01 %	0.13 %				4.47 %		7,662	7,662
5 Total	926,135	24.95 %	123.84 %	104.95 %	7.44 %	11.44 %				11.54 %	0.27 %	193,099	193,099

EU CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosur	e of the exte	nt of the use	of CRM techni	ques									
			Credit risk Mitigation techniques									Credit risk Mitigation methods in the calculatio of RWEAs	
						Funded credit				Unfunded cre	dit		
					Protection (FCP)					Protection (UFCP)			
	Total exposures	Dant of	Part of exposur	es covered by	Other eligible	collaterals (%)	Part of exposures covere protect		nded credit		Davit of		RWEA with substitution
2023		Part of exposures covered by Financial Collaterals (%)		Part of exposures covered by Immovable property Collaterals (%)	Part of exposures covered by Receivables (%)	Part of exposures covered by Other physical collateral (%)	Part of exposures covered by Cash on deposit (%)	Part of exposures covered by Life insurance policies (%)	Part of exposures covered by Instruments held by a third party (%)	Part of exposures covered by Guarantees (%)	Part of exposures covered by Credit Derivatives (%)	RWEA without substitution effects (reduction effects only)	effects (both reduction and sustitution effects)
1 Central governments and central banks			1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2 Institutions	47,279	3.33 %	101.41 %	7.26 %	89.56 %	4.58 %				9.00 %	— %	7,929	7,929
3 Corporates	482,458	43.22 %	53.23 %	27.53 %	6.00 %	19.70 %				16.01 %	0.27 %	122,237	122,237
Of which Corporates – SMEs	49,548	3.13 %	151.18 %	89.04 %	10.93 %	51.22 %				16.38 %		26,026	26,026
Of which Corporates – Specialised lending	114,168	3.87 %	111.87 %	69.61 %	5.58 %	36.68 %				23.47 %	0.03	35,718	35,718
Of which Corporates - Other	318,742	63.54 %	17.01 %	2.90 %	5.39 %	8.72 %				13.29 %	0.40 %	60,493	60,493
4 Retail	357,154	5.85 %	218.59 %	217.25 %	0.19 %	1.16 %				7.03 %		55,638	55,638
Of which Retail – Immovable property SMEs	14,514	4.62 %	180.91 %	167.34 %	2.96 %	10.62 %				8.13 %		3,822	3,822
Of which Retail – Immovable property non-SMEs	320,767	5.68 %	234.37 %	234.32 %	0.05 %	— %				7.10 %		41,791	41,791
Of which Retail - Qualifying revolving													
Of which Retail - Other SMEs	4,233	18.08 %	62.54 %		2.19 %	60.36 %				27.05 %		1,696	1,696
Of which Retail - Other non-SMEs	17,641	7.09 %	0.17 %		0.01 %	0.16 %				0.13 %		8,329	8,329
5 Total	886,891	26.04 %	122.39 %	102.85 %	8.12 %	11.43 %				12.02 %	0.15 %	185,804	185,804

Backtesting of model parameters

ING has dedicated AIRB credit risk models per business line and geography. The performance and predictive power of the models is monitored by Model Development, that is part of the Financial Risk department. Moreover, an independent Model Risk Management department periodically reviews all AIRB models. All models are backtested when possible by both the Model development and the independent Model Risk Management departments.

If a model is considered not to be robust or backtesting indicates insufficient performance, then the model is either re-calibrated or re-developed. All model recommendations from the Model Risk Management department are tracked via an internal database that management uses to track issues detected by the Internal Audit department, incidents and non-financial risk issues. All significant model changes are submitted to the ECB and implemented after regulatory approval.

PD backtesting

The PD backtesting is performed per exposure class and PD-range, corresponding to the internal rating scales 1 to 19, which are then mapped to comparable rating grades from Standard & Poor's. Securitisations and Equity exposures are not included in these tables.

The average PD as of 31 December 2023 per portfolio is split per exposure class and rating grade. Both the number and the amount-weighted predicted PD figures are shown for the performing portfolios. The number weighted predicted PD is also referred to as the arithmetic weighted PD, and the amount weighted PD is referred to as the EAD-weighted PD.

The Annual Average historical default rate is calculated over the last 6 years. The performing clients at the end of each year were followed through the following year (12 months) and their into-default moments were flagged each month. In case a client went into-default more than once within the 12-month observation period, the last (i.e. most recent) observed into-default moment was used. The annual average observed default rate represents the average of the 6 years observed number weighted default rates.

EU CR9.1 –IRB approa	ch – Back-test <mark>ing</mark> a	of PD per exp	osure class (only for PD es	timates)	
2024						
		Number of ob end of th				
PD Range	External rating equivalent	-	of which: number of obligors which defaulted during the year	Observed average default rate (%)	Average PD (%)	Average historical annual default (%)
Institutions						
0.00 - 0.01	AAA	16		0.00	0.03	0.00
0.01 - 0.02	AA+	21		0.00	0.03	0.00
0.02 - 0.03	AA	61		0.00	0.03	0.82
0.03 - 0.04	AA-	100		0.00	0.04	0.00
0.04 - 0.05	A+	1,242	1	0.08	0.05	0.13
0.05 - 0.07	А	718		0.00	0.07	0.03
0.07 - 0.11	A-	259		0.00	0.10	0.17
0.11 - 0.17	BBB+	406		0.00	0.14	0.24
0.17 - 0.27	BBB	424	1	0.24	0.22	0.76
0.27 - 0.44	BBB-	651	3	0.46	0.32	0.33
0.44 - 0.73	BB+	315	2	0.63	0.46	0.80
0.73 - 1.26	BB	192	5	2.60	0.83	2.21
1.26 - 2.24	BB-	180		0.00	1.44	2.00
2.24 - 4.09	B+	176	11	6.25	2.58	8.29
4.09 - 7.66	В	99	7	7.07	4.52	6.16
7.66 - 14.78	B-	57	2	3.51	8.78	7.85
14.78 - 22.73	CCC	89	5	5.62	16.71	6.13
22.73 - 29.58	CC	12	2	16.67	26.63	36.66
29.58 - 100.00	С	8	1	12.50	39.14	19.73

EU CR9.1 –IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates)

2024							
	External	Number of ob end of th -		Observed average	Average PD	Average historical	
PD Range	rating equivalent		obligors which defaulted during the year		(%)	annual default (%)	
Corporates Spec lending							
0.00 - 0.01	AAA						
0.01 - 0.02	AA+						
0.02 - 0.03	AA	10		0.00	0.03	0.00	
0.03 - 0.04	AA-	5		0.00	0.04	0.00	
0.04 - 0.05	A+	12		0.00	0.05	0.09	
0.05 - 0.07	А	30		0.00	0.06	0.00	
0.07 - 0.11	A-	132		0.00	0.09	0.08	
0.11 - 0.17	BBB+	535		0.00	0.17	0.04	
0.17 - 0.27	BBB	901	1	0.11	0.22	0.14	
0.27 - 0.44	BBB-	1,486	29	1.95	0.34	0.61	
0.44 - 0.73	BB+	1,445	21	1.45	0.46	0.46	
0.73 - 1.26	BB	1,593	5	0.31	0.83	0.44	
1.26 - 2.24	BB-	1,126	9	0.80	1.42	0.69	
2.24 - 4.09	B+	397	5	1.26	2.52	1.11	
4.09 - 7.66	В	228	6	2.63	4.44	2.55	
7.66 - 14.78	B-	112	2	1.79	8.42	4.95	
14.78 - 22.73	CCC	81	4	4.94	17.80	8.07	
22.73 - 29.58	CC	65	6	9.23	22.83	14.92	
29.58 - 100.00	С	23	7	30.43	31.69	34.00	

EU CR9.1 – IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates) 2024 Number of obligors at the end of the year of which: Observed Average number of External Average PD historical average PD Range rating default rate obligors annual equivalent (%) (%) default (%) which defaulted during the year Corporates-SME 0.00 - 0.01 AAA 0.01 - 0.02 AA+ 0.02 - 0.03 0.00 AA 1 0.00 0.03 0.03 - 0.04 AA-0.00 0.04 0.00 1 ____ 0.34 0.04 - 0.05 0.75 0.05 A+ 936 7 0.27 0.07 0.23 0.05 - 0.07 А 366 1 0.35 0.07 - 0.11 A-842 3 0.36 0.11 5,167 22 0.41 0.11 - 0.17 BBB+ 0.43 0.14 0.17 - 0.27 2,683 0.54 BBB 11 0.41 0.21 0.27 - 0.44 4,705 0.57 BBB-19 0.40 0.31 0.44 - 0.73 3,780 0.74 0.45 0.67 BB+ 28 0.73 - 1.26 BΒ 5,847 56 0.96 0.81 1.07 1.42 1.26 - 2.24 BB-5,934 1.03 1.39 61 2.24 - 4.09 3,643 2.58 2.40 2.66 B+ 94 4.09 - 7.66 2,704 3.96 В 81 3.00 4.31 7.66 - 14.78 B-931 74 7.95 8.44 7.66 14.78 - 22.73 CCC 672 77 11.46 15.72 13.08 22.73 - 29.58 CC 212 51 24.06 23.31 19.15 29.58 - 100.00 232 26.90 С 51 21.98 38.31

EU CR9.1 – IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates)

2024

		Number of ob end of tl				
PD Range	External rating equivalent		of which: number of obligors which defaulted during the year	Observed average default rate (%)	Average PD (%)	Average historical annual default (%)
Corporates-Other						
0.00 - 0.01	AAA	4		0.00	0.03	0.00
0.01 - 0.02	AA+	12		0.00	0.03	0.00
0.02 - 0.03	AA	31		0.00	0.03	0.49
0.03 - 0.04	AA-	28		0.00	0.04	0.00
0.04 - 0.05	A+	221		0.00	0.05	0.39
0.05 - 0.07	А	235	1	0.43	0.07	0.18
0.07 - 0.11	A-	479	1	0.21	0.10	0.45
0.11 - 0.17	BBB+	2,467	11	0.45	0.16	0.51
0.17 - 0.27	BBB	2,304	11	0.48	0.23	0.47
0.27 - 0.44	BBB-	4,458	27	0.61	0.35	0.56
0.44 - 0.73	BB+	4,034	36	0.89	0.48	0.77
0.73 - 1.26	BB	4,713	25	0.53	0.83	0.94
1.26 - 2.24	BB-	4,865	55	1.13	1.42	1.56
2.24 - 4.09	B+	3,030	70	2.31	2.51	3.00
4.09 - 7.66	В	2,385	48	2.01	4.43	3.30
7.66 - 14.78	B-	930	45	4.84	8.57	7.69
14.78 - 22.73	CCC	893	74	8.29	16.89	12.54
22.73 - 29.58	CC	372	40	10.75	24.92	14.58
29.58 - 100.00	С	247	43	17.41	35.59	24.28

Number of obligors at the end of the year of which: Observed Average number of External Average PD historical average PD Range rating default rate obligors annual equivalent (%) (%) default (%) which defaulted during the year Retail - Secured by immovable property SME 0.00 - 0.01 AAA 0.01 - 0.02 AA+ 0.02 - 0.03 AA 637 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.03 - 0.04 AA-2,393 0.04 0.04 0.08 1 0.04 - 0.05 A+ 1,176 2 0.17 0.05 0.17 472 0.05 - 0.07 0.21 0.07 0.04 А 1 7,811 0.07 - 0.11 A-6 0.08 0.10 0.19 0.11 - 0.17 0.30 BBB+ 10.033 23 0.23 0.17 0.17 - 0.27 BBB 4,865 19 0.39 0.24 0.42 0.27 - 0.44 7,756 0.54 BBB-20 0.26 0.39 7,167 39 0.54 0.56 0.78 0.44 - 0.73 BB+ 0.73 - 1.26 0.91 6,837 55 0.80 BB 1.03 1.26 - 2.24 6,027 56 1.47 1.01 BB-0.93 2.24 - 4.09 3,304 55 2.65 2.01 B+ 1.66 4.09 - 7.66 В 1,456 43 2.95 4.74 3.49 7.56 7.66 - 14.78 817 7.71 8.65 B-63 14.78 - 22.73 CCC 685 91 13.28 16.43 10.53

171

271

24.56

28.41

42

77

EU CR9.1 – IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates)

2024

22.73 - 29.58

29.58 - 100.00

CC

С

18.77

30.78

25.83

41.08

EU CR9.1 –IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates)

2024

2024						
		Number of ob end of th				
PD Range	External rating equivalent	-	of which: number of obligors which defaulted during the year	Observed average default rate (%)	Average PD (%)	Average historical annual default (%)
Retail - Secured by immovable property non- SME						
0.00 - 0.01	AAA	65,202	9	0.01	0.03	0.01
0.01 - 0.02	AA+	69,952	30	0.04	0.03	0.07
0.02 - 0.03	AA	73,699	45	0.06	0.04	0.11
0.03 - 0.04	AA-	56,645	40	0.07	0.05	0.09
0.04 - 0.05	A+	32,513	20	0.06	0.05	0.07
0.05 - 0.07	А	119,687	121	0.10	0.06	0.08
0.07 - 0.11	A-	248,406	305	0.12	0.10	0.12
0.11 - 0.17	BBB+	260,457	896	0.34	0.13	0.37
0.17 - 0.27	BBB	309,023	808	0.26	0.18	0.25
0.27 - 0.44	BBB-	251,893	1,011	0.40	0.29	0.47
0.44 - 0.73	BB+	133,966	754	0.56	0.50	0.73
0.73 - 1.26	BB	37,384	291	0.78	0.83	1.10
1.26 - 2.24	BB-	27,871	380	1.36	1.23	1.50
2.24 - 4.09	B+	18,577	378	2.03	2.80	2.78
4.09 - 7.66	В	5,495	204	3.71	5.14	6.21
7.66 - 14.78	В-	10,938	536	4.90	7.90	5.64
14.78 - 22.73	CCC	5,193	501	9.65	16.39	12.03
22.73 - 29.58	CC	1,740	265	15.23	27.00	21.66
29.58 - 100.00	С	4,026	1,074	26.68	44.50	32.40

EU CR9.1 –IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates)

	en buck-testing	or per evb			sumates)	
2024						
		Number of ot end of t				
PD Range	External rating equivalent		of which: number of obligors which defaulted	Observed average default rate (%)	Average PD (%)	Average historical annual default (%)
			during the year			
Retail Other SME						
0.00 - 0.01	AAA					
0.01 - 0.02	AA+					
0.02 - 0.03	AA	3,670	1	0.03	0.03	0.08
0.03 - 0.04	AA-	2,114	2	0.09	0.04	0.09
0.04 - 0.05	A+	6,959	10	0.14	0.06	0.16
0.05 - 0.07	А	2,935	7	0.24	0.07	0.18
0.07 - 0.11	A-	18,340	29	0.16	0.10	0.18
0.11 - 0.17	BBB+	28,133	162	0.58	0.23	0.49
0.17 - 0.27	BBB	27,155	121	0.45	0.27	0.42
0.27 - 0.44	BBB-	29,247	254	0.87	0.51	0.76
0.44 - 0.73	BB+	27,608	419	1.52	0.62	1.14
0.73 - 1.26	BB	30,972	911	2.94	1.11	2.65
1.26 - 2.24	BB-	34,561	3,070	8.88	1.48	7.68
2.24 - 4.09	B+	33,070	4,705	14.23	2.83	14.14
4.09 - 7.66	В	11,059	1,367	12.36	4.86	11.93
7.66 - 14.78	В-	5,220	661	12.66	8.85	11.57
14.78 - 22.73	ССС	2,981	588	19.72	16.22	23.48
22.73 - 29.58	CC	928	335	36.10	25.75	33.95
29.58 - 100.00	С	1,158	529	45.68	44.67	48.84
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

EU CR9.1 – IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates)

2024

		Number of ob end of tl					
	External	-	of which: number of	Observed average	Average PD	Average historical	
PD Range	rating equivalent		obligors which defaulted during the year	default rate (%)	(%)	annual default (%)	
Retail Other non-SME							
0.00 - 0.01	AAA	2,090,723	1,136	0.05	0.03	0.06	
0.01 - 0.02	AA+	817,666	885	0.11	0.03	0.14	
0.02 - 0.03	AA	32,152	35	0.11	0.03	0.07	
0.03 - 0.04	AA-	632,163	663	0.10	0.04	0.13	
0.04 - 0.05	A+	17,587	34	0.19	0.06	0.11	
0.05 - 0.07	А	12,083	17	0.14	0.08	0.10	
0.07 - 0.11	A-	211,076	515	0.24	0.10	0.18	
0.11 - 0.17	BBB+	991,989	2,363	0.24	0.13	0.24	
0.17 - 0.27	BBB	392,173	1,251	0.32	0.24	0.25	
0.27 - 0.44	BBB-	253,203	1,377	0.54	0.34	0.54	
0.44 - 0.73	BB+	336,017	21,679	6.45	0.50	5.26	
0.73 - 1.26	BB	314,662	2,805	0.89	0.77	0.78	
1.26 - 2.24	BB-	486,124	13,351	2.75	1.51	2.13	
2.24 - 4.09	B+	420,977	7,464	1.77	2.58	1.55	
4.09 - 7.66	В	101,839	3,977	3.91	4.07	4.21	
7.66 - 14.78	B-	81,777	6,602	8.07	8.30	8.65	
14.78 - 22.73	CCC	40,608	6,642	16.36	16.61	13.04	
22.73 - 29.58	CC	2,058	655	31.83	29.23	29.23	
29.58 - 100.00	С	19,097	4,471	23.41	36.41	32.34	

It should be noted that some of the observed values related to low number of observations (in particular for Sovereigns), hence the numbers should be interpreted with care. For some of the ratings, in particular for the Corporate exposures class, some of the observed values fall above the range of estimation. Until the models have been redeveloped and approved in the context of incorporating new regulations, this has been

compensated by add-ons or other prudential measures. Overall, the EL backtest (see EU CR9) does not exhibit underestimations.

Simple risk weight method

A small part of the equity exposure of ING's portfolio is subject to the simple risk weight method for calculating the regulatory capital.

The table below shows more details on the equity exposure for which the simple risk weight method is used.

EU CR10.5 – Equity exposures under the simple risk-weighted approach

	Equity exposures under the simple risk-weighted approach									
	On balance sheet amount		RW	Exposure amount		RWA		Capital requirements		
Categories	2024	2023		2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	
Private equity exposures	141	126	290 %	141	126	409	366	33	29	
Exchange-traded equity exposures	523	577	190 %	523	577	993	1,097	79	88	
Other equity exposures			370 %							
Total	664	703		664	703	1,402	1,462	112	117	

In 2024, the total value of ING equity investments reported under simple risk weighted approach decreased by EUR 40 million to EUR 664 million (2023: EUR 703 million). As a result, the total value of RWA under simple risk weight method and the total value of regulatory capital decreased by EUR 60 million and EUR 5 million respectively.

Standardised Approach

List of the nominated ECAI/ECA per exposure class/item class

credit assessments of the nominated ECA (based on MEIP score)

Based on article 444, ING is required to disclose the names of the nominated ECAIs and ECAs and the reasons for any changes in those nominations over the disclosure period, and the exposure classes for which each ECAI or ECA is used.

lame of the nomina	ited ECAI		
	Type of the External Rating	Exposure class	Item Class
KBRA	Transaction rating	Institutions/Corporate	Capital Call Facilities
Fitch	Transaction rating	Institutions/Corporate	Capital Call Facilities
AM Best	Issuer rating	Institutions/Corporate	Insurance Companies
Moody's Fitch S&P	Issuer/Issue rating (related to public ratings – availability as specified in the External Ratings Procedure)	All exposure classes	For all asset types
ame of the nomina	ited ECA		
	Type of the External Rating	Exposure class	Item Class
tradius Dutch State Business (DSB) and consensus Country sk Classification (Art	When the external rating of nominated ECAI is not available for the exposure class: central governments or central banks, ING can use	Central governments or central banks	For all asset types of the respective exposure clas

ING receives issue and issuer data feeds from the nominated ECAIs on external credit assessments (also known as external ratings). This is provided for the intended scope and in line with the internal rating strategy.

For all types of issuer level external ratings, 1st line of defense inserts the reference ID to an ECAI in the internal system which then automatically gets the external rating from the data feed. The trigger to get the rating into internal system is to activate the respective cross-reference with the ID. That is used to match the correct entity in the internal system and upload its rating data.

For certain type of issue level external ratings, 1st and 2nd line of defense feeds the external ratings directly into the system where the equivalent CQSs to the external ratings are stored in a reference data table format. Reference data tables are updated and distributed to all subscribed systems. For remaining types of issue level external ratings, Bloomberg code is used to automatically obtain the external ratings into internal systems.

After the obtained external ratings/CQSs are processed by 1st and 2nd line of defense in the system, they are automatically fed into internal system for RWA calculations. The process for SA calculation is automated and it does not allow for manual intervention. CQS at the issue level follow the same process for SA calculations as any external rating at the issuer level.

Exposures before and after risk mitigation for the SA portfolio

The table below shows how credit risk mitigation (CRM) in the SA portfolio is distributed over the exposure classes. ING's exposure value is shown before and after credit risk mitigation. There are three principal methods for reducing or mitigating Credit Risk: i) by reduction of credit risk through the acceptance of pledged financial assets as collateral or mitigation or shifting of credit risks to a lower risk weighting group, ii) by accepting guarantees from unrelated third parties, or iii) secured by mortgages. ING uses these methods to take CRM effects into account. For financial markets collateral, ING uses the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method to allow for mitigation effects.

The table below illustrates the effect of all CRM techniques applied in accordance with the Part Three, Title II, Chapter 4 of Regulation (EU) 575/2013 on the standardised approach capital requirements' calculations.

137(1))

EU CR4 – standardised appr							
2024		efore CCF and e CRM	Exposures post CR		RWAs and RWAs density		
Exposure classes	On- Balance Sheet exposures	Off-Balance Sheet exposures	On-Balance Sheet exposures	Off-Balance Sheet exposures	RWAs	RWAs density (%)	
Central governments or central banks	151,593	264,936	152,680	2,900	1,766	1.14	
Regional government or local authorities	142	26	142		107	75.55	
Public sector entities							
Multilateral development banks	5,204	4,465	5,500	37			
International organisations	2,677	5,961	2,677				
Institutions	193	109	202	34	54	22.77	
Corporates	4,900	6,778	7,107	593	5,286	68.66	
Retail	19,062	11,025	16,407	2,704	13,750	71.95	
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	17,015	625	17,015	232	6,390	37.05	
Exposures in default	891	131	620	36	786	119.73	
Exposures associated with particularly high risk	95	14	94	6	145	144.50	
Covered bonds							
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment							
Collective investment undertakings							
Equity							
Other items							
TOTAL	201,771	294,070	202,444	6,542	28,283	13.53	

LODIA C

EU CR4 – standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects

2023		efore CCF and e CRM	Exposures post CR		RWAs and RWAs density		
Exposure classes	On- Balance Sheet exposures	Off-Balance Sheet exposures	On-Balance Sheet exposures	Off-Balance Sheet exposures	RWAs	RWAs density (%)	
Central governments or central banks	165,852	252,838	166,489	3,159	1,662	0.98	
Regional government or local authorities	66	27	66		30	45.42	
Public sector entities							
Multilateral development banks	4,318	5,733	4,788	38			
International organisations	2,550	9,140	2,550				
Institutions	436	150	3,071	29	687	22.15	
Corporates	5,525	5,418	5,257	541	5,407	93.26	
Retail	17,404	10,056	14,714	2,438	12,359	72.05	
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	16,604	657	16,604	278	6,391	37.86	
Exposures in default	846	112	574	29	717	119.00	
Exposures associated with particularly high risk	204	35	202	18	325	147.04	
Covered bonds							
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment							
Collective investment undertakings							
Equity							
Other items							
TOTAL	213,807	284,167	214,317	6,530	27,579	12.49	

The total SA exposure slightly decreased to EUR 496 billion in 2024 and was mainly witnessed in exposure classes International organizations and Central government or central banks, partly offset by increase in Retail. The overall RWA slightly decreased to EUR 28.3 billion.

Risk weights per exposure class

The table below presents the breakdown, post conversion factor and post risk mitigation techniques, of exposures under the Standardised approach by exposure class and risk weight (corresponding to the riskiness attributed to the exposure according to SA approach). The risk weights presented encompass all

those assigned to each credit quality step in Article 113 to Article 134 in Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 of Regulation (EU) 575/2013.

EU CR5:Standardised appr	oach Post-CCF	and Post-C	CRM Techni	ques													
2024							Ris	sk weight								Tatal	Of whic
Exposure classes	0 %	2 %	4 %	10 %	20 %	35 %	50 %	70 %	75 %	100 %	150 %	250 %	370 %	1250 %	Others	Total	unrate
Central governments or central banks	154,022				183		88			489	798				· · · · ·	155,580	
Regional government or local authorities					43					98						142	
Public sector entities																	
Multilateral development banks	5,537															5,537	
International organisations	2,677															2,677	
Institutions					215		22									236	
Corporates					2,537		133			5,030						7,700	
Retail exposures						263			18,847							19,111	
Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property						14,898	2,236			113						17,247	
Exposures in default										397	259					656	
Exposures associated with particularly high risk											100					100	
Covered bonds																	
Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment																	
Units or shares in collective investment undertakings																	
Equity exposures																	
Other items																	
TOTAL	162,236				2,978	15,162	2,479		18,847	6,128	1,157					208,987	

EU CR5:Standardised approc	ach Post-CCF	and Post-	CRM Techni	iques													
2023							Ris	sk weight								Total	Of whic
Exposure classes	0 %	2 %	4 %	10 %	20 %	35 %	50 %	70 %	75 %	100 %	150 %	250 %	370 %	1250 %	Others	Total	unrate
Central governments or central banks	166,307		822	338	755		312			764	350					169,648	3
Regional government or local authorities					45					21						66	5
Public sector entities																	
Multilateral development banks	4,826															4,826	5
International organisations	2,550															2,550)
Institutions					2,940		122			38						3,101	L
Corporates					92		6			5,626	74					5,798	3
Retail exposures						215			16,937							17,152	2
Exposures secured by mortgages on immovable property						13,256	3,531			95						16,883	3
Exposures in default										374	229					603	5
Exposures associated with particularly high risk											221					221	L
Covered bonds																	
Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment																	
Units or shares in collective investment undertakings																	
Equity exposures																	
Other items																	
TOTAL	173,683		822	338	3,833	13,472	3,971		16,937	6,917	874					220,847	7

Also here, the main exposure of the SA portfolio is in Central governments or central banks with a low risk weight.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The main activities that qualify under counterparty credit risk are derivatives trading activities and securities financing. To mitigate the credit risk of these transactions, ING enters into master agreements such as ISDA master agreements and Global Master Repurchase Agreements (GMRAs) that ensures netting of the outstanding positions. To further eliminate the risk on the netted positions, both ING Bank and its counterparties may agree to pledge additional collateral to each other. Additionally, ING started to exchange initial margin amounts with its trading partners in 2017. The actual amount that ING may be required to pledge varies based on ING's portfolio composition of both derivatives and securities pledged in securities financing transactions, market circumstances, the number of downgrade notches as well as the terms and conditions of future CSAs or other similar agreements.

CCR risk approach

Analysis of the counterparty credit risk exposure by approach

The main purpose of the derivatives portfolio of ING is to facilitate the hedging of the lending portfolio as well as hedging for clients. The portfolio consists mainly plain vanilla interest rate and foreign exchange derivatives. It must also be noted that - in line with regulatory requirement - ING novated the bulk of its new trades via qualifying central counterparties (CCPs), which compresses the exposure via the use of the large netting pool of a CCP.

In the tables below, ING's counterparty credit risk portfolio is presented. The tables are reported following the implementation of the updated reporting requirements under CRR2, as a result comparative numbers are not available for all the templates. Under Pillar 1 ING uses the Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk (SA-CCR) (in line with CRR art. 274). In June 2021 ING went live with the SA-CCR, which replaced the current exposure method (CEM)/ mark-to-market method. There are no exposures under the advanced, Internal Model Method (IMM) under Pillar1. Under Pillar 2 however, for FX and interest rate derivatives, ING uses a risk sensitive approach based on Monte Carlo simulations.

For the calculation of the collateral and securities financing transactions (SFT) exposures, ING uses the financial collateral comprehensive method. There is no contractual cross product netting applied.

Under Pillar 1, according to the SA-CCR, the regulatory exposure at default (READ) measure consists of the replacement cost and potential future exposure components. The exposure at default is calculated on a

daily basis to take into account the changes in the MTM value due to market movements and changes in the portfolio composition. This calculation is done on:

- Gross basis (ignoring any collateral received and ignoring any netting between trades).
- Net basis (ignoring any collateral received, but applying netting between trades with a positive and negative MTM in case there is a legally enforceable netting agreement in place).
- Net basis after collateral (subtracting any post haircut value of collateral received, and applying netting between trades with a positive and negative MTM in case there is a legally enforceable netting agreement in place).

READ also takes into account the credit valuation adjustment (CVA) recognised as an incurred write-down in line with art. 273(6) CRR.

Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk

The following table presents the CCR exposures that are calculated according the Standardised approach (SA), which is only an insignificant part of ING's portfolio.

EU C	CR3: Standardise	ed appro	oach C	CR exp	osures	by regu	ılatory	portfo	lio and	risk			
2024						Ri	sk Weig	ht					
	Exposure Class	0%	2%	4%	10%	20%	50%	70%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total
1	Central governments or central banks	6,572				114	7			42			6,735
2	Regional government or local authorities												
3	Public sector entities												
4	Multilateral development banks	6,114											6,114
5	International organisations	26											26
6	Institutions						2						2
7	Corporates						1			77			78
8	Retail								1				1
9	Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment												
10	Other items												
11	Total exposure value	12,712				114	10		1	119			12,956

EU C	CR3: Standardis	ed appr	oach C	CR exp	osures	by regi	ulatory	portfo	lio and	risk			
2023						R	isk Weig	ht					
	Exposure Class	0%	2%	4%	10%	20%	50%	70%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total
1	Central governments or central banks	1,807			59	142	14			25			2,047
2	Regional government or local authorities												
3	Public sector entities												
4	Multilateral development banks	6,566											6,566
5	International organisations	55											55
6	Institutions						9						9
7	Corporates						3			65	55		123
8	Retail												
9	Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment												
10	Other items												
11	Total exposure value	8,428			59	142	25			90	55		8,801

The 100% risk weight exposures to central governments or central banks contain mainly FX swaps with central monetary institutions.

IRB – CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale

The following table shows all relevant parameters used for the calculation of CCR capital requirements for IRB models.

EU CCR4 – IRB approach – CCR exposures by exposure class and PD scale

2024	Exposure value	Exposure weighted average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Exposure weighted average LGD (%)	Exposure weighted average maturity (years)	RWEA	Density of risk weighted exposure amounts
PD Scale					(yeurs)		uniounits
Corporates - Other							
0.00 to <0.15	8,284	0.10	524	33.87	1	1,415	0.17
0.15 to <0.25	3,384	0.19	585	42.66	2	1,169	0.35
0.25 to <0.50	3,449	0.38	881	39.95	1	1,742	0.50
0.50 to <0.75		0.49	1	31.45	1	0	0.33
0.75 to <2.50	1,980	1.01	857	37.12	1	1,480	0.75
2.50 to <10.00	71	3.54	227	49.94	2	113	1.60
10.00 to <100.00	14	27.81	157	55.41	2	45	3.29
100.00 (Default)	2	100.00	18	48.68	3	15	6.38
Sub-total	17,184	0.33	3,250	37.28	1	5,979	0.35
Corporates - SME							
0.00 to <0.15	4	0.09	365	96.75	2	2	0.37
0.15 to <0.25	191	0.21	493	47.20	1	67	0.35
0.25 to <0.50	133	0.37	599	56.60	2	90	0.68
0.50 to <0.75	26	0.61	333	48.80	3	19	0.75
0.75 to <2.50	62	1.29	723	58.12	2	72	1.16
2.50 to <10.00	11	4.44	365	41.16	3	14	1.22
10.00 to <100.00	7	12.59	248	38.22	4	12	1.56
100.00 (Default)	1	100.00	38	48.54	1	7	6.67
Sub-total	436	1.00	3,164	51.92	2	282	0.65
Corporates - Specialised lending							
0.00 to <0.15	728	0.14	371	32.14	5	336	0.46
0.15 to <0.25	344	0.21	316	44.68	3	203	0.59
0.25 to <0.50	1,376	0.36	660	37.23	3	1,028	0.75
0.50 to <0.75	28	0.66	44	35.19	4	20	0.73
0.75 to <2.50	389	0.90	215	38.00	4	428	1.10
2.50 to <10.00	43	7.32	45	50.45	3	119	2.76
10.00 to <100.00	3	17.88	58	65.38	5	14	4.43
100.00 (Default)	6	100.00	11	35.82	4	40	6.48
Sub-total	2,918	0.70	1,720	37.14	4	2,188	0.75
Institutions							
0.00 to <0.15	14,040	0.07	997	37.37	1	2,648	0.19

0.15 to <0.25	937	0.21	233	39.06	1	315	0.34
0.25 to <0.50	843	0.37	376	38.18	1	419	0.50
0.50 to <0.75			3				
0.75 to <2.50	262	1.12	494	47.20		234	0.89
2.50 to <10.00	17	3.24	89	39.91		18	1.04
10.00 to <100.00	5	17.88	98	52.20	1	14	3.09
100.00 (Default)	—	100.00	4	59.94	1	2	7.49
Sub-total	16,104	0.12	2,294	37.68	1	3,650	0.23
Retail - Other							
0.00 to <0.15	5	0.07	102	127.09		1	0.24
0.15 to <0.25	_	0.19	34	140.58		_	0.59
0.25 to <0.50	3	0.35	10	108.67		2	0.69
0.50 to <0.75	—		3			—	
0.75 to <2.50	1	2.48	53	140.58		2	2.01
2.50 to <10.00	0	2.65	12	140.58		—	2.03
10.00 to <100.00	—	16.32	71	30.38		0	0.76
100.00 (Default)							
Sub-total	10	1.07	285	120.39		7	0.64
Retail - SME							
0.00 to <0.15	1	0.09	130	109.86		0	0.22
0.15 to <0.25	—	0.18	130	123.40		0	0.47
0.25 to <0.50	—	0.40	175	87.19		0	0.47
0.50 to <0.75	—	0.63	39	94.74		1	1.01
0.75 to <2.50	1	1.31	169	55.17		1	0.67
2.50 to <10.00	0	4.58	106	88.89		0	1.25
10.00 to <100.00	0	16.10	151	121.81		1	2.60
100.00 (Default)	0		46			0	
Sub-total	3	2.12	946	89.64		2	0.79
Total	36,654	0.27	11,659	37.64	2	12,109	0.33

All figures are in EUR millions, except for the number of obligors.

RWA density is the average risk weight.

Collateral held on exposure values

Collateral

The composition of collated ING posted/received used in CCR exposures and related to SFT transactions can be observed in the following table.

EU CCR5 – Com	EU CCR5 – Composition of collateral for CCR exposures										
2024	Collater	al used in de	rivative trans	actions		Collateral u	ised in SFTs				
		of collateral ived	Fair value colla		Fair value c rece	of collateral ived	Fair value colla	of posted teral			
Collateral type	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d			
Cash – domestic currency	2,160	2,049	2,001	2,580	6	306		2,220			
Cash – other currencies	645	2,148	4,278	1,110		912		1,469			
Domestic sovereign debt	940	312	2,892	435		52,608	1,588	53,441			
Other sovereign debt	1,087	627	2,507	15		106,808	618	95,539			
Government agency debt						43		52			
Corporate bonds	499	575	1,268			14,173		11,758			
Equity securities						25,854		21,588			
Other collateral	740					52,016		78,574			
Total	6,070	5,712	12,946	4,141	6	252,721	2,206	264,640			

Excluding exposure class securitization

EU CCR5 – Com	position of	collateral fo	or CCR expo	sures				
2023	Collater	al used in de	rivative trans	sactions		Collateral u	used in SFTs	
		of collateral eived		of posted Iteral		of collateral eived		of posted teral
Collateral type	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d	Segregate d	Un- Segregate d
Cash - domestic currency	2,708	1,836	943	2,926		111		1,638
Cash – other currencies	996	1,113	3,945	1,449		203		1,418
Domestic sovereign debt	742	171	4,121	31		36,842	296	36,338
Other sovereign debt	1,664	487	1,851	55		43,150	211	41,844
Government agency debt						430		404
Corporate bonds	197	536	195			10,199		12,589
Equity securities						29,055		28,764
Other collateral	371	1				52,433		78,966
Total	6,679	4,144	11,055	4,461		172,423	507	201,962

Excluding exposure class securitization

The bulk of collateral posted/received is in cash and government bonds for OTC derivatives. For SFT's the majority of collateral received is sovereign debt, while the collateral posted is predominately sovereign debt, corporate bonds and other collateral.

Central Counterparties

In line with EMIR regulation - for standard products - the use of Central Clearing Parties (CCPs) is mandatory and thus a large part of the portfolio has been shifted from bilateral trades to CCPs in recent years

The table below presents the exposures to central counterparties, broken down by qualified (QCCP) and nonqualified CCPs:

	2024		2023	
-	Exposure value	RWEA	Exposure value	RWEA
Exposures to QCCPs (total)		333		378
Exposures for trades at QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	4,372	91	2,877	61
(i) OTC derivatives	2,614	56	2,355	51
(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	843	17	168	3
(iii) SFTs	915	18	354	7
(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved				
Segregated initial margin				
Non-segregated initial margin				
Prefunded default fund contributions	865	242	687	317
Unfunded default fund contributions				
Exposures to non-QCCPs (total)		11		291
Exposures for trades at non-QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	7	7	118	278
(i) OTC derivatives	7	7		
(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives				
(iii) SFTs			118	278
(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved				
Segregated initial margin				
Non-segregated initial margin				
Prefunded default fund contributions		4	1	7
Unfunded default fund contributions			1	6

1 By definition segregated initial margin does not contribute to exposure

2 The status "qualified" is based on the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) qualification.

Note: ING reports CCPs as "qualified" CCPs (QCCPs) if they have files for the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) approval, that enables credit institutions to calculate capital in a preferential way.

CVA risk

The CRR/CRD IV introduced a regulatory capital charge for material increases in the Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA), the market price of the counterparty credit risk of derivatives. In particular, as credit spreads of ING's counterparties increase, CVA will increase as well and ING will incur a loss.

ING follows the standardised approach for calculating the own fund requirement for CVA Risk. The scope of products and counterparties follows the European regulations (CRR and EMIR).

U CCI	R2 – Transactions subject to own	•	for CVA risk		
		2024		2023	
		Exposure value	RWAs	Exposure value	RWAs
1	Total transactions subject to the Advanced method				
2	(i) VaR component (including the 3× multiplier)				
3	(ii) stressed VaR component (including the 3× multiplier)				
4	Transactions subject to the Standardised method	8,563	1,034	6,197	1,150
	Transactions subject to the Alternative approach (Based on the Original Exposure Method)				
5	Total transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk	8,563	1,034	6,197	1,150

Credit default swaps

ING participates in the credit risk derivative trading market, as a net purchaser of credit risk protection from other counterparties.

EU CCR6: Credit derivatives expos	ures			
	202	4	202	3
	Protection bought	Protection sold	Protection bought	Protection sold
Notionals				
Single-name credit default swaps	11,725	8,154	10,775	8,154
Index credit default swaps	9,960	7,736	3,008	1,806
Total return swaps	4,235		3,628	
Credit options				
Other credit derivatives				
Total notionals	25,920	15,889	17,410	9,959
Fair values				
Positive fair value (asset)	21	288	12	160
Negative fair value (liability)	-615		-586	-10

For ING's credit derivative positions as of 31 December 2024, the largest notional is under single-name credit default swap (CDS).

EU C	CR1 – Analysis of CCR exposure by approach								
2024		Replacement cost (RC)	Potential future exposure (PFE)	EEPE	Alpha used for computing regulatory exposure value	Exposure value pre-CRM	Exposure value post-CRM	Exposure value	RWEA
EU-1	EU - Original Exposure Method (for derivatives)				1.4				
EU-2	EU - Simplified SA-CCR (for derivatives)				1.4				
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives)	9,367	13,821		1.4	40,896	32,012	31,866	9,853
2	IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)								
2a	Of which securities financing transactions netting sets								
2b	Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions netting sets								
2c	Of which from contractual cross-product netting sets								
3	Financial collateral simple method (for SFTs)								
4	Financial collateral comprehensive method (for SFTs)					29,053	17,737	17,737	2,395
5	VaR for SFTs								
6	Total					69,948	49,748	49,603	12,249

EU CCR1 – Analysis of CCR exposure by approach									
2023		Replacement cost (RC)	Potential future exposure (PFE)	EEPE	Alpha used for computing regulatory exposure value	Exposure value pre-CRM	Exposure value post-CRM	Exposure value	RWEA
EU-1	EU - Original Exposure Method (for derivatives)				1.4				
EU-2	EU - Simplified SA-CCR (for derivatives)				1.4				
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives)	8,314	11,961		1.4	36,606	28,172	28,041	8,565
2	IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)								
2a	Of which securities financing transactions netting sets								
2b	Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions netting sets								
2c	Of which from contractual cross-product netting sets								
3	Financial collateral simple method (for SFTs)								
4	Financial collateral comprehensive method (for SFTs)					24,166	12,906	12,906	2,037
5	VaR for SFTs								
6	Total					60,772	41,079	40,947	10,602

Securitisations

The following is prepared taking into account the 'Industry Good Practice Guidelines on Pillar 3 disclosure requirements for securitisations' issued by the European Banking Federation and other industry associations on 31 January 2010 and the CRR/CRD IV disclosure requirements. It includes qualitative and quantitative disclosures addressing both the exposure securitised as well as securitisations positions held. While quantitative disclosures are limited to those securitisations that are used for the purpose of calculating the regulatory capital requirements under the CRR/CRD IV, qualitative information have a broader scope and give a view on ING's entire securitisation activity.

Depending on ING's role as investor, originator, or sponsor, the objectives, the involvement and the rules applied may be different. ING is primarily engaged in securitisation transactions in the role of investor (in securitisations arranged by others). ING is also an originator or sponsor of securitisations and the latter through its support of the ABCP conduit MontBlanc. ING does not re-securitise its securitisations exposure and even though ING hedges its securitisation positions, such instruments are not recognised as credit risk mitigation for regulatory capital purposes. ING does not engage in securitisation of any impaired assets from its own balance. Furthermore, ING does not have any securitisation position in its trading book.

Exposures associated with Securitisations (Asset Backed Financing, Commercial / Residential Mortgage Backed Securities) are shown separately because of their specific treatment. These amounts also relate to the amount invested prior to any impairment or mark-to-market adjustments. These amounts are also considered to be 'Credit Risk outstanding'.

Valuation and accounting policies

ING's activities regarding securitisations are described in Note 49 'Structured entities' in the annual accounts. The applicable accounting policies are included in Note 1 'Basis of preparation and accounting policies' in the ING Financial Statements. The most relevant accounting policies for ING's own originated securitisation programmes are 'Derecognition of financial assets' and 'Consolidation'. Where ING acts as investor in securitisation positions, the most relevant accounting policy is 'Classification and measurement of financial instruments'.

Regulatory capital methodology

ING has implemented SEC-IRB, SEC-SA, SEC-ERBA and SEC-IAA in line with of Regulation 2017/2401. This regulation became effective for securitisations originated as of 1 January 2019 and as of 1 January 2020 for all securitisation positions.

For securitisations originated before 1 January 2019, ING continued to use the AIRB approach for credit risk. For these positions ING uses the Rating Based Approach (RBA) for investments in tranches of asset-backed securities (ABS) and mortgage-backed securities (MBS) which have been rated by external rating agencies. Rating agencies which are used by ING under the RBA include Standard & Poor's, Fitch and Moody's.

Under the RBA, RWA are determined by multiplying the amount of the exposure by the appropriate regulatory risk weights, which depend on: the external rating or an available inferred rating, the seniority of the position and the granularity of the position.

ING uses the Internal Assessment Approach (IAA) for the liquidity support facilities it provides to Asset Backed Commercial Paper (ABCP) conduit Mont Blanc Capital Corp., based on externally published rating agency methodologies. Under the IAA approach, the unrated position is assigned by the institution to an internal rating grade. The individual liquidity facilities are then attributed a derived rating by mapping the internal rating grade to an externally published credit assessment corresponding to that rating grade.

For securitisations originated after 1 January 2019, ING applies the hierarchy of methods as introduced in Regulation 2017/2401. Following the prescribed hierarchy securitisation positions are reported under SEC-IRBA, SEC-SA, SEC-ERBA or SEC-IAA. As of 1-1-2020 all securitisation positions will be reported under the SEC-IRBA, SEC-SA, SEC-ERBA or SEC-IAA approach based on the regulatory hierarchy of methods.

Under all approaches in the hierarchy, the risk weight for STS-compliant securitizations is subject to a floor of 10% for senior tranches and 15% for non-senior tranches.

Investor securitisations

ING's goal is to maintain a portfolio of high quality liquid assets that meets the regulatory requirements of CRR/CRD IV and the Delegated Act of October 2014 regarding liquidity. ING invests in high quality Asset Backed Securities (ABS) keeping close track of the securitisation investment positions via monthly monitoring reports and weekly update calls. Additionally, ING invests in securitisation positions in order to facilitate client business from Wholesale Banking Securitisation department.

Sponsor securitisations

In the normal course of business, ING structures financing transactions for its clients by assisting them in obtaining sources of liquidity by selling the clients' receivables or other financial assets to a Special Purpose Vehicle (SSPE). The senior positions in these transactions are funded by the ING administered multi seller Asset Backed Commercial Paper (ABCP) conduit Mont Blanc Capital Corp. (rated A-1/P-1). Mont Blanc Capital Corp. funds itself externally in the ABCP markets.

In its role as administrative agent, ING facilitates these transactions by acting as administrative agent, swap counterparty and liquidity provider to Mont Blanc. ING also provides support facilities (liquidity) backing the transactions funded by the conduit. The types of asset currently in the Mont Blanc conduit include trade receivables, consumer finance receivables and car leases.

ING supports the commercial paper programmes by providing Mont Blanc Capital Corp. with short-term liquidity facilities. Once drawn these facilities bear normal credit risk.

The standby liquidity facilities are reported under irrevocable facilities. All facilities, which vary in risk profile, are granted to the SSPE subject to normal ING credit and liquidity risk analysis procedures. The fees received for services provided and for facilities are charged subject to market conditions. Mont Blanc is consolidated by ING. These transactions are therefore on-balance sheet arrangements.

In line with the Internal Assessment Approach, which has been approved for use by ECB for ING in relation to the ING sponsored ABCP conduit, Mont Blanc Capital Corp, the credit quality of the positions are internally assessed by following publicly available assessment methodology of external rating agencies. This includes differing stress factors for different asset classes as outlined by rating agencies as well as assessing the entire structure of the transaction including additional quantitative and qualitative features. This will result in the calculation of a certain credit enhancement. Other protections in a transaction (eligibility criteria, early amortization triggers, commingling protections etc.) are factored in and that then results in an internal rating. This rating is then directly mapped to an external rating, which is used to determine the RWA for the liquidity facilities provided by ING to support the transactions and is outlined in a defined approach. Any changes to rating agency methodology is tracked annually with a procedure in place with the credit risk measurement department verifying that any changes are captured in an update to the approach.

The credit approval process for individual transactions follows ING's standard credit approval procedures. At inception, initial data is required to be received outlining the historical performance of the assets. Due diligence is carried out on the underlying asset pool. Following ING policy, each transaction is reviewed (including reassessing the internal assessment approach analysis for that transaction) on a regular basis.

The performance of each transaction is closely monitored on an ongoing basis through a.o. detailed transaction reports.

Originator securitisations

ING originates own securitisation transactions for economic and regulatory capital purposes, as well as liquidity and funding purposes.

The senior tranches in securitisations are used to obtain funding and/or provide contingent liquidity. To be eligible as collateral for central banks securitised exposures must be sold to a Securitisation Special Purpose Entity (SSPE) which, in turn, issues securitisation notes ('traditional securitisations') in two tranches, one subordinated tranche and one senior tranche, rated AAA by a rating agency. The AAA tranche can then be used by ING as (stand-by) collateral in the money market for secured borrowings. The assets awaiting securitisations are originated from a banking book and are valued in line with the respective accounting framework. In principle, loans that are securitised are valued at cost.

As long as the securitisation exposures created are not transferred to third parties, the regulatory capital remains unchanged. These are not detailed hereunder. Apart from the structuring and administration costs of these securitisations, these securitisations are profit / loss neutral.

Securitisation Exposure

In the table below, the securitisations are given, broken down by underlying exposure. The total position of our securitisations in 2024 increased to EUR 18.1 billion (2023: EUR 17.2 billion). The underlying exposures are residential mortgages and Lease and receivables.

EU-SEC1: Securitisation exposures in the	Horr trading book												
2024		Institution acts as origin	nator			lr	nstitution act	s as sponsor		Ir	nstitution ac	ts as investo	r
	Tradition	al	Synthe	tic		Traditi	onal			Traditi	onal		
				of which									
	STS	Non-STS		SRT	Sub-total	STS	Non-STS	Synthetic	Sub-total	STS	Non-STS	Synthetic	Sub-total
	of which SRT	of which SRT											
Total exposures			3,064	3,064	3,064	4,439	5,319		9,758	4,333	987		5,320
Retail (total)						1,034	4,573		5,607	2,445	308		2,753
residential mortgage						4	658		662	614	234		848
credit card						200	1,842		2,042				
other retail exposures						830	2,072		2,902	1,831	74		1,905
re-securitisation													
Wholesale (total)			3,064	3,064	3,064	3,405	747		4,152	1,888	679		2,567
loans to corporates													
commercial mortgage							243		243				
lease and receivables			3,064	3,064	3,064	3,405	503		3,908	1,888	679		2,567
other wholesale													
re-securitisation													

	Institution acts as origi	nator			Ir	nstitution acts	s as sponsoi	r	Ir	stitution acts	s as investor	
Tradition	al	Synthe	tic		Traditi	onal			Traditio	onal		
STS	Non-STS		of which SRT	Sub-total	STS	Non-STS	Synthetic	Sub-total	STS	Non-STS	Synthetic	Sub-total
of which SRT	of which SRT											
		4,845	4,845	4,845	2,396	5,510		7,906	3,626	756		4,382
		2,010	2,010	2,010	1,089	3,439		4,529	1,830	210		2,040
		2,010	2,010	2,010	4	707		711	629	128		758
					200	1,472		1,672				
					885	1,260		2,146	1,200	82		1,282
		2,835	2,835	2,835	1,307	2,071		3,377	1,797	546		2,343
						221		221				
		2,835	2,835	2,835	1,307	1,850		3,156	1,797	532		2,329
										14		14
	STS of which	Traditional STS Non-STS of which of which	Traditional Synthem STS Non-STS of which of which SRT SRT 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010	Traditional Synthetic STS Non-STS of which SRT SRT of which SRT SRT SRT 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010	TraditionalSynthetic of which SRTSub-totalSTSNon-STS of which SRTSRTSRTof which SRTof which SRTSRT4,8452,0102,0102,0102,0102,0102,0102,0102,0102,8352,8352,8352,835	Traditional Synthetic Traditional STS Non-STS of which SRT Sub-total STS of which SRT SRT SRT Sub-total STS 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 2,010 2,835 2,835 1,307	Traditional Synthetic of which STS Non-STS of which SRT Sub-total STS Non-STS of which SRT of which SRT SRT Sub-total STS Non-STS 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 707 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 1,260 2,835 2,835 2,835 2,835 1,307 2,071 221 221 221 221 221 221	Traditional Synthetic Traditional STS Non-STS of which SRT SRT Sub-total STS Non-STS Synthetic of which SRT of which SRT SRT SUb-total STS Non-STS Synthetic 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 4 707 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,089 3,439 1,472 2,010 2,010 2,010 1,472 1,472 1,472 2,835 2,835 2,835 1,307 2,071 1,472 2,835 2,835 2,835 1,307 2,071 1,472 2,21 2,235 2,835 1,307 2,071 1,472 </td <td>Traditional Synthetic Traditional Synthetic Traditional Synthetic Synthetic Synthetic Sub-total STS Non-STS Synthetic Sub-total Str Str Str Sub-total STS Non-STS Synthetic Sub-total Sub-total Str Str Sub-total Str Str Str Str Sub-total Str Str Sub-total Str Str Sub-total Str Str<</td> <td>$\begin{array}{$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{$</td>	Traditional Synthetic Traditional Synthetic Traditional Synthetic Synthetic Synthetic Sub-total STS Non-STS Synthetic Sub-total Str Str Str Sub-total STS Non-STS Synthetic Sub-total Sub-total Str Str Sub-total Str Str Str Str Sub-total Str Str Sub-total Str Str Sub-total Str Str<	$ \begin{array}{ $	$ \begin{array}{ $	$ \begin{array}{ $

As we do not have securitization exposures in the trading book, this template is not included in the Pillar 3 report.

The following tables provides the breakdown of current exposures by risk weight bands and by regulatory approach.

2024	Exp	osure values	(by RW band	ls/deductions)	Exposure	e values (by r	egulatory ap	proach)	RW	EA (by regulate	ory approa	ch)		Capital charge	after cap
	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% 1250% RW/ RW deductions	SEC-IRBA	SEC-IRBA	SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	1250%/ deductions	SEC-IRBA	SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA	1250%/ deductions	SEC-IRBA	SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA 1250%/ deductions
Total exposures	9,190	499		2		346	9,345			36	1,447	4		3	116
Traditional transactions	6,128	499				346	6,281			36	969	4		3	78
Securitisation	6,128	499				346	6,281			36	969	4		3	78
Retail	4,566	348				11	4,903			2	791	4			63
Of which STS	1,034						1,034				103				8
Wholesale	1,563	151				335	1,378			34	178			3	14
Of which STS	1,177					323	854			32	85			3	7
Re-securitisation															
Synthetic transactions	3,062			2			3,064				478				38
Securitisation	3,062			2			3,064				478				38
Retail underlying															
Wholesale	3,062			2			3,064				478				38
Re-securitisation															

2023	Exp	osure values		ds/deductions)		e values (by r	egulatory ap	proach)	RW	EA (by regulate	ory approa	ch)		Capital charge	after cap	
	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW	SEC-IRBA	SEC-IRBA	SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	1250%/ deductions	SEC-IRBA	SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA	1250%/ deductions	SEC-IRBA	SEC-ERBA (including IAA)		L250%/ leductions
Total exposures	9,974	469		7	2,010	68	8,373		286	8	1,314		23	1	105	
Traditional transactions	5,137	469				68	5,538			8	871			1	70	
Securitisation	5,137	469				68	5,538			8	871			1	70	
Retail	3,994	350				9	4,335			1	708				57	
Of which STS	1,089						1,089				115				9	
Wholesale	1,143	119				59	1,203			6	163			1	13	
Of which STS	683					52	631			5	65				5	
Re-securitisation																
Synthetic transactions	4,837			7	2,010		2,835		286		444		23		35	
Securitisation	4,837			7	2,010		2,835		286		444		23		35	
Retail underlying	2,010				2,010				286				23			
Wholesale	2,827			7			2,835				444				35	
Re-securitisation																

2024	Exp	osure values	(by RW band	ls/deductions)	Exposure values (by re	gulatory ap	proach)	RWEA (by regulat	ory approad	:h)	Capital charge	after cap
	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW deductions	SEC-ERBA SEC-IRBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA	1250%/ deductions	SEC-ERBA SEC-IRBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA	1250%/ deductions	SEC-ERBA SEC-IRBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA 1250%/ deductions
Total exposures	4,957	362		1	2,873	2,447		298	365		24	29
Traditional securitisation	4,957	362		1	2,873	2,447		298	365		24	29
Securitisation	4,957	362		1	2,873	2,447		298	365		24	29
Retail underlying	2,738	14			1,596	1,157		170	131		14	10
Of which STS	2,430	14			1,595	849		168	85		13	
Wholesale	2,218	348		1	1,277	1,290		128	234		10	19
Of which STS	1,888				1,277	612		128	66		10	5
Re-securitisation												
Synthetic securitisation												
Securitisation												
Retail underlying												
Wholesale												
Re-securitisation												

2023	Exp	osure values	(by RW band	ls/deductions)	Exposure values (by re	gulatory app	proach)	RWEA (by regulat	ory approac	h)	Capital charge	after cap
	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW deductions	SEC-ERBA SEC-IRBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA	1250%/ deductions	SEC-ERBA SEC-IRBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA	1250%/ deductions	SEC-ERBA SEC-IRBA (including IAA)	SEC-SA deductions
Total exposures	4,034	347	1		3,295	1,087		345	213		28	17
Traditional securitisation	4,034	347	1		3,295	1,087		345	213		28	17
Securitisation	4,034	347	1		3,295	1,087		345	213		28	17
Retail underlying	2,025	14			1,802	237		194	34		16	3
Of which STS	1,816	14			1,802	28		192	3		15	
Wholesale	2,009	333	1		1,493	850		151	179		12	14
Of which STS	1,797				1,492	305		151	35		12	3
Re-securitisation												
Synthetic securitisation												
Securitisation												
Retail underlying												
Wholesale												
Re-securitisation												

The table below provides the exposures in default for securitisations where ING acts as originator or as sponsor.

2024	•	ed by the institution - riginator or as sponso	
	Total outstanding	nominal amount	Total amount of specific credit risk
		Of which exposures in default	adjustments made during the period
Total exposures	77,398	517	
Retail (total)	23,019	245	
residential mortgage	5,702	101	
credit card	10,594	88	
other retail exposures	6,723	55	
re-securitisation			
Wholesale (total)	54,379	272	
loans to corporates	1,191	4	
commercial mortgage	347	170	
lease and receivables	52,841	98	
other wholesale			
re-securitisation			

EU-SEC5: Exposures securitised by the institution - Exposures in default and specific credit risk adjustments

Total outstanding	nominal amount	Total amount of specific credit risk
	Of which exposures in default	adjustments made during the period
56,184	519	
19,682	107	
7,168	106	
7,146		
5,368	1	
36,502	412	
675		
216		
35,611	412	
	0 Total outstanding 56,184 19,682 7,168 7,146 5,368 36,502 675 216	in default 56,184 519 19,682 107 7,168 106 7,146 5,368 1

Market Risk

Prudent Valuation Adjustments

The fair valued instruments of ING portfolio are subject to valuation adjustments, supported by a bank-wide valuation policy framework meeting IFRS and CRR requirements. Based on IFRS rules, the fair value adjustments booked through P&L or OCI reflect the fair exit price. Additionally, based on CRR Article 105 and Article 34, the Additional Valuation Adjustment (AVA) that captures the 90% confidence prudency in the fair value are deducted from the Common Equity Tier 1 capital.

EU PV1: Prudent valuation	on adjustments	s (PVA)											
amounts in EUR thousands										2024			2023
			Risk Category			Category level A uncert		Total category l	evel post-diversifi	cation	Total category le	evel post-diversifi	cation
	Equity	Interest Rates	Foreign exchange	Credit	Commodities	Unearned credit spreads AVA	Investment and funding costs AVA	-	Of which: Total core approach in the trading book	Of which: Total core approach in the banking book		Of which: in the trading book	Of which: in the banking book
Market price uncertainty	20,943	15,724	1,320	201,734		1,261	155	146,304	40,679	105,625	109,634	31,262	78,372
Close-out cost	33,961	6,449	320	12,678	6,640	1,039	87	37,655	31,785	5,870	31,206	27,270	3,936
Concentrated positions	8,503	5,440	257	66,247	123			80,571	11,432	69,139	32,934	848	32,086
Early termination													
Model risk	18,548	40,119	11	25,491	1,908	31,576	32,202	149,854	123,507	26,347	102,227	80,993	21,234
Operational risk													
Future administrative costs	2,871			84				2,956	2,907	49			
Total Additional Valuation Adjustments (AVAs)								690,952	210,309	480,644	593,957	140,373	453,584

The difference between the total AVA and the sum of the underlying components (internal models) is the fall-back approach.

As of 31 December 2024, the total Additional Valuation Adjustments (AVAs) is EUR 690.9 million. This total amount contains EUR 273.6 million of AVA for the fall-back approach. The move/increase is mainly driven by the increase in the concentrated positions AVA mainly due to the lower market liquidity in Q4 and the impact of the new concentrated positions AVA identification models and market price uncertainty and close-out cost mainly driven by the application of new equity valuation adjustments calculation methodology. On a quarterly basis, the fair value adjustments and additional valuation adjustments are discussed and approved in the Global Valuation and Impairment Committee (GV&IC), who oversees the bank-wide valuation framework.

Market RWA under the internal model approach (IMA)

The table below explains the changes in Market RWA under the Internal Model Approach (IMA) during 2024 and provides additional information by linking the impact of changes in portfolio composition, model changes, and shifts in the risk environment on Market RWA.

								2024		2023
		VaR	SVaR	IRC	Compre hensive risk measur e	Other	Total RWEAs	Total own funds require ments	Total RWEAs	Total own funds require ments
1	RWEAs at previous period end	2,508	4,385	1,746		810	9,449	756	8,609	689
1a	Regulatory adjustment	2,186	3,442	395			6,023	482	5,651	452
1b	RWEAs at the previous quarter-end (end of the day)	322	943	1,351		810	3,426	274	2,958	237
2	Movement in risk levels	286	503	103		-470	422	34	174	14
3	Model updates/changes								294	24
4	Methodology and policy									
5	Acquisitions and disposals									
6	Foreign exchange movements									
7	Other									
8a	RWEAs at the end of the disclosure period (end of the day)	608	1,446	1,454		340	3,848	308	3,426	274
8b	Regulatory adjustment	1,356	3,469	3			4,828	386	6,023	482
8	RWEAs at the end of the disclosure period	1,964	4,915	1,457		340	8,676	694	9,449	756

1 It is required to fill in Rows 1a/1b and 8a/8b when the RWA/capital requirement for any of the columns (VaR, SVaR, IRC) is the 60-day average (for VaR and SVaR) or the 12-week average measure (for IRC) and not the RWA/capital requirement at the end of the period. According to regulatory guidelines the values in rows 1a/8b are calculated as differences between values in rows 1 and 1b and 8 and 8a, respectively.

2 Movement in risk levels: Changes due to position changes between end-of-day values for two reporting periods in question.

Key changes

The ING Bank Market RWA under Internal Model Approach decreased from EUR 9.5 billion in 2023 to EUR 8.7 billion in 2024.

Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB)

EU IRRBB1 - Interest rate risks of non-trading book activities

				2024
			Changes of the incom	
Supervisory shock scenarios	Current period	Last period	Current period	Last period
Parallel up	-4,216	-3,711	394	462
Parallel down	438	746	-846	-1,112
Steepener	-429	-165		
Flattener	-920	-986		
Short rates up	-1,632	-1,739		
Short rates down	915	793		
	Parallel up Parallel down Steepener Flattener Short rates up	Supervisory shock scenariosCurrent periodParallel up-4,216Parallel down438Steepener-429Flattener-920Short rates up-1,632	Parallel up 4,216 3,711 Parallel down 438 746 Steepener 429 165 Flattener -920 -986 Short rates up 1,632 1,739	of equityincomSupervisory shock scenariosCurrent periodLast periodCurrent periodParallel up-4,216-3,711394Parallel down438746-846Steepener-429-165Flattener-920-986Short rates up-1,632-1,739

EU IRRBB1 - Interest rate risks of non-trading book activities

					2023
		Changes of the ea of equ		Changes of the incom	
	Supervisory shock scenarios	Current period	Last period	Current period	Last period
1	Parallel up	-3,711	-3,848	236	142
2	Parallel down	746	764	-239	-142
3	Steepener	-165	315		
4	Flattener	-986	-1,473		
5	Short rates up	-1,739	-2,251		
6	Short rates down	793	827		

* The changes of the net interest income for current (2024) period is compared to 30.06.2024, as both periods reflect the Supervisory Outlier Test (SOT) outcomes, in line with EBA Regulatory Technical Standards. The 31.12.2023 figures were based on internal measurements and are not directly comparable to SOT results. EVE SOT figures are compared between 31.12.2024 and 31.12.2023.

....

Table	e EU IRRBBA - Qualitative i	nformation on interest rate risks of non-trading book activities	
		Interest rate risk in the banking book is defined as the exposure of a bank's earnings, capital, and market value to adverse movements in interest rates originated from positions in the banking book.	
	A description of how the institution defines IRRBB	ING uses risk measures based on both an earnings perspective and a value perspective. The following (sub-)risk types are considered for the measurement of the interest rate risk in the banking book: Gap Risk, Customer Behaviour Risk, Tenor Basis Risk, Currency Diversion Risk, Vega Optionality Risk and IFRS P&L Volatility. Next to this, ING measures Market Risk Economic Capital for the banking book.	Article 448.1 (e),
(a)		ING recognises the importance of sound market risk management and bases its market risk management framework on the need to identify, assess, control, and manage market risks. The approach consists of a cycle of five recurring activities: risk identification, risk assessment, risk control, risk monitoring and risk reporting. >> Risk identification is a joint effort of the first and second lines of defence. The goal of risk identification is to detect potential new risks and any changes in known risks. >> Identified risks are assessed and measured by means of various risk metrics to determine the importance of the risk to ING and subsequently to identify the control measures needed. >> Risk control measures used by ING include policies, procedures, minimum standards, limit frameworks, management buffers to cover for uncertainties and stress tests.	first paragraph
		>> Risk monitoring occurs to check if the implemented risk controls are executed, complied with across the organisation, and are effective. >> Market risk management results and findings are reported to the necessary governing departments and approval bodies.	
		The IRRBB strategy links the overarching ING business strategy to the acceptable level for IRRBB, expressed in the Risk Appetite Statements. The Risk Appetite Statements are translated into metrics and limits to enable risk limit allocation, implementation, and monitoring.	
		The IRRBB risk appetite is set or updated at least annually and must be based on strategic objectives, identified IRRBB risks and regulatory requirements. The limits are defined at the consolidated level across the different risk categories and cascaded down into the organisation. The Management Board Bank approves higher-level limits of ING Group while the cascading to sub-metrics and locations has been delegated to the Asset and Liability Committee Bank (ALCO Bank).	
		ALCO Bank discusses and steers, monthly, the overall risk profile of all ING Bank's balance sheet and capital management risks. This is done to adhere to the Bank's strategic priorities and regulatory thresholds (Supervisory Outlier Tests), supported by various IRRBB metrics: Net Interest Income-at-Risk, Net Present Value-at-Risk, Revaluation Reserve-at-Risk, IFRS P&L volatility, and Market Risk Economic Capital for the banking book.	
(b)	A description of the institution's overall IRRBB management and	The management of interest rate risk follows the IRRBB framework as approved by ALCO Bank. This framework describes roles, responsibilities, risk metrics, the policies, and procedures related to interest rate risk management from commercial books (that capture the interest rate risks in the products sold to clients) to globally managed interest rate risk books within Group Treasury.	
	mitigation strategies.	The IRRBB framework distinguishes different views for the measurement of IRRBB that are applied: >> Sensitivity view: to measure all risk types, individually. The sensitivity view includes the IRRBB-specific regulatory measures and the risk measures used for internal management. >> Integrated view: all IRRBB risk types must be measured in coherence, from both an earnings perspective and/or value perspective. This includes economic capital, internal stress testing and regulatory stress testing. >> Specific (for example product specific) stress testing.	
		ING implements hedging and risk mitigation strategies that range from the use of traditional market instruments, such as interest rate swaps, to more sophisticated hedging strategies to address a combination of risk factors arising at the portfolio level.	
		Furthermore, ING's model risk and related control structure is based on the three model lines of defence (MLoD) approach. This approach aims to provide a sound governance framework for model risk management by defining and implementing three different management layers with distinct roles and oversight responsibilities. In this structure, models used in the IRRBB domain, globally or locally, are subject to regular validations/audits by Independent Model Validation (2nd MLOD) and Corporate Audit Service (3rd MLOD).	,

(c)	The periodicity of the calculation of the institution's IRRBB measures, and a description of the specific measures that the institution uses to gauge its sensitivity to IRRBB.	IRRBB measures: >> Net Interest Income-at-Risk measures the impact of changing interest rates on net interest income (before tax) of the banking book with a time horizon of one year (expanding to a horizon of three years). This excludes credit spread sensitivity and fees. The Supervisorry Outlier Test on NII is a regulatory metric that measures changes in net interest income over a one-year period under sudden parallel interest rate change scenarios, assuming a constant balance sheet. >> Net Present Value-at-Risk measures the impact of changing interest rates on value. The NPV-at-Risk is defined as the outcome of an instantaneous increase and decrease in interest rates from applying currency-specific scenarios. >> Economic Value of Equity is a regulatory metric that measures changes in the net present value of the interest rate sensitive instruments. >> Customer Behaviour Risk measures the sensitivity of NII and NPV to modelled customer behaviour by shifting the parameters of behavioural models. >> Tenor basis risk measures the impact of changes in interest rate volatilities on the NPV. >> Currency diversion risk measures the impact of changes in interest rate volatilities on the NPV. >> Currency diversion risk measures the effect on the NII and NPV of a movement of the interest rates of a currency relative to the EUR. >> IRFS P&L Volatility measures the fierd value sensitivities of derivatives in the banking book. The measure provides insight in the P&L impact of fair market value changes of these instruments. >> NII FV-at-Risk measures the future fair value sensitivities of a paritor and the banking book. The measure provides insight into P&L impact of fair market value changes on P&L and equity. Combined with the Net Interest Income-at-Risk, it forms an overall Earnings-at-Risk measure. >> Revaluation Reserve-at-Risk (RR-at-Risk) is defined as a specific subset of the NPV-at-Risk that is based on the accounting treatment Hold-to-Collect & Sell of the banking book positions. >> From an Economic Cap	Article 448.1 (e) (i) and (v); Article 448.2
(d)	A description of the interest rate shock and stress scenarios that the institution uses to estimate changes in the economic value and in net interest income (if applicable).	 >> In total, 19 scenarios are defined for gap risk. Internal NII-at-Risk scenarios consist of two parallel up/down scenarios (for internal and regulatory management) and six non-parallel scenarios (short rate up, short rate down, long rate up, long rate down, flattening, steepening all for internal management). In addition 3 'narrative' based scenarios (global crisis, geopolitical risk and geopolitical escalation risk) are calculated for NII-at-Risk. For internal measurement, NPV-at-Risk entails two parallel scenarios (up and down scenarios) and six non-parallel scenarios (short rate up, short rate down, long rate up, long rate down, flattening, steepening). >> For the regulatory view, 6 scenarios are defined for Economic Value of Equity, two parallel scenarios (up and down), and four non-parallel scenarios (short rate up, short rate down, flattening, steepening). Additionally, 2 parallel scenarios (up and down) are defined for NII SOT. >> For both the earning and value perspective, Customer Behaviour Risk scenarios are defined and applied to mortgage and savings models: this entails stress assumptions on mortgage prepayment rates and to volume and pricing development for savings portfolios. >> Two scenarios (tightening and widening) are defined for Tenor Basis Risk to measure the sensitivity of NII and NPV each. >> For Vega Optionality, one scenario is applied in which a parallel increase of the normal volatility surface is considered to measure the sensitivity of Net Present Value. >> Two scenarios defined for Currency diversion risk are parallel increases and decreases of the swap curves for the specified dimensions. 	Article 448.1 (e) (iii); Article 448.2
(e)	A description of the key modelling and parametric assumptions different from those used for disclosure of template EU IRRBB1 (if applicable).	For internal measurement reporting, NII-at-Risk figures are reported from the earnings perspective and NPV-at-Risk from the value perspective. For these measures the following key modelling parametric assumptions differ from the regulatory reporting measurements: >> Currency specific interest rate gradual movements (1-in-10 year scenario) are applied. >> Post-shock interest rate floors apply for both regulatory and internal measurements but differ in the levels of interest rate floors. >> Straight aggregation across currencies is applied to both NPV and NII measures. This approach differs from the EVE and NII SOT changes reported in IRRBB1, which adhere to the currency	Article 448.1 (e) (ii); Article 448.2

(f)	A high-level description of how the bank hedges its IRRBB, as well as the associated accounting treatment (if applicable).	ING uses derivatives for economic hedging purposes to manage its asset and liability portfolios and structural risk positions. The primary objective of ING's hedging activities is to manage the risks which arises from structural imbalances in the duration and other profiles of its assets and liabilities in accordance with its risk appetite. The main risks which are being hedged are interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk. These risks are primarily hedged with interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps and foreign exchange forwards/swaps. In its interest rate management ING uses [interest rate] swaps. For these swaps different hedge accounting programs are used to align the accounting classification of hedged items with the hedging derivatives. ING uses fair value hedge and cash flow hedge hedge accounting programs in relation to IRRBB.	Article 448.1 (e) (iv); Article 448.2
(g)	A description of key modelling and parametric assumptions used for the IRRBB measures in template EU IRRBB1 (if applicable).	The key modelling and parametric assumptions used, aim at: >> Reporting Economic Value of Equity in line with the regulatory requirements. >> Modelling customer behaviour of mortgages, loans, savings, and demand deposits, is based on extensive research. Per business unit and product type, exposures are typically segmented based on expected client behaviour to obtain homogenous portfolios. For the segments, customer behaviour is determined based on historical data and expert opinion. >> Applying behavioural modelling to its non-maturity deposits that reflects the product characteristics of the deposits, such as rate-sensitivity, volume stability and depositor type. Additionally, the modelling approach distinguishes between transactional, rate-insensitive deposits (primarily current accounts), which are modelled using an unconditional cash flow approach, and non-transactional, rate-sensitive deposits (primarily savings), where the modelled cash flows depend on the interest rate scenario. >> Behavioural modelling of loan prepayments can be rate insensitive or rate sensitive. Rate-insensitive loans (mostly floating rates) use an unconditional cash flow model, while rate-sensitive loans (mostly fixed rates) model cash flows conditional on interest rates. Depending on the portfolio, additional factors such as seasonal patterns or loan age, among others can be considered. >> Asset- and liability behavioural models are reviewed at least annually.	Article 448.1 (c); Article 448.2
(h)	Explanation of the significance of the IRRBB measures and of their significant variations since previous disclosures	>> ΔEVE has shown a slight increase in absolute terms over the reporting period under the most penalizing scenario, BCBS Parallel Up, driven by an increase in negative convexity of assets. It remains well within internal risk appetite and regulatory boundaries. >> ΔNII has decreased in absolute terms over the reporting period for the most penalizing scenario, BCBS Parallel Down, due to implementation of economic hedges. It remains well within internal risk appetite and regulatory boundaries.	Article 448.1 (d)
(i)	Any other relevant information regarding the IRRBB measures disclosed in template EU IRRBB1 (optional)		
(1) (2)	Disclosure of the average and longest repricing maturity assigned to non- maturity deposits	The behavioural modelling outcomes of non-maturity deposits are translated into replicating portfolios, representing the repricing maturities assigned to these deposits. The volume-weighted average repricing maturity of non-maturity deposits in within the scope of behavioural modelling is 2.6 years and its duration of 2.4 years. It is important to note that the longest assigned repricing maturity depends on the characteristics of each individual segment. The longest assigned repricing maturity in ING Group is 15 years.	Article 448.1 (g)

Funding and liquidity risk

Funding and liquidity risk is the risk that ING or one of its subsidiaries cannot meet its financial liabilities when they come due, at reasonable cost and in a timely manner. ING ensures that long-term obligations are adequately met with a diversity of stable funding instruments under both normal and stressed conditions. For more information, please refer to the Risk management paragraph of the Annual Report.

Asset encumbrance

As part of the liquidity buffer management, ING monitors the existing asset encumbrance. Encumbered assets represent the on- and off-balance sheet assets that are pledged or used as collateral for ING's liabilities. The presented numbers for encumbered and unencumbered assets are based on the CRR (Part VIII) requirements.

In 2024, the median asset encumbrance ratio for ING Group is 19.0%.

EU AE1 - Encumbere	ed and <u>une</u>	encumbe <u>rec</u>	l assets					
2024	Carrying o	amount of red assets	Fair value of Carrying amount of encumbered assets		Carrying amount of unencumbered assets		Fair vo unencumb	alue of ered assets
median in EUR million		Of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		Of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		Of which EHQLA and HQLA		Of which EHQLA and HQLA
Assets of the reporting institution	110,559	19,017			924,549	171,021		
Equity instruments	15,827	7,854	15,827	7,854	8,546	2,281	8,546	2,281
Debt securities	23,396	11,668	21,263	10,659	83,616	81,220	83,771	78,870
of which: covered bonds	1,119	44	1,096	20	10,254	9,168	9,975	9,065
of which: securitisations					5,738	4,376	5,645	4,264
of which: issued by general governments	7,634	7,416	8,322	6,890	61,674	55,731	59,416	52,735
of which: issued by financial corporations	10,922	1,523	11,719	1,382	20,767	18,532	19,427	17,614
of which: issued by non-financial corporations	880	862	882	819	1,733		1,648	44
Other assets	70,970	322			830,611	88,417		

EU AE1 - Encumbered and unencumbered assets											
2023								alue of ered assets			
median in EUR million		Of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		Of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		Of which EHQLA and HQLA		Of which EHQLA and HQLA			
Assets of the reporting institution	104,112	17,481			904,330	171,241					
Equity instruments	10,360	6,731	10,360	6,132	5,799	1,799	5,799	1,799			
Debt securities	16,878	10,764	16,848	9,752	78,918	69,546	75,843	66,708			
of which: covered bonds	1,382	1,183	1,344	1,155	8,757	7,693	8,344	7,330			
of which: asset- backed securities	69	47	65	46	5,611	4,581	5,502	4,438			
of which: issued by general governments	6,794	6,677	6,831	6,610	56,674	48,515	54,547	45,576			
of which: issued by financial corporations	9,924	2,736	9,702	2,656	17,507	17,507	16,433	16,433			
of which: issued by non-financial corporations	603	603	599	599	1,659	353	1,579	342			
Other assets	76,797	276			822,896	106,130					

EU AE2 - Collateral received and own debt see	urities issued			
2024			Unencu	Imbered
	collateral receiv	encumbered ved or own debt es issued	or own debt se	llateral received ecurities issued encumbrance
median in EUR million		Of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		Of which EHQLA and HQLA
Collateral received by the reporting institution	118,392	106,831	59,390	31,841
Loans on demand				
Equity instruments	18,552	14,231	11,840	1,030
Debt securities	98,017	92,211	47,864	30,811
of which: covered bonds	401	345	2,405	1,868
of which: securitisations	10,304	10,304	238	
of which: issued by general governments	80,543	79,508	20,101	19,012
of which: issued by financial corporations	13,658	11,149	15,006	637
of which: issued by non-financial corporations	1,479	1,238	4,209	3,726
Loans and advances other than loans on demand				
Other collateral received				
Own debt securities issued other than own covered bonds or securitisations				
Own covered bonds and asset-backed securities issued and not yet pledged			107,449	

229,458

125,817

TOTAL ASSETS, COLLATERAL RECEIVED AND OWN DEBT SECURITIES ISSUED

ING Group Additional Pillar III Report 2024

EU AE2 - Collateral received and own debt se	ecurities issued			
2023			Unencu	Imbered
	collateral rece	f encumbered ived or own debt ies issued	or own debt se	llateral received ecurities issued encumbrance
median in EUR million		Of which notionally eligible EHQLA and HQLA		Of which EHQLA and HQLA
Collateral received by the reporting institution	117,590	104,044	42,681	28,534
Loans on demand				
Equity instruments	21,630	12,857	9,136	1,841
Debt securities	95,274	91,344	35,312	26,463
of which: covered bonds	114	114	1,212	853
of which: securitisations	10,066	10,065	188	
of which: issued by general governments	78,548	78,042	11,113	11,113
of which: issued by financial corporations	15,039	11,686	11,880	2,624
of which: issued by non-financial corporations	1,463	1,426	3,309	3,018
Loans and advances other than loans on demand				
Other collateral received				
Own debt securities issued other than own covered bonds or securitisations				
Own covered bonds and asset-backed securities issued and not yet pledged			90,244	
TOTAL ASSETS, COLLATERAL RECEIVED AND OWN DEBT SECURITIES ISSUED	224,734	121,525		
EU AE3 - Sources of encumbrance				
	20	24	20	23
	Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	Collateral received and own debt securities issued other than covered bonds and	Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	Collateral received and own debt securities issued other than covered bonds and
median in EUR million		securitisations encumbered		securitisations encumbered
Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	130,060	188,051	150,288	190,968

	this situation, the level of encumbrance of ING Group's balance sheet is relatively low.
(a)	The amounts are presented as the median of the four quarter end values of the reporting year. The median is calculated as the average of the two values in the middle of the order of four quarter end values.
	Encumbered assets on ING Group's balance sheet comprise to a large extent mortgages and other loans which are used as cover pool for covered bond programs issued by subsidiaries in the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany, as well as external securitisations and other types of collateralised deposits. Of the total encumbered assets of the Group, EUR 71 billion are loans and advances, mostly mortgages, that serve as collateral for these type of liabilities. The cover pool assets are not considered encumbered when the securities are retained within ING Group. The issued securitisations and especially the covered bonds have over-collateralisation, meaning that the assets in the cover pool are higher than the issuance.
(b)	Furthermore, assets are encumbered as a result of the repo- and securities lending business and cash and securities collateral posted for derivative and clearing transactions in which pledging collateral is a requirement. As part of its normal securities financing and derivatives trading activities ING enters into standard master agreements such as ISDA and Global Master Repurchase Agreements (GMRA), which contain Credit Support Annexes (CSA) or other similar clauses. Under the terms of these contracts ING could be required to provide additional collateral in the event ING is downgraded by one of the established rating agencies. Refer to the

Liquidity coverage ratio

To protect ING and its depositors against liquidity risks, ING maintains a liquidity buffer based on the Delegated Act Liquidity coverage ratio (LCR). ALCO Bank ensures that sufficient liquidity is maintained, in accordance with Bank and regulatory rules and standards, including a buffer of unencumbered, high quality liquid assets.

EU LIC	Q1 - Quantitative information of LCR										
			Total unwei	ghted value				То	tal weighted val	Je	
EU 1a	Quarter ending on (31 December 2021)	31 December 2024	30 September 2024	30 June 2024	31 March 2024	31 December 2023	31 December 2024	30 September 2024	30 June 2024	31 March 2024	31 December 2023
EU 1b	Number of data points used in the calculation of averages	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
HIGH-Q	UALITY LIQUID ASSETS										
1	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)						194,281	194,333	193,668	194,958	194,460
CASH -	OUTFLOWS										
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:	505,763	500,114	496,820	494,219	488,270	31,929	31,617	31,819	32,089	32,123
3	Stable deposits	359,800	358,660	361,473	364,985	366,186	17,990	17,933	18,074	18,249	18,309
4	Less stable deposits	102,144	99,792	98,758	98,684	97,655	12,054	11,810	11,755	11,865	11,805
5	Unsecured wholesale funding	402,522	401,128	407,110	412,074	419,991	141,525	139,467	140,400	142,382	146,150
6	Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks	287,387	290,033	297,788	302,483	307,398	71,686	72,352	74,295	75,469	76,698
7	Non-operational deposits (all counterparties)	108,511	104,740	102,945	103,622	107,235	63,214	60,761	59,728	60,944	64,094
8	Unsecured debt	6,624	6,354	6,377	5,969	5,358	6,624	6,354	6,377	5,969	5,358
9	Secured wholesale funding						12,110	12,576	13,208	13,330	13,260
10	Additional requirements	164,148	162,004	159,671	156,972	154,089	32,447	31,721	31,292	30,731	30,212
11	Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements	10,588	10,132	9,990	9,765	9,624	10,588	10,132	9,990	9,765	9,624
12	Outflows related to loss of funding on debt products	681	642	705	868	926	681	642	705	868	926
13	Credit and liquidity facilities	152,879	151,229	148,977	146,340	143,540	21,178	20,946	20,597	20,099	19,663
14	Other contractual funding obligations	11,373	11,753	11,754	11,690	11,535	10,291	10,718	10,708	10,670	10,504
15	Other contingent funding obligations	144,327	143,097	142,830	142,437	143,561	6,340	6,130	6,130	6,057	6,159
16	TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS						234,642	232,228	233,557	235,259	238,409
CASH -	INFLOWS										
17	Secured lending (e.g. reverse repos)	100,622	94,957	92,262	95,510	99,893	15,767	16,039	16,961	17,254	17,357
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures	34,827	34,273	34,408	34,284	34,335	27,425	27,055	27,457	27,385	27,508
19	Other cash inflows	248,916	252,331	260,800	265,824	269,794	55,262	55,472	56,614	57,263	58,027

(Difference between total weighted inflows and to EU-19a from transactions in third countries where there an which are denominated in non-convertible currence	re transfer restrictions or									
EU-19b (Excess inflows from a related specialised credit ins	stitution)									
20 TOTAL CASH INFLOWS	384,364	381,560	387,469	395,618	404,022	98,454	98,566	101,032	101,902	102,892
EU-20a Fully exempt inflows										
EU-20b Inflows subject to 90% cap										
EU-20c Inflows subject to 75% cap	380,921	378,325	384,070	392,216	400,622	98,454	98,566	101,032	101,902	102,892
TOTAL ADJUSTED VALUE										
EU-21 LIQUIDITY BUFFER						194,281	194,333	193,668	194,958	194,460
22 TOTAL NET CASH OUTFLOWS						136,188	133,662	132,525	133,357	135,517
23 LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO						143%	146%	146%	146%	144%

EU LI	QB on qualitative information on LCR, which compler	nents template EU LIQ1.
(a)	Explanations on the main drivers of LCR results and the evolution of the contribution of inputs to the LCR's calculation over time	ING Group's consolidated LCR ratio, based on a 12-month rolling average, was 143% in 4Q24, slightly lower compared to 3Q24 of 146%. The slight decrease was caused by increase in net outflows during the 3-month period.
(b)	Explanations on the changes in the LCR over time	ING Group has maintained the consolidated Group LCR above regulatory requirements as well as internal risk appetite and target steering levels. The consolidated Group LCR remained at a stable level throughout 2024 despite ongoing uncertainty in the geopolitical and macroeconomic environments.
(c)	Explanations on the actual concentration of funding sources	ING Group maintains a well-diversified and stable funding and liquidity base in order to fund commercial activities under both normal and stressed market circumstances across the bank's various geographies, currencies, and tenors. ING Group has funding mix limits in place which have been established by risk management and are managed by Group Treasury. Funding mix developments are monitored monthly by ALCO Bank. The largest funding sources in 4Q24 were deposits from private individuals, corporate and business deposits, and long-term senior debt. A significant share of the deposit funding base is covered by the Deposit Guarantee Scheme (DGS) and is considered to be a source of stable funding for ING Group.
(d)	High-level description of the composition of the institution`s liquidity buffer.	94% of the liquidity buffer consists of Level 1 items, of which approximately 31% are withdrawable central bank reserves. 49% of Level 1 items are central government and central bank assets.
(e)	Derivative exposures and potential collateral calls	 ING employs a Collateral Funding framework, where expected Collateral exposures are long-term funded via the Matched Funding framework. The expected collateral exposures are generated using risk-neutral, market-implied information, and the resulting profiles are rebalanced on a monthly basis. ING covers potential collateral calls in two ways: Derivatives Funding Framework: expected collateral exposures are long-term funded via the Matched Funding framework. The expected collateral exposures are generated using a statistical model, and the resulting profiles are rebalanced monthly. HLBA (Historic Look-Back Approach): Potential collateral calls, from market developments, are taken into account in the LCR via a 24-month look-back approach.
(f)	Currency mismatch in the LCR	ING steers and reports LCR above 100% for both ALL-CCY and USD, in line with the Funding & Liquidity Risk Appetite Statement and regulatory requirements. ING also reports LCR to the regulator in EUR, RON, and HUF. ING monitors LCR per currency and manages any liquidity gap in significant currency positions. This strategy has been implemented to mitigate the risks of ING towards any unexpected currency mismatches.
(g)	Other items in the LCR calculation that are not captured i the LCR disclosure template but that the institution considers relevant for its liquidity profile	ⁿ The LCR disclosure template presents the consolidated LCR for ING Group. ING Group also manages and reports LCR for subsidiaries, material currencies, foreign currencies of significant branches (RON and HUF) and for the liquidity subgroups.

EU LI	Q2: Net Stable Funding Ratio					
2024		Unw	eighted value by	ı residual maturit	y	Weighted
		No maturity	< 6 months	6 months to < 1yr	≥ 1yr	value
Availa	ble stable funding (ASF) Items					
1	Capital items and instruments	57,153			9,902	67,055
2	Own funds	57,153			9,902	67,055
3	Other capital instruments					
4	Retail deposits		490,616	22,075	5,471	486,014
5	Stable deposits		370,443	11,991	2,801	366,113
6	Less stable deposits		120,173	10,084	2,670	119,901
7	Wholesale funding:		282,213	22,471	101,478	186,641
8	Operational deposits		57,751		72	28,947
9	Other wholesale funding		224,463	22,471	101,406	157,694
10	Interdependent liabilities					
11	Other liabilities:		23,661	385	2,043	2,236
12	NSFR derivative liabilities					
13	All other liabilities and capital instruments not included in the above categories		23,661	385	2,043	2,236
14	Total available stable funding (ASF)					741,947
Requir	ed stable funding (RSF) Items					
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					6,851
EU-15	a Assets encumbered for a residual maturity of one year or more in a cover pool		670	725	33,474	29,638
16	Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes					
17	Performing loans and securities:		173,598	39,175	558,628	482,377
18	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customers collateralised by Level 1 HQLA subject to 0% haircut		59,558	2,136	743	1,811
19	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customer collateralised by other assets and loans and advances to financial institutions		39,872	9,086	22,742	29,915
20	Performing loans to non- financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, and PSEs, of which:		39,424	18,180	207,441	197,697
21	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk		8,139	2,188	38,441	30,252
22	Performing residential mortgages, of which:		4,016	7,259	301,667	224,269
23	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk		3,474	6,681	270,553	196,857
24	Other loans and securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities and trade finance on-balance sheet products		30,728	2,515	26,035	28,685
25	Interdependent assets					
26	Other assets:		46,386	389	17,213	24,028

27	Physical traded commodities			157	133
28	Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of CCPs	1,351		580	1,641
29	NSFR derivative assets	1,522			1,522
30	NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted	7,754			388
31	All other assets not included in the above categories	35,760	389	16,476	20,344
32	Off-balance sheet items	120,254	32,328	137,958	13,433
33	Total RSF				556,326
34	Net Stable Funding Ratio (%)				133 %

2023		Unwe	ighted value b	y residual maturit	y	
		No maturity	< 6 months	6 months to < 1yr	≥ 1yr	Weighted value
Availa	ible stable funding (ASF) Items					
1	Capital items and instruments	56,633			9,155	65,788
2	Own funds	56,633			9,155	65,788
3	Other capital instruments					
4	Retail deposits		467,637	21,279	5,762	464,439
5	Stable deposits		357,735	15,328	3,159	357,570
6	Less stable deposits		109,901	5,950	2,603	106,870
7	Wholesale funding:		270,363	19,807	85,972	162,451
8	Operational deposits		50,049			25,024
9	Other wholesale funding		220,314	19,807	85,972	137,426
10	Interdependent liabilities					
11	Other liabilities:		24,018	503	1,416	1,668
12	NSFR derivative liabilities					
13	All other liabilities and capital instruments not included in the above categories		24,018	503	1,416	1,668
14	Total available stable funding (ASF)					694,346
Requi	red stable funding (RSF) Items					
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					6,201
EU-15	5a Assets encumbered for a residual maturity of one year or more in a cover pool		686	551	31,207	27,577
16	Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes					
17	Performing loans and securities:		145,673	35,889	527,828	456,252
18	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customers collateralised by Level 1 HQLA subject to 0% haircut		36,567	4,084	751	2,793
19	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customer collateralised by other assets and loans and advances to financial institutions		39,353	7,505	19,132	25,292

20	Performing loans to non- financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, and PSEs, of which:	38,511	17,803	210,898	199,628
21	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk	5,796	1,888	39,848	29,853
22	Performing residential mortgages, of which:	3,465	4,153	277,569	206,506
23	With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk	2,893	3,474	252,220	183,829
24	Other loans and securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities and trade finance on-balance sheet products	27,777	2,344	19,478	22,032
25	Interdependent assets				
26	Other assets:	51,362	445	17,471	25,548
27	Physical traded commodities			7	6
28	Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of CCPs	790		491	1,089
29	NSFR derivative assets	3,280			3,280
30	NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted	15,139			757
31	All other assets not included in the above categories	32,154	445	16,972	20,416
32	Off-balance sheet items	119,660	35,007	119,913	12,034
33	Total RSF				527,610
34	Net Stable Funding Ratio (%)				132 %

Environmental social and governance risk

Qualitative disclosures

The purpose of the tables below is to describe, in accordance with of Article 449a of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, in:

- table 1: the integration of environmental risks, including specific information on climate change risks and on other environmental risks, in their business strategy and processes, governance and risk management;
- table 2: the integration of social risks in their business strategy and processes, governance and risk management; and
- table 3: the integration of governance risks in their governance and risk management.

As this information is already disclosed in various places, we have opted to create a navigation map to guide the readers.

Table	1 - Qualitative information on Environmental risk	
	Business strategy and processes	Sources:
(a)	Institution's business strategy to integrate environmental factors and risks, taking into account the impact of environmental factors and risks on institution's business environment, business model, strategy and financial planning	(i) Material identified negative impacts and risks on E1-Climate Change: "Identified impacts, risks and opportunities", in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (ii) Material identified negative impacts and risks on E4-Impact on State of Species: "Identified impacts, risks and opportunities", in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (iii) "Business model and strategy" and "our sustainability governance" in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024.
(b)	Objectives, targets and limits to assess and address environmental risk in short-, medium-, and long-term, and performance assessment against these objectives, targets and limits, including forward-looking information about the design of business strategy and processes	(i) E1-Climate Change: "Our transition plan", in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (ii) Future plans: E4 - Impact on state of species: Our nature strategy, in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (iii) E1- Climate Change: "Policies, actions and performance", in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (iv) E4-Impact on State of Species: "Policies, actions and performance", in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (iv) E4-Impact on State of Species: "Policies, actions and performance", in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024. (v) Environmental and social risk (ESR) framework on ing.com.
(c)	Current investment activities and (future) investment targets towards environmental objectives and EU Taxonomy-aligned activities	2024 Sustainability Statement sections in the Climate Change chapter: (i) Identified impacts, risks and opportunities, (ii) Our Transition Plan, (iii) Our Terra Approach and the EU Taxonomy Regulation chapter.
(d)	Policies and procedures relating to direct and indirect engagement with new or existing counterparties on their strategies to mitigate and reduce environmental risks	Overall actions: (i) E1- Climate Change: "Policies, actions and performance", Sustainability Statement, Annual Report 2024. (ii) E4-Impact on State of Species: "Policies, actions and performance", Sustainability Statement, Annual Report 2024 (iii)Environmental and social risk (ESR) framework on ing.com, Sustainable Business.
	Governance	
(e)	Responsibilities of the management body for setting the risk framework, supervising and managing the implementation of the objectives, strategy and policies in the context of environmental risk management covering relevant transmission channels	(i) "Our Sustainability Governance" in the Sustainability Statement, 2024 Annual Report.(ii)"Governance" in the Risk Management chapter, 2024 Annual Report.
(f)	Management body's integration of short-, medium- and long-term effects of environmental factors and risks, organisational structure both within business lines and internal control functions	(i) "Our Sustainability Governance" in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024.(ii)"Governance" in the Risk Management chapter part of the Annual Report 2024.

(g)	Integration of measures to manage environmental factors and risks in internal governance arrangements, including the role of committees, the allocation of tasks and responsibilities, and the feedback loop from risk management to the management body covering relevant transmission channels	(i) "Our Sustainability Governance" in the Sustainability Statement, 2024 Annual Report. (ii)"Governance" in the ESG Risk chapter, 2024 Annual Report.					
		(i) Material identified topics as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment, Annual Report: Sustainability Statement.					
(h)	Lines of reporting and frequency of reporting relating to environmental risk	(ii) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework, sub-chapter: "Risk monitoring, reporting and disclosures".					
		(iii) On lines of reporting and governance, see (e).					
(i)	Alignment of the remuneration policy with institution's environmental risk-related objectives	(i) Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) Remuneration Disclosure published on ing.com.; ii)Annual Report 2024, Our leadership and Governance: Remuneration Report.					
	Risk management						
		(i) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, chapter: ESG Risk Framework. The ESG risk framework assists in managing ESG risk effectively through the application of the risk management process at varying levels of the organisation.					
(j)	Integration of short-, medium- and long-term effects of environmental factors and risks in the risk framework	(ii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies, actions and performance: The Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Risk Policy. The ESG Risk Policy outlines ING's approach to effectively identify, assess, mitigate, monitor and report ESG related as per ING's ESG risk appetite, by considering applicable and material risks across the value cho per ING's DMA. The risk cycle describes the processes by which ING can identify, assess, mitigated monitor, and report ESG risk integrated within the existing risk types.					
(k)	Definitions, methodologies and international standards on which the environmental risk management framework is based	(i) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk chapter, chapter: "Managing ESG Risk". (ii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, chapter: 1)Basis for preparation; 2)Business Model and Strategy. (ii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies and Actions: The Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Risk Policy					
		(i) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk chapter, chapters: 1)"Managing ESG Risk"; 2)Risk Mitigation; 3)Risk monitoring and Disclosures.					
(l)	Processes to identify, measure and monitor activities and exposures (and collateral where applicable) sensitive to environmental risks	(ii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies, actions and performance: The Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Risk Policy					
19	covering relevant transmission channels	(iii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, chapter: 1)Basis for preparation; 2)Business Model and Strategy					
		(iv) For material identified risks as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment: 1) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies, actions and performance; 2) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E4-Biodiversity and Ecosystems, Policies, actions and performance.					

		(i) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk chapter, chapter: Risk Mitigation.
(m)		(ii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies and Actions: The Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Risk Policy
	Activities, commitments and exposures contributing to mitigate environmental risks	(iii) For material identified risks as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment: 1) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies and Actions, Our Transition Plan; 2) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E4-Biodiversity and Ecosystems, Policies and Actions.
(n)	Implementation of tools for identification, measurement and management of environmental risks	(i) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk chapter.
(o)	Results and outcome of the risk tools implemented and the estimated impact of environmental risk on capital and liquidity risk profile	Not present.
(p)	Data availability, quality and accuracy, and efforts to improve these aspects	(i)2024 Annual Report, Sustainability Statement, Basis for Preparation: "Estimations and uncertainties".
(q)		 (i) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk chapter, chapter: Risk Mitigation. (ii) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies and Actions: The Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Risk Policy
(4)	Description of limits to environmental risks (as drivers of prudential risks) that are set, and triggering escalation and exclusion in the case of breaching these limits	 (iii) For material identified risks as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment: 1) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement, E1-Climate Change, Policies and Actions. (iv) ESG screening process in the ESR Framework on ing.com
(r)	Description of the link (transmission channels) between environmental risks with credit risk, liquidity and funding risk, market risk, operational risk and reputational risk in the risk management framework	Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework, chapter: "Risk assessment".

Table	2 - Qualitative information on Social risk	
	Business strategy and processes	Sources:
(a)	Adjustment of the institution's business strategy to integrate social factors and risks taking into account the impact of social risk on the institution's business environment, business model, strategy and financial planning	 (i)For material identified topics as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment, Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement: 1)S1-Own Workforce, chapter: "Own workforce strategy – Unlocking our people's full potential"; 2)1)S4-Consumers and End-Users, chapter "Strategy". (ii) Annual Report 2024, Risk Management, ESG Risk chapter: ESG Risk Management Cycle, including identification, assessment, mitigation and monitoring of the material identified and important ESG factors. (iii)Human Rights section as part of the Sustainability Strategy disclosed on the ING website.

(b)	Objectives, targets and limits to assess and address social risk in short-term, medium-term and long-term, and performance assessment against these objectives, targets and limits, including forward-looking information in the design of business strategy and processes	 (i) ESR page: Part of the human rights policy: https://www.ing.com/Sustainability/Sustainable-business/Environmental-and-social-risk-ESR.htm and (ii) Human rights page: part of the assessment of saliencies, taking a risk based approach tho address the most severe human rights impacts arising from ING's activities (following the UNGP Reporting Framework). (iii)For material identified topics as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment, 2024 Annual Report, Sustainability Statement: 1)S1-Own Workforce, chapter "Strategies, Policies and Targets", section: Actions, targets and metrics; 2)1)S4-Consumers and End-Users, chapter: "Strategies, Policies and Targets".
(c)	Policies and procedures relating to direct and indirect engagement with new or existing counterparties on their strategies to mitigate and reduce socially harmful activities	(i) ESR framework on ing.com sets the requirements for onboarding clients (ESR client assessment) and on a transactional level (ESR transaction assessment). The human rights policy in the ESR framework is overarching.
	Governance	
(d)	Responsibilities of the management body for setting the risk framework, supervising and managing the implementation of the objectives, strategy and policies in the context of social risk management covering counterparties' approaches to:	ESG Risk governance is overarching across E, S and G risks, allowing for harmonized and centralized – risk management across these topics.
(i)	Activities towards the community and society	
(ii)	Employee relationships and labour standards	(i) "Our Sustainability Governance" in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024.
(iii)	Customer protection and product responsibility	– (ii) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework, sub-chapter: "Governance".
(iv)	Human rights	
(e)	Integration of measures to manage social factors and risks in internal governance arrangements, including the role of committees, the allocation of tasks and responsibilities, and the feedback loop from risk management to the management body	 ESG Risk governance is overarching across E, S and G risks, allowing for harmonized and centralized risk management across these topics. (i) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement: "Our Sustainability Governance". (ii) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework, sub-chapter: "Governance".
(f)	Lines of reporting and frequency of reporting relating to social risk	 (i) Material identified topics as per CSRD Double Materiality Assessment, Annual Report: Sustainability Statement. (ii) Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework, sub-chapter: "Risk monitoring, reporting and disclosures ". (iii) Human Rights publication. (iv) On lines of reporting and governance, see (e).
(g)	Alignment of the remuneration policy in line with institution's social risk-related objectives	(i) Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) Remuneration Disclosure published on ing.com.;ii) Annual Report 2024, Our leadership and Governance: Remuneration Report.
	Risk management	
(h)	Definitions, methodologies and international standards on which the social risk management framework is based	
(i)	Processes to identify, measure and monitor activities and exposures (and collateral where applicable) sensitive to social risk, covering relevant transmission channels	ESG Risk Framework is overarching across E, S and G risks, allowing for harmonized and centralized risk management across these topics. Description of the ESG risk management cycle and its
(j)	Activities, commitments and assets contributing to mitigate social risk	components: Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework.

(l)	Description of setting limits to social risk and cases to trigger escalation and exclusion in the case of breaching these limits	ESR Client screening process in the ESR framework on ing.com
(m)	Description of the link (transmission channels) between social risks with credit risk, liquidity and funding risk, market risk, operational	
(111)	risk and reputational risk in the risk management framework	Annual Report 2024, ESG Risk, ESG Risk Framework, chapter: "Risk assessment".

Table	3 - Qualitative information on Governance risk					
	Governance	Sources:				
a)	Institution's integration in their governance arrangements of the governance performance of the counterparty, including committees of the highest governance body, committees responsible for decision-making on economic, environmental, and social topics	(i) Annual Report 2024, Sustainability Statement: "Our Sustainability Governance" and (ii) ESR screening process (section 2 in ESR framework on ing.com).				
o)	Institution's accounting of the counterparty's highest governance body's role in non-financial reporting	Not present				
c)	Institution's integration in governance arrangements of the governance performance of their counterparties including:					
)	Ethical considerations					
i)	Strategy and risk management					
ii)	Inclusiveness	(i) ESR Client Assessment guidance and (ii) ESR screening process (section 2 in ESR framework on ing.com).				
v)	Transparency					
/)	Management of conflict of interest					
/i)	Internal communication on critical concerns					
	Risk management					
d)	Institution's integration in risk management arrangements the governance performance of their counterparties considering:					
)	Ethical considerations					
)	Strategy and risk management					
ii)	Inclusiveness	(i) ESR Client Assessment guidance and (ii) ESR screening process (section 2 in ESR framework on ing.com).				
V)	Transparency					
/)	Management of conflict of interest					
/i)	Internal communication on critical concerns					

Climate change Transition risk

Transition risks refer to any negative financial and/or non-financial impact due to the effect of the transition to a net zero and more environmentally sustainable economy. The transition includes:

- Climate and environment related policy changes.
- Technological changes.
- Market sentiment and demand changes.

Templates 1, 2, 3 and 4 as disclosed hereunder are providing information on indicators of potential climate change transition risk of the banking book of ING.

Credit quality of exposures

The purpose of Template 1 of the Pillar 3 ESG ITS is to show information on those assets prone to risks related to the transition to a low-carbon and climate-resilient economy, i.e. transition risk. In particular, institutions must disclose information on their exposures towards non-financial corporations that operate in sectors that contribute highly to climate change and in carbon-related sectors, and on the quality of those exposures, including credit quality information on non-performing exposures, stage 2 exposures and related impairments and provisions.

In particular, institutions must disclose in this template information on the gross carrying amount of loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments provided to non-financial corporates, other than those included in the held-for-trading or held-for-sale portfolios, classified by NACE economic sector. The sectors have been determined by EBA as those highly contributing to climate change.

In addition, institutions must provide in column b of the template a further breakdown of exposures towards companies excluded from EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks. In column 'b', ING used data acquired from an external data provider (Bloomberg) for the data on companies considered to do significant harm to environmental objectives (article 12.2). Given that the data provider is looking to continuously enhance their product by including more companies in their data set, ING's exposure towards companies excluded from the Paris-aligned benchmark has slightly decreased compared to YE23.

The greenhouse gas (GHG) financed emissions (scope 1, 2 and 3) have been incorporated in columns i, j and k. For the calculations, we make use of an external data provider (CDP) to estimate our loan book emissions. ING discloses scope 3 emissions for both upstream and downstream, covering our whole footprint of the value chain. ING calculates absolute financed emissions using the PCAF's Global GHG Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Financial Industry.

ING makes use of the PCAF Data Quality Scores (1 to 5) to assess the accuracy of our emissions estimates. As per the PCAF standard, data quality score 1 and 2 relate to high-quality data coming from a company's disclosure or actual asset-level data. Score 3 relates to medium-quality using estimates based on physical data, whereas data quality 4 and 5 relate to low-quality data, i.e. based on revenue or sector average proxies. At ING we are continuously working on improving our data to be able to have the highest quality emissions estimates on all asset classes. More information on the PCAF scores are in the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report 2024.

For the maturity buckets, the following assumptions have been used:

- Where the amount is repaid in installments, the exposure shall be allocated in the maturity bucket corresponding to the last installment (in line with ITS Annex II instructions).
- Where the amount is on demand such as interbank loans, the exposure shall be allocated in the shortest maturity bucket "< 5 years".
- Where an exposure has no stated maturity for reasons other than the counterparty having the choice of the repayment date, or in the case of equity holdings, the amount of this exposure shall be disclosed in the largest maturity bucket "> 20 years" in line with ITS Annex II instructions.

2024	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0	р
Sector/subsector		Gross carryir	ng amount (Ml	n EUR)		accumulated	ulated impai d negative ch credit risk aı (Mln EUR)		GHG financed (scope 1, scope 3 emission counterparty CO2 equi	e 2 and scope ns of the) (in tons of						
		Of which exposures towards companies excluded from EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks in accordance with points (d) to (g) of Article 12.1 and in accordance with Article 12.2 of Climate Benchmark Standards Regulation	Of which environme ntally sustainabl e (CCM)	Of which stage 2 exposures	Of which non- performin g exposures		Of which Stage 2 exposures	Of which non- performin g exposures	CO2 equi	Of which Scope 3 financed emissions	GHG emissions (column i): gross carrying amount percentag e of the portfolio derived from company- specific reporting	<= 5 years	> 5 year <= 10 years	> 10 year <= 20 years	> 20 years	Avero weigh matu
Exposures towards sectors that highly contribute to climate change*	188,690	12,401	1,602	24,208	6,452	-2,900	-260	-2,542	220,308,921	170,357,416	13.96 %	142,940	29,706	12,139	3,905	
A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing	3,161			443	83	-42	-4	-35	6,749,550	1,953,409	— %	1,664	1,250	105	142	
B - Mining and quarrying	7,504	3,008	1	2,256	531	-241	-9	-228	28,419,271	24,960,378	25.80 %	5,473	1,515	490	27	
B.05 - Mining of coal and lignite	2	1							15,598	15,384	— %	2				
B.06 - Extraction of crude petroleum and natural gas	2,985	736		1,153	132	-107	-4	-102	21,701,881	19,131,640	10.87 %	2,256	550	158	21	
B.07 - Mining of metal ores	2,627	1,410		765	332	-110	-1		2,064,602	1,688,020	41.49 %	2,170	454		4	
B.08 - Other mining and quarrying	453	103	1	167	62	-22	-4	-18	377,736	176,349	11.80 %	340	109	3	2	
B.09 - Mining support service activities	1,437	758		172	4	-2		-1	4,259,454	3,948,985	32.40 %	705	402	329		
C - Manufacturing	47,791	3,618	783	5,978	1,630	-744	-94		82,339,097	71,770,934	32.92 %	40,997	4,802	1,192	800	
C.10 - Manufacture of food products	7,676	10	3	1,271	225	-83	-9		7,502,147	6,653,210	14.53 %	6,278	935	166	298	
C.11 - Manufacture of beverages	1,273	428		82	12	-11	-3	-7	1,220,085	929,247	17.04 %	910	78	278	7	
C.12 - Manufacture of tobacco products	3								139	120	— %	3				
C.13 - Manufacture of textiles	469			98	30	-14	-1	-12	400,135	374,621	0.04 %	349	109	3	8	
C.14 - Manufacture of wearing apparel	2								616	509	— %	2				
C.15 - Manufacture of leather and related products	58		1	4	1	-1		-1	47,861	45,403	— %	53	5			

16	C.16 - Manufacture of wood and of products of wood and cork, except furniture; manufacture of articles of straw and plaiting materials	923			356	43	-34	-11	-22	506,277	426,346	— %	612	239	34	39	5
17	C.17 - Manufacture of pulp, paper and paperboard	1,021	14	1	225	27	-7	-3	-4	655,317	480,903	26.67 %	699	311	7	5	4
18	C.18 - Printing and service activities related to printing	426	5		81	7	-8	-4	-4	86,769	67,320	— %	326	85	9	6	4
19	C.19 - Manufacture of coke oven products	3,262	2,236	142	835	12	-6		-5	18,177,578		52.43 %	2,911	311		40	2
20	C.20 - Production of chemicals	3,962	11	1	362	428	-146	-14	-131	5,658,258	4,257,163	14.29 %	3,227	273	412	50	3
21	C.21 - Manufacture of pharmaceutical preparations	1,485	2		94	25	-20	-3	-17	332,721	225,162	47.46 %	1,445	33	5	2	2
22	C.22 - Manufacture of rubber products	2,080	12	13	290	69	-36	-8	-26	3,507,605	3,287,297	23.30 %	1,690	298	40	53	3
23	C.23 - Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products	1,726	222	5	246	22	-8	-2	-5	3,155,739	1,117,447	21.33 %	1,563	102	46	14	3
24	C.24 - Manufacture of basic metals	3,802	393	301	78	65	-45	-3	-41	5,339,191	3,068,057	27.08 %	3,443	308	34	18	2
25	C.25 - Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment	2,952	16	46	426	61	-40	-5	-33	3,969,882	3,651,054	21.15 %	2,386	422	60	83	3
26	C.26 - Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products	5,199	1	9	251	10	-6	-1	-4	3,842,173	3,625,245	83.58 %	4,830	335	3	31	1
27	C.27 - Manufacture of electrical equipment	1,949	13	103	330	146	-63	-5	-57	5,718,135	5,689,165	38.75 %	1,842	84	5	18	2
28	C.28 - Manufacture of machinery and equipment n.e.c.	1,653	11	22	295	85	-36	-10	-25	7,135,051	7,066,278	19.58 %	1,388	197	23	46	7
29	C.29 - Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers	3,052	127	65	75	56	-23		-22	5,072,967	4,988,206	47.61 %	2,800	240	3	8	2
30	C.30 - Manufacture of other transport equipment	639	20	1	123	75	-29	-4	-25	989,676	945,177	22.70 %	611	19	1	8	2
31	C.31 - Manufacture of furniture	381	22		63	32	-21	-3	-18	247,606	197,469	— %	223	111	32	15	5
32	C.32 - Other manufacturing	3,766	76	69	390	199	-106	-7	-96	8,749,970	8,106,166	29.89 %	3,380	306	29	51	4
33	C.33 - Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	33			3		-1			23,197	21,510	— %	29	1	2		3
34	D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	19,888	1,314	600	2,810	471	-133	-12	-113	26,129,413	10,270,273	9.69 %	10,903	4,381	4,161	443	6
35	D35.1 - Electric power generation, transmission and distribution	18,569	1,113	537	2,786	451	-114	-12	-95	22,494,656	8,863,769	9.24 %	9,626	4,362	4,153	427	7
36	D35.11 - Production of electricity	13,078	1,016	39	2,401	435	-101	-11	-83	18,136,714	6,117,064	8.11 %	6,042	2,717	3,894	425	7
37	D35.2 - Manufacture of gas; distribution of gaseous fuels through mains	980	201	63	5	17	-17		-16	3,351,676	1,389,480	22.95 %	942	18	4	16	2
38	D35.3 - Steam and air conditioning supply	339			19	4	-2		-2	283,080	17,024	— %	334	1	4		3
39	E - Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities	2,867	1	4	368	163	-142	-3	-138	1,783,700	797,308	3.39 %	2,180	387	131	169	4
40	F - Construction	10,025	26	60	1,271	435	-232	-25	-197	7,792,225	7,474,419	5.70 %	7,326	1,599	856	243	4
41	F.41 - Construction of buildings	5,453	7	14	763	304	-157	-13	-139	938,701	865,743	2.55 %	4,189	544	610	109	3
42	F.42 - Civil engineering	1,740	14	17	184	33	-26	-4	-20	1,114,207	1,020,722	7.73 %	1,144	493	45	58	4

56 TOTAL		238,495	12,672	1,817	29,789	7,471	-3,509	-366	-3,007	220,308,921	170,357,416	13.96 %	179,823	36,877	16,541	5,254	5
55 Exposu U)	sures to other sectors (NACE codes J, M -	49,805	271	215	5,582	1,019	-608	-105	-466				36,883	7,172	4,403	1,348	5
54 K - Find	nancial and insurance activities																
54 1	ures towards sectors other than those ghly contribute to climate change*	49,805	271	215	5,582	1,019	-608	-105	-466				36,883	7,172	4,403	1,348	5
52 L - Rea	eal estate activities**	34,266	8		3,556	1,173	-362	-26	-328	1,899,842	1,098,564	0.37 %	26,802	4,875	2,295	294	4
51 I - Acco	commodation and food service activities	2,201			297	162	-80	-5	-72	644,304	564,233	1.34 %	1,593	479	100	29	4
50 H.5	53 - Postal and courier activities	215	18	12	91	4	-3		-2	78,793	63,918	1.79 %	179	26	4	6	3
	52 - Warehousing and support activities or transportation	5,379	4	6	573	59	-26	-4	-19	1,413,275	1,235,308	4.80 %	4,049	882	414	34	4
48 H.5	.51 - Air transport	2,379			117	2				4,378,694	1,343,328	18.61 %	544	1,231	603		8
47 H.5	50 - Water transport	9,862	1,106	8	332	51	-32	-1	-31	10,864,501	4,740,646	12.76 %	5,930	3,216	697	19	5
46	49 - Land transport and transport via pelines	7,314	362	85	709	425	-127	-8	-114	6,104,788	4,953,211	2.39 %	5,334	1,519	355	106	4
45 H - Tra	ransportation and storage	25,148	1,490	111	1,823	541	-188	-12	-166	22,840,051	12,336,410	8.57 %	16,037	6,875	2,071	165	5
44	/holesale and retail trade; repair of motor les and motorcycles	35,837	2,937	43	5,407	1,263	-736	-69	-641	41,711,469	39,131,487	11.45 %	29,966	3,542	737	1,592	7
43 F.43	43 - Specialised construction activities	2,833	4	29	323	97	-50	-8	-38	5,739,317	5,587,954	10.73 %	1,993	562	202	76	4

* In accordance with the Commission Delegated Regulation EU) 2020/1818 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 as regards minimum standards for EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks -Climate Benchmark Standards Regulation -Recital 6: Sectors listed in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006

** The financed emissions of L- Real estate activities include the business loans belongs to NACE L sector, and other CRE assets possibly from other NACE sectors, to be consistent with PCAF methodology on asset classifications

Loans collateralised by immovable property collateral – Energy efficiency of the collateral

The purpose of template 2 of the Pillar 3 ESG ITS shows the gross carrying amount of loans collateralised with immovable property and of repossessed real estate collaterals with a breakdown by EPC label of the collateral.

The information on the level of energy efficiency of the collaterals is key to determine the climate change transition risk faced by these exposures.

The template consists of two main sections:

- Level of energy efficiency based on Energy Performance in kWh/m² of the collateral. Loans collateralised by immovable properties non-eligible for EPC have been scoped out;
- Level of energy efficiency based on EPC labels of the collateral. Only collateral that could have an EPC label that meet the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive are reported in this section. For instance, a (piece of) land might be given as collateral but is not eligible for EPC.

In line with the ITS instructions, the Energy Performance in kwh/m² is determined based on the EPC label or estimated in the absence of the EPC label. The extent to which this data is estimated and not based on EPC labels is visible in row 5 for EU area and row 10 for non-EU area. Data quality improvement projects are ongoing locally on EPC labels and Energy Performance data availability, as well as the development of new local and central models to estimate the energy efficiency score where not available. As of June 2024, we do not perform any central calculations for proxies, all EP estimations are carried out by the local units to better reflect local characteristics. All EP models are reviewed by ESG Risk department prior to implementation.

In countries where EPC labels are issued with a higher level than A, these have been included in the column A. Same, in countries where EPC labels are present that are lower than G, these have been added to the column G. On the collected labels, only valid labels have been considered meaning labels that have been issued by the authorized authority and not older than 10 years. Consequently, actual EPC labels that were included in June 2024 from a collateral with construction year prior to 2013 are not longer considered as actuals in December 2024, which explains the increase in column o (without EPC label of collateral).

The decrease in EPC labels availability in EU countries from 100.7mln in June 2024 to 87.6mln in December is explained by two major adjustments in countries where ING has a strong presence. In Belgium EPC labels are no longer consider if they are in any form estimated and in Germany EPC labels that were emitted before the year 2013 are not considered in the template.

2024	α	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0	р
Counterparty sector		Total gross carrying amount amount (in MEUR)														
		Level of e	evel of energy efficiency (EP score in kWh/m² of collateral) Level of energy efficiency (EPC label of collateral)									١	Vithout EPC label of collateral			
		0; <= 100	> 100; <= 200	> 200; <= 300	> 300; <= 400	> 400; <= 500	> 500	A	В	С	D	E	F	G		Of which level of energy efficiency (EP score in kWh/m² of collateral) estimated
Total EU area	374,583	69,544	101,449	82,508	26,216	33,810	2,612	30,687	12,128	16,683	8,636	9,495	4,902	5,100	286,951	68 %
Of which Loans collateralised by commercial immovable property	56,467	8,245	12,213	4,290	1,980	2,411	326	5,752	1,695	1,721	895	368	247	289	45,500	36 9
Of which Loans collateralised by residential immovable property	318,114	61,297	89,236	78,219	24,236	31,399	2,286	24,935	10,433	14,962	7,742	9,127	4,655	4,811	241,449	75 9
Of which Collateral obtained by taking possession: residential and commercial immovable properties	2	1			0	0	0								2	0 9
Of which Level of energy efficiency (EP score in kWh/m² of collateral) estimated	206,477	54,665	56,890	51,632	14,018	29,014	259								196,478	100 %
Total non-EU area	47,054	4,284	452	324	41	49	32								47,054	9 %
Of which Loans collateralised by commercial immovable property	7,910	3,108	445	305	41	49	32								7,910	37 %
Of which Loans collateralised by residential immovable property	39,145	1,176	7	20	0		0								39,145	3 %
Of which Collateral obtained by taking possession: residential and commercial immovable properties																0 9
Of which Level of energy efficiency (EP score in kWh/m² of collateral) estimated	4,026	3,432	215	280	29	38	32								4,026	100 %

Alignment metrics

The sectors (columns a and b) represent the NACE codes that cover the most carbon intensive parts of the value chain and the exposure in these sectors (column c). The sectors in this template are managed under ING's Terra approach. Except for the Shipping sector, each sector has a specific metric to measure the carbon intensity of the activities in terms of CO2 or CO2 equivalent (column d). The Steel sector is steered on an alignment score that is translated into a CO2 equivalent intensity metric. ING does not cover the Chemicals sector due to lack of data and absence of external guidance to determine the scoping for this sector. Please refer to the technical notes in the Sustainability Statement in the ING Group Annual Report 2024 for the detailed description of the methodologies used for the scoping of activities and the calculation of the intensity metric.

ING steers the portfolio based on pathways that are derived from the IEA Net Zero scenarios for the Sectors. These convergence with the global IEA objectives for 2030 and 2050 and take ING's specific composition of the portfolio into account. The distance to the pathway (column f) represents the distance of the intensity level (column d) per the reference year (column e) to the 2030 target on the Sector's convergence pathway. A positive sign means that the emission intensity needs to decrease further to meet the 2030 objective. A negative sign means that the sector has already met the 2030 target. For Shipping and Steel this column is left blank as the metric for these Sectors is based on a score that measures convergence with the pathway. For Shipping, ING follows the Poseidon Principles methodology which is based on the initial International Maritime Organization (IMO) GHG strategy of a 50% GHG reduction by 2050.

The Target (column g) represents the intensity level for the year of reference plus 3 years on the Sector's convergence pathway. Except for Aviation and Shipping, this means the Sectors' convergence pathway intensity level for 2027. ING does not steer the portfolio on the intensity levels for the year of reference plus 3 years. Under ING's NZBA commitment, ING steers the portfolio with targets for 2030 and 2040 only that are derived from the 2050 global climate objectives. Note that ING's CSRD Sustainability Statement also reports progress towards the 2030 emission intensity targets of the Sectors. These are also based on the Sector's convergence pathways. In addition, ING also reports the total required reduction from the baseline year of measurement towards the 2030 target. For more details on the transition plans please read the Sustainability Statement part of the Annual Report.

In general, the alignment metric is improving which reflects the ambition of ING to steer towards our NZE2050 pathways. Compared to June, Upstream oil & gas' exposure has decreased, from €1.2 bln in June 2024 to 1.0 bln in December 2024, in line with ING's ambition to decrease the exposure in that sector. For Power generation, the exposure increased with most of it going towards renewables. More detailed explanations on the changes by sector can be found in the Sustainability Statement, part of the Annual Report 2024.

	a	b	с	d	е	f	g
2024	Sector	NACE Sectors (a minima)	Portfolio gross carrying amount (Mln EUR)	Alignment metric	Year of reference	Distance to IEA NZE2050 in % *	Target (year of reference + 3 years)
1	Power Generation	2720; 3511	11,600	63 kg CO2e / MWh	2024	-4%	124
	Fossil fuel combustion -			€1,001 million	2024	-61%	3,131
2	Upstream oil & gas	0610; 0620	1,013	11 million tonnes CO2e	2024	-78%	63,0
3	Fossil fuel combustion - Mid- & downstream oil & gas	0620; 0910; 1920; 4671; 4950	7,410	19.1 kg CO2e / boe	2024	31%	16.29
4	Automotive	2910; 2932; 3299; 4519; 6419; 6492; 6499; 7711	3,964	0.146 kg CO2/vkm	2024	45%	0.13
5	Aviation	2110; 7735	4,148	844 g CO2e/RTK	2023	33%	756
6	Shipping	5020	8,620	-7.6% Alignment Delta	2023		0.0
7	Cement	2351; 2363	655	0.688 t CO2 / t cement	2024	33%	0.585
		2410; 2420; 2445; 2451;		0.83 SSP Alignment Score	2024		0
8	Steel	2452; 2550; 3821; 4612; 4662; 4672; 5222; 7711	1,996	1.93 t CO2 / t steel	2024	32%	1.62
9	Chemicals						
10	Commercial Real Estate	6810;6820;6831;6832	26,197	39.5 kg CO2e / m2	2024	131%	24.2

* PiT distance to 2030 NZE2050 scenario in % (for each metric)

Top 20 carbon-intensive firms in the world

Template 4 is showing aggregated information of ING's exposures towards the top 20 carbon-intensive companies in the world. It includes information on the average maturity of the exposures, providing some insight on how these exposures may be impacted by longer-term climate change transition risks. For more information on our decarbonizing strategy for the Oil & Gas sector we refer you to the Climate report.

The identification of the counterparties constituting the list of the 20 companies considered to have the highest emissions is based on the most recent published list by CDP (The Carbon Majors Database - CDP Carbon Majors Report 2017) and more particularly the sample emission from 2015.

The assets that are considered in this table are: loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments. As per December 2024, ING's total exposure towards the top 20 was €1.6 bln, which is €0.4 bln lower compared to June 2024. This represents 0.2% of the gross carrying amount of the portfolio. This amount includes indirect financing of non-recourse discount type of invoices issued by these companies and aimed at financing their suppliers or the customers of the 20 companies considered to have the highest emissions.

The column CCM shows the portion of the exposure of these top 20 companies that contributes to climate change mitigation in alignment with the EU Taxonomy (EUT). Since the majority of the exposure within the top 20 are outside Europe, the EUT criteria are not fit for these types of exposures therefore an assessment is not possible (column c).

a	b	C	d	е	
Gross carrying amount (aggregate) in EUR million	Gross carrying amount towards the counterparties compared to total gross carrying amount (aggregate)	Of which environmentally sustainable (CCM)	Weighted average maturity	Number of top 20 polluting firms included	

Climate change physical risk

'Physical risk' is defined as the risk of losses arising from any negative financial impact on the institution stemming from the current or prospective impacts of the physical effects of environmental factors on the institution's counterparties or invested assets.

Template 5 discloses hereunder provide information on the indicators of potential climate change physical of the banking book of ING.

Exposures subject to physical risk

Template 5 provides information on exposures in the banking book (including loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments not held for trading and not held for sale) towards non-financial corporates, on loans collateralised by immovable property and on repossessed real estate collateral that are exposed to chronic and/or acute climate-related hazards, with a breakdown by NACE economic sector.

Further, the ITS mentions that a breakdown of the exposures by geography of location of the activity of the counterparty or of the collateral should be disclosed. For this December 2024 disclosures, based on a best effort basis, we used for the NACE sectors the country of residence of the counterparty to define the geographical breakdown rather than the requested location of the activity.

Determination of geographical areas

The ITS stipulates that the breakdown shall cover the geographical areas exposed to negative impact from climate change physical events. Based on this, ING decided to disclose separate templates for countries with the highest sensitivity based on gross carrying amounts, besides the consolidated template reflecting the entire ING portfolio exposed to negative impact from climate change physical events. As the NUTS classification is only defined for EU member states and knowing that ING has significant exposure outside of the EU, ING preferred to use the country breakdown for the geographical overview.

Sensitivity methodology

In absence of clear guidance whether banks should report physical risk excluding or including supporting measures (i.e. inherent or residual risks) such as insurance coverage or government schemes, and based on the discussions in the industry, we decided to disclose the inherent risk (also market practice) as information on the supporting measures is not readily available for all the physical risk factors or all exposures. Besides, residual risk would not provide the risk level of information to determine which exposures are physical at risk.

For the loans collateralized by immovable property (rows 17 and 18) and repossessed collaterals (row 19) and NACE sectors for Business Banking clients, we collected granular location data and matched it with individual climate hazards assessed with an ING tool that has been internally developed. During this process, the collaterals were assessed against 3 chronic and 6 acute (2 chronic and 1 acute specific for the Netherlands) different climate risk hazards using their geographical location. The climate risk hazards have been mapped and aggregated into acute and/or chronic events as required in the ITS. For each assets, the level of risk is classified between low to high. For a specific collateral, the asset is considered at risk for acute (respectively chronic) if at least one of the hazard level of risk within the acute (respectively chronic) hazards is high. Additionally, these level of risk are provided for three different time horizons, and as the ITS suggests, the maturity of the loan was used to determine which time horizon to use in the assessment.

In terms of methodology, for the NACE sectors (rows 1 to 17), we have use a combination of resources. For business banking clients, the location of its headquarter (where the company was registered) was used to assess physical risk. For these counterparties, the internal tool was used with 4 chronic and 6 acute (1 chronic and 1 acute specific for the Netherlands) climate hazards assessed. For clients were the geolocation data is not available, estimations on the physical risk scores were based on the available ones, per country and subsector. It was decided to apply a different approach for wholesale and business bank exposures. This is due to a single location being a reasonable characterisation of the physical risk for business bank exposures. Wholesale exposures, on the other hand, may have multiple locations which have varying financial importance for a counterparty. Hence, a single approach was deemed inappropriate for the full book. Moreover, the wholesale approach is also in line with the one used for the double materiality assessment.

The wholesale bank assessment approach is using 2 chronic and 6 acute physical risks of each NACE sector. In this approach focus is on the sector of operation and physical risk transmission channels as opposed to head office location. Moreover, as wholesale counterparties may be affected by a range of acute and chronic physical risks either directly or through their supply chain, physical risk exposure for these counterparties is deemed to be a combination of both acute and chronic physical risk.

Consolidated table and breakdown per sensitive geographical area

This table represents the sensitivity exposure for the consolidated ING portfolio. EUR 38.0 billion of our portfolio is sensitive to physical risk with EUR 25.1 billion (66%) being sensitive to chronic climate change events. The sensitive portfolio decreased compared to last year following a methodology update on the wholesale banking portfolio. Based on the size of our portfolio, Belgium, The Netherlands and Germany, covering 63% of our portfolio, are separately reported.

Template 5: Banking book - Cl	imate cho	inge physical	risk: Exposur	es subject to p	hysical risk									
a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0
	r							amount (Mln E						
	-				C	of which expos	ures sensitive t	o impact from c	limate change	physical event	S	1		
Consolidated			Breakdo	own by maturity	bucket		of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact both from chronic	Of which Stage 2	Of which non-	Accumulated in negative changer risk and provision	ges in fair valu	
		<= 5 years	> 5 year <= 10 years	> 10 year <= 20 years	> 20 years	Average weighted maturity	chronic climate change events	acute climate change events	and acute climate change events	exposures	exposures		of which Stage 2 exposures	Of which non- performing exposures
A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing	3,161	375	350	74	6	4	662	87	57	69	3	-5	-1	-3
2 B - Mining and quarrying	7,504	646	332	368		2	347	52	947	200	41	-27	-1	-25
3 C - Manufacturing	47,791	5,009	1,401	672	17	2	5,025	183	1,890	814	74	-118	-14	-97
4 D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	19,888	1,720	1,622	2,734	228	5	2,799	53	3,452	532	97	-41	-4	-35
E - Water supply; sewerage,5 waste management and remediation activities	2,867	51	15	18		1	3	10	72	21	4	-4		-4
6 F - Construction	10,025	3,048	822	660	9	3	4,045	121	374	477	15	-98	-9	-84
G - Wholesale and retail7 trade; repair of motorvehicles and motorcycles	35,837	2,714	688	338	8	2	2,311	484	953	473	37	-73	-8	-60
8 H - Transportation and storage	25,148	1,630	1,188	540	1	3	1,409	526	1,425	170	18	-21	-2	-18
9 I - Accommodation and food service activities	2,201	105	43	11		4	42	56	62	24	10	-5		-5
10 J - Information and communication	16,915	548	221	96	1	2	520	125	220	80	7	-14	-1	-12
11 M - Professional, scientific and technical activities	6,714	999	663	747	46	5	2,368	58	29	227	10	-30	-6	-21
12 N - Administrative and support service activities	13,364	1,019	440	166	1	2	1,371	176	79	150	5	-32	-2	-28
O - Public administration and 13 defence; compulsory social security	1,803	104	119	761	72	9	1,048	1	7					
14 P - Education	239	22	18	50	1	5	83	7	1	3		-1		

5,971	182	161	251	8	6	489	88	25	85	3	-4	-2	-2
788	70	31	11	1	3	76	13	24	7		-1		
830	38	34	107	5	4	172	8	3	9	1	-2	-1	-1
357,527	192	241	801	1,854	21	2,095	986	6	371	24	-7	-3	-4
67,638	325	135	42	8	5	229	280	1	59	34	-3		-2
2													
	788 830 357,527	788 70 830 38 357,527 192	788 70 31 830 38 34 357,527 192 241	788 70 31 11 830 38 34 107 357,527 192 241 801	788 70 31 11 1 830 38 34 107 5 357,527 192 241 801 1,854	788 70 31 11 1 3 830 38 34 107 5 4 357,527 192 241 801 1,854 21	788 70 31 11 1 3 76 830 38 34 107 5 4 172 357,527 192 241 801 1,854 21 2,095	788 70 31 11 1 3 76 13 830 38 34 107 5 4 172 8 357,527 192 241 801 1,854 21 2,095 986	7887031111376132483038341075417283357,5271922418011,854212,0959866	788703111137613247830383410754172839357,5271922418011,854212,0959866371	788 70 31 11 1 3 76 13 24 7 830 38 34 107 5 4 172 8 3 9 1 357,527 192 241 801 1,854 21 2,095 986 6 371 24	788 70 31 11 1 3 76 13 24 7 -1 830 38 34 107 5 4 172 8 3 9 1 -2 357,527 192 241 801 1,854 21 2,095 986 6 371 24 -7	788 70 31 11 1 3 76 13 24 7 -1 830 38 34 107 5 4 172 8 3 9 1 -2 -1 357,527 192 241 801 1,854 21 2,095 986 6 371 24 -7 -3

Belgium

For Belgium, EUR 15.0 billion of our portfolio is sensitive to physical risk with EUR 14.2 billion being sensitive to chronic climate change events.

Template 5: Banking book - Cl a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0
							Gross carrying	amount (Mln E	UR)					
	ſ					of which expos	sures sensitive t	o impact from a	limate change	physical event	S			
Belgium			Breakdo	wn by maturity	J bucket		of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact both from chronic	Of which	Of which non-	Accumulated in negative chang risk and provisi	ges in fair valu	cumulated e due to credit
A - Agriculture, forestry and		<= 5 years	> 5 year <= 10 years	> 10 year <= 20 years	> 20 years	Average weighted maturity	chronic climate change events	acute climate change events	and acute climate change events	Stage 2 exposures	exposures		of which Stage 2 exposures	Of which non- performing exposures
A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing	344	93	61	58		e	5 210	1	1	18	1	-3	-1	-2
2 B - Mining and quarrying	146	21	15	3		4	3 26		12	9				
3 C - Manufacturing	4,869	1,309	578	396	3	L	÷ 2,106	7	173	439	15	-50	-6	-41
4 D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	1,467	304	321	435		٤	3 998	8	54	4		-3		-2
E - Water supply; sewerage,5 waste management andremediation activities	455	1	2			6	5 2	1		1				
6 F - Construction	4,842	2,485	440	559	9	Ĺ	+ 3,438	28	26	418	12	-91	-8	-79

G - Wholesale and retail 7 trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	5,311	986	380	315	5	4	1,601	33	52	189	8	-40	-4	-33
8 H - Transportation and storage	1,708	452	172	146		4	733	10	27	66	2	-8	-1	-6
9 I - Accommodation and food service activities	336	4	4	11		7	13	6		2				
10 J - Information and communication	992	234	55	77		4	355	2	9	32	1	-12	-1	-10
11 M - Professional, scientific and technical activities	3,280	840	612	735	46	7	2,211	17	5	198	7	-23	-4	-17
12 N - Administrative and support service activities	1,598	541	158	158	1	5	850	5	3	113	2	-28	-1	-26
O - Public administration and 13 defence; compulsory social security	1,243	102	114	761	72	0	1,048	1						
14 P - Education	119	14	13	50	1	11	76		1	3				
15 Q - Human health and social work activities	2,959	101	107	217	7	11	402	29	1	16	1	-3	-1	-1
16 R - Arts, entertainment and recreation	323	16	6	10	1	5	25	2	6	4				
17 S - Other service activities	297	25	28	103	4	6	159	1		6				
Loans collateralised by 18 residential immovable property	46,902	14	26	120	47	1		207		35	6	-1		-1
Loans collateralised by 19 commercial immovable property	17,363	18	19	14	2	1		54		4	2	-1		

The Netherlands

For The Netherlands, EUR 7.3 billion of our portfolio is sensitive to physical risk with EUR 4.5 billion being sensitive to chronic climate change events.

a	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	i	i	k	l	m	n	0
						5	Gross carrying	amount (Mln E	UR)					
					(of which expos	ures sensitive t	o impact from c	limate change	physical event	:S			
The Netherlands			Breakdo	wn by maturity	bucket		of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact both from chronic	Of which	Of which non- performing	Accumulated i negative chang risk and provis	ges in fair valu	
		<= 5 years	> 5 year <= 10 years	> 10 year <= 20 years	> 20 years	Average weighted maturity	chronic climate change events	acute climate change events	and acute climate change events	Stage 2 exposures	exposures		of which Stage 2 exposures	Of which non- performing exposures
A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing	1,953	170	275	15	6	5	367	80	18	35				
2 B - Mining and quarrying	693	36	27	234		8	39	49	208					
3 C - Manufacturing	6,603	432	140	14		2	277	105	205	98	9	-7	-1	-6
A D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	1,326	58	29	387		7	251	4	219	7	2	-2		-1
E - Water supply; sewerage, 5 waste management and remediation activities	552	14	8			1	1	6	15	1				
6 F - Construction	2,151	185	167	28		3	173	77	130	20	1	-1		
G - Wholesale and retail 7 trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	9,437	733	220	6		3	380	393	186	138	7	-12	-2	-ç
H - Transportation and storage	3,453	253	162	8	1	3	240	69	114	32				
9 I - Accommodation and food service activities	1,164	65	39			4	28	48	28	19				
0 J - Information and communication	2,197	69	19			3	40	20	28	16	1	-1		
M - Professional, scientific and technical activities	1,029	59	47			3	67	33	6	8		-4		-3
N - Administrative and support service activities	2,889	131	50			3	135	36	10	24		-1		-1

O - Public administration and 13 defence; compulsory social security						0								
14 P - Education	104	7	5			2	5	7						
15 Q - Human health and social work activities	1,864	65	49	27	1	3	70	57	15	65				
16 R - Arts, entertainment and recreation	369	45	17			3	50	10	2	3				
17 S - Other service activities	244	9	6	1		1	6	7	4	2				
Loans collateralised by 18 residential immovable property	123,034	115	194	595	1,635	17	2,095	438	6	326	10	-3	-2	
Loans collateralised by 19 commercial immovable property	26,158	238	116	28	6	4	229	158	1	55	32	-2		-2

Germany

For Germany, EUR 1.6 billion of our portfolio is sensitive to physical risk with EUR 1.2 billion being sensitive to both acute and chronic climate change events.

Template 5: Banking book - C	limate cha	ınge physical	risk: Exposure	es subject to p	hysical risk									
a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0
							Gross carrying	g amount (Mln E	UR)					
					(of which expos	sures sensitive t	o impact from a	limate change:	physical event	s			
Germany			Breakdo	own by maturit	y bucket		of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact from	of which exposures sensitive to impact both from chronic	Of which Stage 2	Of which non-	Accumulated i negative chan risk and provis	ges in fair valu	ccumulated Ie due to credit
		<= 5 years	> 5 year <= 10 years	> 10 year <= 20 years	> 20 years	Average weighted maturity	chronic climate change events	acute climate change events		exposures	exposures		of which Stage 2 exposures	Of which non- performing exposures
A - Agriculture, forestry and fishing	16	1				0)		1		_			
2 B - Mining and quarrying	21			19		17	' 19							
3 C - Manufacturing	3,542	421	10		14	1	. 368	12	65	5		-17		-17
4 D - Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	3,247	123	177	319	110	7	y 510	11	209	10				

E - Water supply; sewerage,5 waste management and remediation activities	431					0							
6 F - Construction	42	5				1	4		1	1			
G - Wholesale and retail 7 trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	705	53			3	2	40	4	12	3	3	-2	-1
H - Transportation and storage	1,356	11	6	23		2	26	5	8				
9 I - Accommodation and food service activities						0							
10 J - Information and communication	2,379	87	19		1	1	98	8	1				
¹¹ M - Professional, scientific and technical activities	260	20				1	18	2	1				
¹² N - Administrative and support service activities	1,137	116	35			3	136	13	2				
O - Public administration and 13 defence; compulsory social security	11					0							
14 P - Education	2					0							
15 Q - Human health and social work activities	105					1							
R - Arts, entertainment and recreation						0							
17 S - Other service activities						0							
Loans collateralised by 18 residential immovable property	96,930	2	4	13	41	1		60		-3	-1		
Loans collateralised by 19 commercial immovable property	1,721					0							

Green Assets Ratio (GAR) information

Template 6 disclosed hereunder provide information on the GAR key performance indicators. More information on the GAR is disclosed in the ING Annual report 2024 in the Sustainability Statement section.

While Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/2178 requires entities to estimate and disclose the GAR twice, once based on the turnover taxonomy alignment of the counterparty (for non-financial corporates) for general purpose lending, and again based on the capital expenditure (CapEx) taxonomy alignment of the counterparty for the same general purpose lending exposures, in this template institutions shall only disclose the GAR once, based on the turnover alignment of the counterparty for the general purpose lending exposures, in this template institutions shall only disclose the GAR once, based on the turnover alignment of the counterparty for the general purpose lending part only.

For the stock, GAR (climate change mitigation), GAR (climate change adaptation), GAR (climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation) shall correspond to the KPI included in columns (b), (g) and (l) respectively of Template 8, accordingly.

As expected, the GAR % slightly increased compared to previous disclosures. Big part of the GAR comes from residential mortgages, which increased with €2 bln compared to June 2024 mainly driven by portfolio growth. Another portion of taxonomy alignment comes from financial and non-financial corporations whose increase was mainly driven by the inclusion of subsidiaries and SPVs of companies subject to CSRD as of this reporting year.

Summary of key performance indicators (KPIs) on the Taxonomy-aligned exposures

Template 6: Summary of key performance	e indicators (KPIs) on the Taxonomy-aligned expo	sures		
		KPI (in %)		
2024	Climate change mitigation	Climate change adaptation	Total (Climate change mitigation + Climate change adaptation)	% coverage (over total assets)*
GAR stock	6.87 %	0.01	. % 6.88 %	35.68 %
GAR flow	2.30 %	0.02	2.32 %	13.86 %

 * % of assets covered by the KPI over banks' total assets

Summary of assets for the calculation of GAR

Template 7 disclosed hereunder provide information on assets for the calculation of GAR (in Million EUR). Institutions shall disclose in this template information on gross carrying amount of institutions' loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments on their banking book, with a breakdown of the information by type of counterparty, including financial corporations, non-financial corporations, households, local governments as well as real estate lending towards households, and the taxonomy eligibility and taxonomy alignment of the exposures with regard to the environmental objectives of climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation as referred to in Article 9, points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

In particular, institutions shall include in this template information necessary for the calculation of the GAR in accordance with Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/2178. While Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/2178 requires institutions to estimate and disclose the GAR twice, once based on the turnover taxonomy alignment of the counterparty (for non-financial corporates) for general purpose lending, and again based on the CapEx taxonomy

alignment of the counterparty for the same general purpose lending exposures, in this template institutions shall only disclose the GAR once based only on the turnover alignment of the counterparty for the general purpose lending part.

The information included in the table below relates to climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation as referred to in Article 9, points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2020/852. More information on the GAR is disclosed in the ING Annual report 2024 in the Sustainability Statement section.

Template 7 - Mitigatin	g actions: As	sets for the	calculation o	of GAR												
	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	0	р
									ference date							
				hange Mitigo					hange Adapt					TAL (CCM + C		
	Total avera	Of which tow		5		5 5	Of which tov		5		nomy-eligible)	Of which to		5		5 5
Million EUR	Total gross carrying		Of which env aligned)	ironmentally	y sustainable (Taxonomy-		Of which en aligned)	vironmentall	y sustainable	(Taxonomy-		Of which env aligned)	vironmentallu	y sustainable ((Taxonomy-
	amount		-	Of which specialised lending	Of which transitional	Of which enabling		-	Of which specialised lending	Of which adaptatior	Of which enabling		-	Of which specialised lending	Of which transitional /adaptation	Of which enabling
GAR - Covered assets	in both nume	rator and den	ominator											-		
GAR - Covered assets in both numerator and denominator																
Loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments not HfT eligible for 1 GAR calculation	401,996	364,943	57,513	55,195	549	1,055	1,361	106	C)) 27	366,303	57,618	55,195	549	1,082
2 Financial corporations	28,340	7,423	462	0	78	142	235	46	C)	2 2	7,658	508	0	78	144
3 Credit institutions	24,490	6,028	311	0	32	52	98	2	C)	0 1	6,126	313	0	32	53
4 Loans and advances	13,182	3,080	212	0	27	48	70	1	C)	0 C	3,150	213	0	27	48
Debt securities, 5 including UoP	11,257	2,945	99	0	4	4	28	1	C)	0 0	2,973	100	0	4	5
6 Equity instruments	51	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	C)	0 C	3	0	0	0	C
Other financial 7 corporations	3,850	1,395	150	0	47	90	136	44	C)	0 1	1,532	195	0	47	91
of which investment 8 firms	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C)	0 0	0	0	0	0	С
9 Loans and advances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C)	0 C	0	0	0	0	C
Debt securities, 10 including UoP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C)	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
11 Equity instruments	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	С)	0 C	0	0	0	0	0

of which management 12 companies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13 Loans and advances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Debt securities, 14 including UoP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15 Equity instruments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
of which insurance 16 undertakings	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17 Loans and advances	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Debt securities, 18 including UoP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19 Equity instruments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-financial corporations (subject to NFRD disclosure 20 obligations)	22,704	6,612	1,856	0	470	913	1,126	60	0	0	25	7,738	1,916	0	470	938
21 Loans and advances	22,608	6,600	1,850	0	470	907	1,120	60	0	0	25	7,719	1,910	0	470	933
Debt securities, 22 including UoP	97	12	6	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	18	6	0	0	6
23 Equity instruments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24 Households	348,580	348,580	55,195	55,195	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	348,580	55,195	55,195	0	0
of which loans collateralised by residential immovable 25 property	348,580	348,580	55,195	55,195	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	348,580	55,195	55,195	0	0
of which building 26 renovation loans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
of which motor 27 vehicle loans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local governments 28 financing	2,371	2,328	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,328	0	0	0	0
29 Housing financing	2,304	2,304	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,304	0	0	0	0
Other local governments 30 financing	67	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0

Collateral obtained by taking possession: residential and commercial immovable 31 properties	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32 TOTAL GAR ASSETS	401,998	364,943	57,513	55,195	549	1,055	1,361	106	_	_	27	366,304	57,618	55,195	549	1,082
Assets excluded from the	he numerato	r for GAR calcu	lation (covere	d in the denom	inator)											
EU Non-financial corporations (not subject to NFRD disclosure 33 obligations)	141,519															
34 Loans and advances	140,400															
35 Debt securities	884															
36 Equity instruments	235															
Non-EU Non- financial corporations (not subject to NFRD disclosure	74,280															
37 obligations)																
38 Loans and advances	74,138															
39 Debt securities	63															
40 Equity instruments	79															
41 Derivatives	28,890															
On demand interbank 42 loans	3,194															
Cash and cash- 43 related assets	1,645															
Other assets (e.g. Goodwill, 44 commodities etc.)	186,161															
TOTAL ASSETS IN THE DENOMINATOR 45 (GAR)	837,686															
Other assets excluded f	rom both the	e numerator an	d denominato	or for GAR calcu	ulation											
46 Sovereigns	67,386															
Central banks 47 exposure	78,339															

48 Trading book	43,092
TOTAL ASSETS EXCLUDED FROM	188,816
49 DENOMINATOR	100,010
50 TOTAL ASSETS	1,026,503

Summary of assets for the calculation of GAR (%)

Template 8 disclosed hereunder provide information on the percentage of the assets compared to the total covered assets for the calculation of GAR. This information is based on Template 7 data, while exhibiting the proportion of assets funding taxonomy relevant sectors.

Template 8 - Mitigatin	q actions: GAR	% - KPIs on	stock													
	a b			d e	ē	f	g ł	l	i	j	k	l	m	n	0	р
		Climate Cha	ınge Mitigat	ion (CCM)			Climate Cha	ınge Adap	tation (CCA)				TOTAL (C	CCM + CCA)		
% (compared to total covered assets in the	Proportion of e	-	-	-	nt sectors		eligible assets		2		Proportion o	-	-	ixonomy releva	int sectors	Proportion
denominator)	0	s	f which		Of which enabling		S	onmentau)f which pecialised ending	Of which	Of which			Of which specialised lending	Of which	Of which enabling	of total assets covered
1 <u>GAR</u>	44 %	7 %	7 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %			0 %	6 44 %	7 %	7 %	6 0%	0 9	% 36 %
Loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments not HfT eligible for 2 GAR calculation	91 %	14 %	14 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %			0 %	5 91 %	14 %	14 %	% 0%	0 9	% 36 %
3 Financial corporations	s 26 %	2 %		0 %	1 %	b 1 %	0 %			0 %	ъ́ 27 %	2 %		0 %	1 9	% 1%
4 Credit institutions	25 %	1 %		0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %			0 %	ъ́ 25 %	1 %		0 %	0 0	% 1%
Other financial 5 corporations	36 %	4 %		1 %	2 %	o 4 %	1 %			0 %	× 40 %	5 %		1 %	2 0	% 0%
of which investment 6 firms																0 %
of which management 7 companies	23 %	17 %		0 %	8 %	o 1%	0 %				23 %	18 %		0 %	8 ¢	% 0%
of which insurance 8 undertakings	0 %	0 %		0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %				0 %	0 %		0 %	0 9	% 0%

Non-financial corporations subject to NFRD disclosure 9 obligations	29 %	8 %		2 %	4 %	5 %	0 %	0 %	34 %	8 %		2 %	4 %	1 %
10 Households	100 %	16 %	16 %						100 %	16 %	16 %			34 %
of which loans collateralised by residential immovable 11 property	100 %	16 %	16 %						100 %	16 %	16 %			34 %
of which building 12 renovation loans														0 %
of which motor 13 vehicle loans														0 %
Local government 14 financing	98 %								98 %					0 %
15 Housing financing	100 %								100 %					0 %
Other local governments 16 financing	35 %								35 %					0 %
Collateral obtained by taking possession: residential and commercial 17 immovable properties	22 %								22 %					0 %

Template 8 - Mitigating actions: GAR % - KPIs on flows

а С d b h е f g k m i j l n 0 р

0/ (compared to total	Of which environmentally sustainable						ite Change Adapto			TOTAL (CCM + CCA)					
% (compared to total covered assets in the denominator)						oortion of eligible Of whic	Proportion of eligible assets funding taxonomy relevant sectors Of which environmentally sustainable				int sectors	Proportion of total			
		Of whic speciali lending	of Which	ch Of which ional enabling			Of which specialised lending	Of which adaptation	Of which enabling					Of which enabling	assets covered
1 GAR	20 %	2 %	2 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %		0 %	20 %	2 %	2 %	0 %	0 0	% 14 %
Loans and advances, debt securities and equity instruments not HfT eligible for 2 GAR calculation	74 %	9 %	7 %	0 %	1 %	1%	0 %		0 %	o 75 %	9 %	7 %	0 %	1 9	% 14 %

3 Financial corporations	25 %	1 %		0 %	0 %	1 %	0 %	 0 %	26 %	2 %		0 %	0 %	1 %
4 Credit institutions	25 %	1 %		0 %	0 %	1 %	0 %	0 %	25 %	1 %		0 %	0 %	1 %
Other financial 5 corporations	25 %	2 %		0 %	2 %	6 %	3 %	0 %	32 %	5 %		0 %	2 %	0 %
of which investment 6 firms														
of which management 7 companies														0 %
of which insurance 8 undertakings														0 %
Non-financial corporations subject to NFRD disclosure 9 obligations	27 %	10 %		2 %	5 %	4 %	0 %	0 %	31 %	10 %		2 %	5 %	1 %
10 Households	100 %	11 %	11 %						100 %	11 %	11 %			12 %
of which loans collateralised by residential immovable	100 %	11 %	11 %						100 %	11 %	11 %			12 %
11propertyof which building12renovation loans														0 %
of which motor 13 vehicle loans														0 %
Local government 14 financing														0 %
15 Housing financing														— %
Other local governments 16 financing														— %
Collateral obtained by taking possession: residential and commercial 17 immovable properties														— %

Summary of other climate change mitigating actions that are not covered in Regulation (EU) 2020/852

The purpose of this template is to report other climate change mitigation measures and includes exposures of institutions that are not aligned with the Taxonomy under Regulation (EU) 2020/852, but which nevertheless are supporting counterparties in the transition and adaptation process for climate change mitigation and adaptation objectives (Exposures aligned to the EU Taxonomy are reported in templates 6 to 9).

The financial instruments included in this template, loans and bonds, contribute to the mitigation of physical and transition-based risks arising from climate change. According to the nature and characteristics of the assets included in this template, and with the current availability of information, these exposures have been considered as contributing to mitigate climate change, and it is mentioned in the table when it is transition risks and/or physical risks. As the Taxonomy's technical criteria are still being implemented, the approach is based on the best effort basis, so for this first publication the exposures that was confirmed that it could not meet the EUT alignment requirements were included.

Ter	nplate 10: Other climate chang	ge mitigating actions				
	Type of financial instrument	Type of counterparty	Gross carrying amount (million EUR)	Type of risk mitigated (Climate change transition risk)	Type of risk mitigated (Climate change physical risk)	Qualitative information on the nature of the mitigating actions
1		Financial corporations	1,326	Yes	No	
2	Bonds (e.g. green, sustainable, sustainability-linked under standards	Non-financial corporations	_	_	_	The eligibility of these Green Bonds was assessed based on criteria set under the Sustainable Investments Guidelines for GT Investments. As a result, issuers' Green Bond Documentations such as Green Bond Frameworks, Second Party Opinions and Allocation Reports were assessed. Green bonds of which the proceeds will be applied
3	other than the EU standards)	Of which Loans collateralised by commercial immovable property		_	_	entirely towards green projects or activities that promote climate change mitigation, adaptation or other environmentally sustainable purposes.
4		Other counterparties	2,306	Yes	No	
5		Financial corporations	9	Yes	Yes	Sustainable loans provided by former Groenbank for investments that have a "green" certificate (groenverklaring) issued by the Dutch Government.
	Loans (e.g. green, sustainable, sustainability-linked under standards other than the EU standards)	Non-financial corporations	1,132		Yes	 EUR 45 mln Loans that fall under the EIB scheme that can be used to fund transactions with a sustainable character. These loans are issued to initiative that positively impacts: (1) energy transition, (2) increase energy efficiency buildings, (3) Circulair economy, (4) Sustainable mobility. These loans do not necessarily meet EUT Technical Screening Criteria and the customer receiving it are not always subject to NFRD, but they do contribute to a more sustainable economy, hence in scope of Template 10. EUR 3 mln Loans BMKB-Groen used for making buildings more sustainable such as purchase of solar panels or Heat Pumps. These loans do not necessarily meet EUT Technical Screening Criteria, or customers are not NFRD. Hence in scope of Template 10. EUR 1.076 mln sustainable loans provided by Groenbank for investments that have a "green" certificate (groenverklaring) issued by the Dutch Government. EUR 37 million Sustainable Impact Loans Split over Financial/other corporations and households. EUR 11.6 bln Loans considered sustainable for financing renewable energy (wind and solar) for the purpose of the total state of the purpose of
6	·	Of which Loans collateralised by	11,547			climate change mitigation
7	-	commercial immovable property	4,620	Yes	No	Green commercial buildings from The Netherlands with an EPC label A.
8		Households	16	Yes	Yes	1. Green loans (former Groenbank) to private individuals. Collateral info is not available for these loans (EUR 16 million).
9		Of which Loans collateralised by residential immovable property				
10		Of which building renovation loans				
11		Other counterparties	5	Yes	Yes	issued by the Dutch Government.

Other risks

Non-financial risk

AMA

Article 446 of the CRR requires institutions to disclose information on the approaches for the management of operational risk that should help to understand the assessment of own funds requirements for operational risk. This information is disclosed in the 'Risk management' section of the annual report. The table below provides information on the calculation of own funds requirements for operational risk management.

Banking activities	Rel	evant indicato	or	Own funds	Risk exposure
2024	Year-3	Year-2	Last year	requirements	amount
Banking activities subject to basic indicator approach (BIA)					
Banking activities subject to standardised (TSA) / alternative standardised (ASA) approaches					
Subject to TSA:					
Subject to ASA:					
Banking activities subject to advanced measurement approaches AMA	18,561	22,575	22,615	3,080	38,500

EU OR1 - Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts

Banking activities	Re	levant indicato	Own funds	Risk exposure	
2023	Year-3	Year-2	Last year	requirements	amount
Banking activities subject to basic indicator approach (BIA)					
Banking activities subject to standardised (TSA) / alternative standardised (ASA) approaches					
Subject to TSA:					
Subject to ASA:					
Banking activities subject to advanced measurement approaches AMA	18,061	18,461	18,654	3,080	38,500

Appendices

Disclosure Index

Туре	Template	Template description	Page / location
Capital requirements	EU OV1	Overview of total risk exposure amounts	<u>5</u>
	EU CCyB1	Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer	7_
	EU CCyB2	Amount of institution-specific countercyclical capital buffer	<u>10</u>
	EU KM1	Key metrics template	<u>5</u>
Own funds	EU CC1	Composition of regulatory own funds	<u>11</u>
	EU CC2	Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements	<u>14</u>
	EU CCA	Capital instruments' main features, at 31 December 2022	On the corporate website ing.com
_everage ratio	EU LR1	LRSum: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures	<u>16</u>
	EU LR2	LRCom: Leverage ratio common disclosure	<u>17</u>
	IFRS 9-FL	Comparison of institutions' own funds and capital and leverage ratios with and without the application of transitional arrangements for IFRS 9 or analogous ECLs	<u>15</u>
TLAC	EU KM2	Key metrics – MREL and, where applicable, G-SII Requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities	<u>19</u>
	EU TLAC1	Composition - MREL and, where applicable, the G-SII Requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities	<u>20</u>
	EU TLAC3	Creditor ranking - resolution entity	<u>23</u>
Credit in general	EU CQ1	Credit quality of forborne exposures	<u>33</u>
	EU CQ3	Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days	<u>35</u>
	EU CQ4	Quality of non-performing exposures by geography	<u>37</u>
	EU CQ5	Credit quality of loans and advances by industry	<u>39</u>
	EU CQ7	Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes	<u>41</u>
	EU CR1	Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions	<u>30</u>
	EU CR1-A	Maturity of exposures	<u>32</u>
	EU CR2	Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances	<u>32</u>
	EU CR3	CRM techniques overview: Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques	<u>41</u>
redit risk and CRM in the IRB approach	EU CR6	IRB approach – Credit risk exposures by exposure class and PD range	<u>44</u>
	EU CR6A	Scope of the use of IRB and SA approaches	<u>43</u>
	EU CR7	IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques	<u>52</u>
	EU CR7A	IRB (specialised lending and equities)	53

	EUCR8	RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach	<u>42</u>
	EU CR9.1	IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates according to point (f) of Article 180(1) CRR)	<u>55</u>
Credit risk and CRM in the standardised approach	EU CR4	Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects	<u>61</u>
	EU CR5	Standardised approach	<u>62</u>
Equity exposures under the simple risk weighted approach	EU CR10.5	Specialised lending and equity exposures under the simple risk weighted approach	<u>59</u>
CCR	EU CCR1	Analysis of CCR exposure by approach	<u>70</u>
	EU CCR3	Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights	<u>65</u>
	EU CCR4	IRB approach – CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale	<u>66</u>
	EU CCR5	Composition of collateral for CCR exposures	67
	EU CCR8	Exposures to CCPs	<u></u> <u>68</u>
	EU CCR2	Transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk	<u></u>
	EU CCR6	Credit derivatives exposures	<u> </u>
Securitisations	EU-SEC1	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book	73
	EU-SEC4	investor	77
	EU-SEC3	originator or as sponsor	<u>75</u>
	EU-SEC5	Exposures securitised by the institution - Exposures in default and specific credit risk adjustments	<u>79</u>
Market risk	EU MR1	Market risk under the standardised approach	Annual report/Risk Management
	EU MR2-A	Market risk under the IMA	Annual report/Risk Management
	EU MR2-B	RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under an IMA	<u>81</u>
	EU MR3	IMA values for trading portfolios	Annual report/Risk Management
	EU MR4	Consolidated trading HVaR	Annual report/Risk Management
	EU IRRBB1	Interest rate risks of non-trading book activities	<u>81</u>
	EU IRRBBA	Qualitative information on interest rate risks of non-trading book activities	<u>82</u>
	EU PV1	Prudent valuation adjustments (PVA)	<u>80</u>
LCR	EU LIQ 1	Quantitative information of LCR	<u>88</u>
	EU LIQB	Qualitative information on LCR	<u>90</u>
	EU LIQ2	Net Stable Funding Ratio	<u>91</u>
Asset encumbrance	EU AE1	Encumbered and unencumbered assets	<u>85</u>
	EU AE2	Collateral received and own debt securities issued	<u>86</u>
	EU AE3	Sources of encumbrance	<u>87</u>
	EU AE4	Accompanying narrative information	<u>87</u>
Environmental social and governance	Template 1	Banking book- Climate Change transition risk: Credit quality of exposures by sector, emissions and residual maturity	<u>100</u>
	Template 2	Banking book - Climate change transition risk: Loans collateralised by immovable property - Energy efficiency of the collateral	103
	Template 3	Banking book - Climate change transition risk: Alignment metrics	<u>105</u>
	Template 4	Banking book - Climate change transition risk: Exposures to top 20 carbon-intensive firms	<u> </u>
	Template 5	Banking book - Climate change physical risk: Exposures subject to physical risk	<u> </u>

	Template 6	Summary of key performance indicators (KPIs) on the Taxonomy-aligned exposures	<u>114</u>
	Template 7	Mitigating actions: Assets for the calculation of GAR	<u>115</u>
	Template 8	Mitigating actions: GAR % - KPIs on stock	<u>118</u>
	Template 10	Other climate change mitigating actions	<u>122</u>
Operational risk	EU OR1	Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts	<u>123</u>

Disclaimer

Certain of the statements contained herein are not historical facts, including, without limitation, certain statements made of future expectations and other forward-looking statements that are based on management's current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. Actual results, performance or events may differ materially from those in such statements due to a number of factors, including, without limitation: (1) changes in general economic conditions and customer behaviour, in particular economic conditions in ING's core markets, including changes affecting currency exchange rates and the regional and global economic impact of the invasion of Russia into Ukraine and related international response measures (2) changes affecting interest rate levels (3) any default of a major market participant and related market disruption (4) changes in performance of financial markets, including in Europe and developing markets (5) fiscal uncertainty in Europe and the United States (6) discontinuation of or changes in 'benchmark' indices (7) inflation and deflation in our principal markets (8) changes in conditions in the credit and capital markets generally, including changes in borrower and counterparty creditworthiness (9) failures of banks falling under the scope of state compensation schemes (10) noncompliance with or changes in laws and regulations, including those concerning financial services, financial economic crimes and tax laws, and the interpretation and application thereof (11) geopolitical risks, political instabilities and policies and actions of governmental and regulatory authorities, including in connection with the invasion of Russia into Ukraine and the related international response measures (12) legal and regulatory risks in certain countries with less developed legal and regulatory frameworks (13) prudential supervision and regulations, including in relation to stress tests and regulatory restrictions on dividends and distributions (also among members of the group) (14) ING's ability to meet minimum capital and other prudential regulatory requirements (15) changes in regulation of US commodities and derivatives businesses of ING and its customers (16) application of bank recovery and resolution regimes, including write down and conversion powers in relation to our securities (17) outcome of current and future litigation, enforcement proceedings, investigations or other regulatory actions, including claims by customers or stakeholders who feel misled or treated unfairly, and other conduct issues (18) changes in tax laws and regulations and risks of non-compliance or investigation in connection with tax laws, including FATCA (19) operational and IT risks, such as system disruptions or failures, breaches of security, cyber-attacks, human error, changes in operational practices or inadequate controls including in respect of third parties with which we do business and including any risks as a result of incomplete, inaccurate, or otherwise flawed outputs from the algorithms and data sets utilized in artificial intelligence (20) risks and challenges related to cybercrime including the effects of cyberattacks and changes in legislation and regulation related to cybersecurity and data privacy, including such risks and challenges as a consequence of the use of emerging technologies, such as advanced forms of artificial intelligence and quantum computing (21) changes in general competitive factors, including ability to increase or maintain market share (22) inability to protect our intellectual property and infringement claims by third parties (23) inability of counterparties

to meet financial obligations or ability to enforce rights against such counterparties (24) changes in credit ratings (25) business, operational, regulatory, reputation, transition and other risks and challenges in connection with climate change, diversity, equity and inclusion and other ESG-related matters, including data gathering and reporting and also including managing the conflicting laws and requirements of governments, regulators and authorities with respect to these topics (26) inability to attract and retain key personnel (27) future liabilities under defined benefit retirement plans (28) failure to manage business risks, including in connection with use of models, use of derivatives, or maintaining appropriate policies and guidelines (29) changes in capital and credit markets, including interbank funding, as well as customer deposits, which provide the liquidity and capital required to fund our operations, and (30) the other risks and uncertainties detailed in the most recent annual report of ING Groep N.V. (including the Risk Factors contained therein) and ING's more recent disclosures, including press releases, which are available on ing.com.

This document may contain ESG-related material that has been prepared by ING on the basis of publicly available information, internally developed data and other third-party sources believed to be reliable. ING has not sought to independently verify information obtained from public and third-party sources and makes no representations or warranties as to accuracy, completeness, reasonableness or reliability of such information.

Materiality, as used in the context of ESG, is distinct from, and should not be confused with, such term as defined in the Market Abuse Regulation or as defined for Securities and Exchange Commission ('SEC') reporting purposes. Any issues identified as material for purposes of ESG in this report are therefore not necessarily material as defined in the Market Abuse Regulation or for SEC reporting purposes. In addition, there is currently no single, globally recognized set of accepted definitions in assessing whether activities are "green" or "sustainable." Without limiting any of the statements contained herein, we make no representation or warranty as to whether any of our securities constitutes a green or sustainable security or conforms to present or future investor expectations or objectives for green or sustainable investing. For information on characteristics of a security, use of proceeds, a description of applicable project(s) and/or any other relevant information, please reference the offering documents for such security.

This document may contain inactive textual addresses to internet websites operated by us and third parties. Reference to such websites is made for information purposes only, and information found at such websites is not incorporated by reference into this report. ING does not make any representation or warranty with respect to the accuracy or completeness of, or take any responsibility for, any information found at any websites operated by third parties. ING specifically disclaims any liability with respect to any information found at websites operated by third parties. ING cannot guarantee that websites operated by third parties remain available following the filing of this report or that any information found at such websites will not change following the filing of this report. Many of those factors are beyond ING's control.

Any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of ING speak only as of the date they are made, and ING assumes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information or for any other reason.

This document does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to purchase, any securities in the United States or any other jurisdiction.